1	LISSETE GARCIA, Counsel (SBN 211552) Department of Real Estate	OCT 0 1 2024	
2	320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105	DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE	
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6914	By_	
4	Fax: (213) 576-6917		
5	Staff Counsel for the Department of Real Estate		
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT	OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA	
0	* * *		
1		1	
2	In the Matter of the Accusation against	DRE No. H-42902 LA	
3	LB PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC. and ROBERT BRUCE LOPATA,	ACCUSATION	
	individually and as designated officer for LG Property Management, Inc.,		
4	LO Property Management, Inc.,		
5	Respondents.		
6	The Complainant, Jason Parson, a Supervising	Special Investigator for the Department of	
7			
8	Real Estate ¹ ("Department") of the State of California,		
9	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC. and ROBERT B		
0	designated officer for LB Property Management, Inc. (collectively "Respondents"), alleges as	
1	follows:		
2	1. The Complainant, Jason Parson, acting in his official capacity as a Supervising		
3	Special Investigator, makes this Accusation against Respondents.		
4	¹ Between July 1, 2013, and July 1, 2018, the Department of Real the Department of Consumer Affairs.	Estate operated as the Bureau of Real Estate unde	
	Page 1 DRE Accusation against LB Property	Management, Inc., et al	
1			

2. 1 All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code. all references to the "Real Estate Law" are to Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, and all references 2 to "Regulations" are to the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6, 3 4 California Code of Regulations. 5 Licenses 6 3. Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real 7 Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code). 8 4. On April 16, 1997, the Department issued a real estate corporation license to Respondent LB PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC. ("LBPMI"), License ID 01218447. 9 10 Respondent LBPMI's license is scheduled to expire on November 12, 2025, unless renewed. 11 Respondent has renewal rights pursuant to Code section 10201. The Department retains 12 jurisdiction pursuant to Code section 10103. 5. 13 On June 8, 1990, the Department issued a real estate broker license to Respondent 14 ROBERT BRUCE LOPATA ("LOPATA"), License ID 01078343. Respondent LOPATA's 15 broker license is scheduled to expire on September 9, 2026, unless renewed. Respondent has renewal rights pursuant to Code section 10201. The Department retains jurisdiction pursuant to 16 Code section 10103. 17 18 6. At all times herein mentioned, Respondent LBPMI was and now is licensed as a 19 corporate real estate broker by and through Respondent LOPATA as designated broker-officer 20 for Respondent LBPMI to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate 21 broker. 22 7. At all times herein mentioned, LOPATA has been the designated officer for Respondent LBPMI, pursuant to Code section 10211. As the designated officer for LBPMI, 23 24 Respondent LOPATA, is responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted Page 2 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al

1	on behalf of LBPMI by its officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees, as necessary to
2	secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, pursuant to Code section
3	10159.2.
4	Prior Discipline
5	8. Effective May 12, 1999, in Case No. H-28101 LA, the Real Estate Commissioner
6	issued an Order to Desist and Refrain against Respondent LOPATA for violation of Code section
7	10159.2, and against Respondent LBPMI for violation of Code sections 10161.8, 10145, and
8	Regulations 2731, 2752, and 2832.1.
9	First Cause of Accusation - Audit LA 230010
10	9. Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
11	omission of Respondent LBPMI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers,
12	directors, employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with
13	Respondent LBPMI, committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the
14	business or operations of such corporate respondent and while acting within the course and
15	scope of their authority and employment.
16	10. At all times relevant herein, in the State of California, Respondents engaged in
17	activities that require a real estate broker license within the meaning of Code section 10131,
18	subdivision (b). Respondents' activities included soliciting or offering to negotiate the sale,
19	purchase or exchange of leases for real property and the collection of rents or security deposits
20	on real property or on a business opportunity for others, for compensation or in expectation of
21	compensation.
22	11. On or about February 28, 2024, the Department completed an audit examination
23	of the books and records of Respondent LBPMI's property management activities, which require
24	a real estate license pursuant to Code section 10131, subdivision (b), to determine if Respondents
	Page 3 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al
•	1

,	handlad and a second of fan tweet fan de in secondianse with the Dest Estate I am and the		
1	handled and accounted for trust funds in compliance with the Real Estate Law and the		
2	Regulations.		
3	12. According to Respondents, LOPATA is the President of LBPMI and owns 50%		
4	of LBPMI. Gregg Mitchell Bernstein ("Bernstein") is the CPA, CFO, and Co-Founder of LBPMI		
5	and owns 50% of LBPMI. Gregory Justin De Rubeis ("De Rubeis") is an Executive Vice-		
6	President for LBPMI.		
7	13. On April 29, 1989, the Department issued a real estate broker license to		
8	Bernstein, License ID 01026069. Bernstein's broker license expired on April 28, 2009.		
9	14. On or about March 25, 1997, in Case No. H-27101 LA, the Real Estate		
10	Commissioner issued an Order to Desist and Refrain against Bernstein.		
11	15. On December 22, 2009, the Department issued a real estate broker license to		
12	Gregory Justin De Rubeis ("De Rubeis"), License ID 01487050. De Rubeis is a broker-		
13	associate for Respondent LBPMI.		
14	16. At all times mentioned herein, Respondents were not licensed to do business as		
15	"LBPM."		
16	17. The audit examination covered the period from January 1, 2022, through July 31,		
17	2023, ("audit period").		
18	18. According to Respondents, during the audit period, LBPMI managed		
19	approximately 800 properties for 700 property owners. LBPMI collected and handled trust funds		
20	totaling approximately \$161 million, annually. LBPMI collected rents, paid expenses, and		
21	screened tenants for compensation. LBPMI charged property management fees or compensation		
22	for their services.		
23	///		
24	///		
	Page 4 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al		

