

NOV 1 6 2022

DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE

By

LAURENCE D. HAVESON, Counsel (SBN 152631) Department of Real Estate

320 West 4th Street, Suite 350

Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

Direct:

(213) 576-6854 (213) 576-6917

Fax: Email:

Laurence.Haveson@dre.ca.gov

Attorney for Complainant

6

5

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

DAVID GENE HALE,

ACCUSATION

No. H-42445-LA

Respondent.

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator for the Department of Real Estate ("Department" or "DRE") of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against DAVID GENE HALE ("HALE" or "Respondent") alleges as follows:

- 1. The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, acting in her official capacity as a Supervising Special Investigator, makes this Accusation against Respondents.
- 2. All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

LICENSE HISTORY

3. Respondent HALE is currently licensed by the Department as a restricted real estate broker ("RREB"), DRE License ID 00551090. HALE has been licensed as a real estate broker ("REB") from on or about March 14, 1977, through November 26, 2020, at which time HALE's REB license was revoked and he was granted the right to a RREB license. According to Department records to date, HALE: is employed as a broker associate for real estate corporation

("REC") Full Realty Services Inc., DRE License ID 01849354; maintains no licensed fictitious business names with the Department; employs no real estate salespersons; and maintains no branch offices. Previously, HALE employed one (1) real estate salesperson ("RES"), Jessica Lorane Randolph, DRE License ID 01461592, from May 28, 2021 to March 13, 2022. Prior to obtaining his REB license, HALE was licensed as a real estate salesperson ("RES"), from on or about March 15, 1976, to on or about March 13, 1977.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE: DRE CASE NO. H-41518 LA

- 4. On or about October 27, 2020, in DRE Case No. H-41518 LA, the DRE filed a Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner ("Commissioner") adopting the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") dated September 8, 2020 ("Proposed Decision"), OAH Case Number 2019110327, revoking all licenses and licensing rights of HALE under the Real Estate Law; provided, however, that a RREB license would be issued to HALE subject to HALE applying for a RREB license and paying the appropriate fee for a RREB, and subject to limitations, conditions, and restrictions. The effective date of the Commissioner's Decision revoking HALE's licenses and licensing rights and granting him a RREB license was November 26, 2020.
- 5. In adopting the Proposed Decision, the Commissioner adopted the findings of the ALJ that Respondent violated the Real Estate Law as follows:
 - a. <u>Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1:</u> Respondent reduced the total aggregate funds in the bank account ending in 9601 ("B/A 1") to an amount that was at least \$47,329.45 less than the aggregate trust fund liability without the owners' prior written permission.
 - b. <u>Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831:</u> Respondent failed to maintain accurate and complete control records, a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, deposited into, and disbursed from B/A 1.
 - c. <u>Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1:</u> Respondent failed to maintain an accurate and complete separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all trust funds received in, deposited in, or disbursed from B/A 1.

- d. <u>Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832:</u> Respondent failed to designate B/A 1 a trust fund in respondent's name as trustee.
- e. <u>Code section 10145 and Regulation 2834:</u> Respondent permitted unlicensed and unbonded persons, Ronald Doty, Jr. and respondent's wife, Tamyra Doty, to be authorized signatories for and make withdrawals from B/A 1 trust funds.
- f. <u>Code section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731:</u> Respondent used a DBA, "Hemet San Jacinto Valley Property Managers," which DRE did not license.
- g. <u>Code section 10163:</u> Respondent performed property management activities at 2290 East Florida Street, Hemet, California, without first registering the location with DRE as a main or branch office.
- h. <u>Code sections 10159.2, 10177(h), and Regulation 2725:</u> Respondent failed to exercise reasonable control and supervision over the real estate activities of his employees as necessary to comply with the Real Estate Law, including establishing policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, and inspect the handling of trust funds.

BROKERAGE: HALE

6. At all times mentioned, in Riverside County, California, HALE acted as a RREB, conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code section 10131(b): leasing or renting, offering to lease or rent, or collecting rents from real property for others. At all times mentioned, HALE conducted such licensed activities for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

AUDIT NO. SD210024

- 7. On June 27, 2022, the Department completed a follow-up audit examination of the books and records of HALE's real estate activities that require a real estate broker license pursuant to Code section 10148(b). The audit examination in Audit No. SD210024, covered the time period November 26, 2020 to February 28, 2022 ("audit period"). The audit was limited to HALE's property management activities.
- 8. The purpose of the audit was to determine whether HALE had corrected the following violations of the Real Estate Law that were cited in the Commissioner's Decision in

<u>Issue One (1). Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1: Handling of Trust Funds; Trust</u> Fund Handling for Multiple Beneficiaries

- 12. As of February 28, 2022:
- a. TA1 had a shortage of <\$5,596.67>. The cause of the shortage could not be identified.
- b. BA1 had a shortage of <\$5,186.97> caused by negative properties balances of <\$5,098.97>. BA1 had unidentified/unaccounted for funds of \$2,419.58.
- 13. HALE provided no evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written consent to allow HALE to reduce the balance of the funds in TA1 and BA1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1.