 $\|$

 $\|$

1	19. The Department's auditor reviewed the audit period records provided by
2	Respondents for the following LBPMI bank accounts:
3	A. Bank Account 1 (B/A 1)
4	Bank: Pacific Western Bank
5	Account #: xxxx7616
6	B. Bank Account 2 (B/A 2)
7	Bank: Pacific Western Bank
8	Account #: xxxx9393
9	C. Bank Account 3 (B/A 3)
10	Bank: Pacific Western Bank
11	Account #: xxxx5016
12	D. Bank Account 4 (B/A 4)
13	Bank: Pacific Western Bank
14	Account #: xxxx9892
15	20. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set
16	forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 230010 and the
17	exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.
18	Audit Violations
19	21. In the course of its property management activities during the audit period,
20	Respondents acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations as follows:
21	Issue 1. Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832. Trust fund handling - B/A 1 and B/A 2.
22	22. During the audit period, LBPMI used B/A 1 and B/A 2 for handling trust fund
23	receipts and disbursements belonging to others in connection with property management
24	activities. B/A 1 and B/A 2 were not designated as trust accounts in the name of LBPMI or its
	Page 5 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al

1	licensed fictitious business names, as trustee, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation
2	2832.
3	Issue 2. Code section 10145 and Regulation 2834. Trust Account Withdrawals – B/A 1, B/A 2,
4	and B/A 3.
5	23. During the audit period, LBPMI used B/A 1, B/A 2, and B/A 3 for handling trust
6	fund receipts and disbursements belonging to others in connection with property management
7	activities. Based on a review of the bank signature cards for B/A 1, B/A 2, and B/A 3, Bernstein
8	was authorized to sign and make withdrawals from B/A 1, B/A 2, and B/A 3. Craig Tice, an
9	unlicensed person, was also authorized to sign and make withdrawals and wire funds from B/A
10	2, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2834.
11	Issue 3. Code sections 10145 and 10176(g). Secret profit/Undisclosed compensation.
12	24. During the audit period, LBPMI overcharged property management fees of at
13	least \$2,859.40 to the property owners for the following properties: 18415 Dearborn Street,
14	Northridge, California; 1110 W. La Palma, Anaheim, California; and 318 N. Electric Ave.,
15	Alhambra, California, in violation of Code sections 10145 and 10176, subdivision (g).
16	25. LBPMI's management fee for the 18415 Dearborn St. property was based on 95%
17	gross scheduled income or the minimum management fee of \$920. The fee percentage by gross
18	receipts included current rent, prepaid rent, rent received from security deposits, laundry
19	revenues, and returned check fees for insufficient funds.
20	26. LBPMI overcharged property management fees of at least \$1,900.00 to the
21	property owners for the 18415 Dearborn St. property. Examples of the excess/overcharged fees
22	for the 18415 Dearborn St. property include the following, without limitation:
23	///
24	
	Page 6 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al
•	

i	Date	PM Fee per Agreement	Check No.	Amount	Difference
2	01/04/2022	\$960.00	673	\$1,060.00	\$100.00
3	06/17/2022	\$960.00	799	\$1,060.00	\$100.00
4	12/02/2022	\$960.00	927	\$1,060.00	\$100.00
5	07/05/2023	\$960.00	1054	\$1,060.00	\$100.00
6	27.	LBPMI overcharged prop	erty management fe	ees of at least \$812.	76 to the property
7	owners for the	e 1110 W. La Palma prope	ty. Examples of th	e excess/overcharge	ed fees for the
8	1110 W. La P	alma property include the	following, without l	imitation:	
9	Date	PM Fee per Agreement	Check Nos.	Amount	Difference
10	Aug. 2022	3% (\$1,165.85)	15 and 26	\$1,545.46	\$379.61
11	May 2023	3% (\$1,082.47)	120 and 134	\$1,242.12	\$159.65
12	June 2023	3% (\$1,682.76)	138 and 143	\$19,56.26	\$273.50
13	28.	LBPMI overcharged prop	erty management fe	ees of at least \$146.0	64 to the property
14	owners for the	e 318 N. Electric Ave. prop	erty. Examples of	the excess/overchar	ged fees for the
15	318 N. Electri	ic Ave. property include the	e following, withou	t limitation:	
16	Date	PM Fee per Agreement	Check Nos.	Amount	Difference
17	Aug. 2022	4% flat fee or \$449.41	3 and 10	\$515.65	\$66.24
18	Jan 2023	4% flat fee of \$354.00	39, 45, & 48	\$480.40	\$80.40
19	Issue 4. Code	section 10159.5 and Regu	lation 2731. Use of	funlicensed false or	fictitious name.
20	29.	During the audit period, L	BPMI used the unl	icensed fictitious bu	siness name
21	"LBPM" in co	onnection with property ma	nagement activities	, in violation of Coo	de section
22	10159.5 and F	Regulation 2731.			
23	111				
24					
		DRE Accusation agai	Page 7 nst LB Property Manag	gement, Inc., et al	
		-			
	I				1