<u>Issue Two (2). Code Section 10145(a) and Regulation 2831: Handling of Trust Funds; Trust Fund Records to be Maintained</u>

- 14. HALE did not maintain complete and accurate records of all trust funds received and disbursed in TA1 and BA1 related to his property management activity. Trust funds collected did not reflect correct dates of receipt in TA1 and BA1. The records did not reflect an accurate running daily balance during the audit period.
- 15. HALE's acts and/or omissions in failing to maintain complete and accurate records of all trust funds received and disbursed in TA1 and BA1 are in violation of Code section 10145(a) and Regulation 2831.

Issue Three (3). Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1: Handling of Trust Funds; Separate Record for Each Beneficiary or Transaction

16. HALE failed to maintain an accurate and complete separate record for each beneficiary or transaction for BA1 related to his property management activity. HALE did not provide the separate record maintained for TA1. During the audit period, HALE failed to maintain a separate record of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds deposited into BA1 and BA1 contained unidentified and/or unaccounted for funds of at least \$2,419.58 as of February 28, 2022.

17. HALE's acts and/or omissions in: failing to maintain an accurate and complete separate record for each beneficiary or transaction for BA1 related to his property management activity; failing to provide the separate record maintained for TA1; failing to maintain a separate record of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds deposited into BA1; and in BA1 containing unidentified and/or unaccounted for funds of at least \$2,419.58 as of February 28, 2022, are in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.

<u>Issue Four (4). Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2: Handling of Trust Funds; Trust Account Reconciliation</u>

During the audit period, HALE did not maintain accurate monthly reconciliation comparing the balance of all separate record for each beneficiary or transaction (separate records) with the balance of all trust funds received and disbursed (control record) for TA1 and BA1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.

<u>Issue Five (5). Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832: Handling of Trust Funds; Trust</u> <u>Fund Handling</u>

19. Based on an examination of BA1's bank signature card dated March 1, 2020, BA1, which was used to hold trust funds, was not designated as a trust account in the name of HALE or his licensed fictitious business name as trustee, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832.

Issue Six (6). Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731: Use of False or Fictitious Name

- 20. According to DRE's licensing records to date, during the audit period, HALE has not had any fictitious business names licensed with the DRE. In fact, HALE has not had any fictitious business names licensed with the DRE since February 18, 2017, when his only previously licensed fictitious business name, "Homestar Real Estate Services" expired.
- 21. During the audit period, HALE used the unlicensed fictitious business names "H-SJVPM INC," "Hemet San Jacinto Valley Property Managers," and "Hemet San Jacinto Valley Property Managers" to conduct property management activities during times when HALE was not the holder of licenses bearing such fictitious business names, in violation of Code section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731.

///

Issue Seven (7). Regulation 2725: Broker Supervision

- 22. Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Based on the findings in Issues One (1) through Six (6) above, as the responsible broker, HALE did not exercise adequate supervision and control over the real estate activities conducted by his employees and licensees to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Laws and Regulations. HALE failed to establish policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect, and manage transactions requiring a real estate license and the handling of trust funds by HALE's licensees and employees.
 - 24. HALE's acts and/or omissions are in violation of Regulation 2725.

CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

AUDIT VIOLATIONS IN AUDIT NO. SD210024

- 25. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 23 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. HALE's acts and/or omissions as described above in paragraphs 10 through 23 violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

Issue No.	Paragraphs	<u>Violations</u>
1	11-12	Code section 10145; Regulation 2832.1
2	13-14	Code section 10145; Regulation 2831
3	15-16	Code section 10145; Regulation 2831.1
4	17	Code section 10145; Regulation 2831.2
5	18	Code section 10145; Regulation 2832
6	19-20	Code section 10159.5; Regulation 2731
7	21-23	Regulation 2725

27. The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of HALE's real estate license and license rights under the provisions of Code sections 10177(h), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

1 INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS 2 28. Code section 10106 provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary 3 proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the 4 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to 5 pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 6 **AUDIT COSTS** 7 29. Code section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, the Commissioner shall charge a 8 real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the Commissioner has found in a final decision 9 following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a regulation or 10 rule of the Commissioner interpreting said section. 11 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations 12 of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action 13 against all the licenses and license rights of DAVID GENE HALE under the Real Estate Law, for 14 the costs of investigation and enforcement, and audit as permitted by law, and for such other and 15 further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law, and for costs of audit. 16 November 17 15 Dated at San Diego this day of , 2022. 18 19 Veronica Kilpatrick 20 Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator 21 22 23 cc: DAVID GENE HALE Veronica Kilpatrick 24 Sacto. 25 26

27

28