1	Issue 5. Code	sections 10163. Branch offices.
2	30.	During the audit period, LBPMI used unlicensed branch offices located at: 625 E.
3	Main St., Alha	umbra, California, and 2271 W. 250th St., Ste. #103, Torrance, California, in
4	connection wit	th property management activities in violation of Code section 10163.
5	31.	On or about February 1, 2024, the Department received notice from LBPMI of the
6	additional branch offices for the locations noted above.	
7	32. The conduct of Respondent LBPMI as described above in Paragraphs 22 through	
8	31, violated the	e Code and the Regulations as set forth below:
9	Issue	Violations
10	1	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832
11	2	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2834
12	3	Code sections 10145 and 10176(g)
13	4	Code section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731
14	5	Code section 10163
15	33.	The foregoing violations, as described above in Paragraphs 22 through 31,
16	constitute caus	e for the suspension or revocation of the real estate licenses and license rights of
17	Respondent LI	BPMI under the provisions of Code section 10165, 10176, subdivision (g) and
18	Code section 1	0177, subdivisions (d) and/or (g).
19		Respondent LOPATA- Officer - Broker Supervision
20	34.	Based on the audit violations cited above, Respondent LOPATA failed to exercise
21	adequate super	vision and control over LBPMI's property management activities to ensure
22	compliance wi	th the Real Estate Law and Regulations, in violation of Code section 10159.2 and
23	Regulation 272	25. Said conduct, acts, or omissions constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real
24		
		Page 8 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al

1	estate licenses and license rights of Respondent LOPATA pursuant to Code section 10177,		
2	subdivisions (h), (d), and/or (g).		
3	Second Cause of Accusation		
4	35. There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct Cause of		
5	Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34, with the same force and		
6	effect as if herein fully set forth.		
7	36. The Department received separate complaints against Respondents from property		
8	owners for the following properties: 221 W. Plymouth, Inglewood, California 90302 ("Plymouth		
9	property), and 318 N. Electric Ave., Alhambra, California 91801 ("Electric property"). The		
10	complaints alleged that Respondent LBPMI charged the property owners for repairs that were		
11	not done or done improperly by unlicensed contractors, overcharged for repairs, and theft or		
12	mishandling of trust funds, among other issues.		
13	37. The Department conducted the audit described above as part of the investigation		
14	into the complaints. The Department's investigator requested Respondents' property		
15	management files for the Plymouth and Electric properties.		
16	38. Based upon review of the documents provided by Respondents for the Plymouth		
17	property, including, but not limited to the property management agreement, Respondents		
18	provided invoices, estimates, or bids for emergency roofing repair work to the Plymouth		
19	property and failed to provide written notice to the owners of the Plymouth property of		
20	Respondents' affiliation or ownership interest with the construction business, Nu Way, 2271 W.		
21	205 th St. #103, Torrance, California 90501.		
22	39. Based upon review of the documents provided by Respondents for the Electric		
23	property, including, but not limited to the property management agreement, Respondents failed		
24	to provide written notice to the owners of the Electric property of Respondents' affiliation or		
	Page 9 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al		

1	ownership interest with the construction businesses, Nu Way and/or Consolidated Property
2	Services, Inc., doing business as CPS.
3	40. The foregoing failure to disclose the affiliation and omission of material facts, as
4	described above in Paragraphs 38 and 39, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the
5	real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents LBPMI and LOPATA pursuant to Code
6	section 10176, subdivisions (a), (g), and (i), and Code section 10177, subdivisions (d), (j), and/or
7	(g).
8	<u>Audit Costs</u>
9	41. Code section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that the Commissioner shall
10	charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the Commissioner has found in a final
11	decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a
12	regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said section.
13	Investigation/Enforcement Costs
14	42. Code section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
15	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner
16	may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation
17	of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement
18	of the case.
19	///
20	///
21	///
22	
23	
24	
	Page 10 DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al
	'

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this		
2	Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action		
3	against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of		
4	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the costs of the audit, investigation, and		
5	enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under		
6	other provisions of law.		
7	Dated at Los Angeles, California.		
8 9			
	JASON PARSON		
10	Supervising Special Investigator		
11			
12 13	cc: LB Property Management, Inc. Robert Bruce Lopata Jason Parson		
13	Sacto		
14	Audits/Anna Hartoonian		
16 17			
17			
18			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	Page 11		
	DRE Accusation against LB Property Management, Inc., et al		