FILED

SEP 2 8 2022

DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE

By

DIANE LEE, Counsel (SBN 247222) California Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6907 Attorney for Complainant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against

UMER KHAN,

Respondent.

DRE No. H-42435 LA

ACCUSATION

ACCUSATION

DRE No. H-42435 LA

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against UMER KHAN aka Mac Umer aka Mac Umer Khan aka Mohammad Umer ("Respondent") alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code, unless otherwise specified.

25 ///

26 //

27 ///

RESPONDENT'S LICENSE HISTORY

3.

a. Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code, as a real estate broker with Department of Real Estate ("Department") license number 01456001. Respondent's broker license was originally issued on September 30, 2004, and Respondent has been so licensed from September 30, 2004 through the present.

b. Respondent currently holds a Mortgage Loan Originator ("MLO") license endorsement with the Department with the assigned National Mortgage Licensing System and Registry ("NMLS") number 244004. Respondent's MLO license endorsement was originally issued on or about December 1, 2010. Respondent is currently authorized to represent US Lending & Company, Inc. with Department license number 01516868 and NMLS number 244778.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

4.

Respondent is currently, and at the time of the violations described herein, was employed by and authorized to represent US Lending & Company, Inc., a mortgage company. Respondent is the designated officer and president of US Lending & Company, Inc.

5.

Respondent violated the NMLS student Rules of Conduct ("ROC") by using the services of Danny Yen dba Real Estate Educational Services ("REES") to complete his NMLS-approved continuing education ("CE") courses, which constitutes a violation of the licensing requirements of this state and under federal law. Specifically, Respondent used and compensated REES to obtain credit through an in-person fraud scheme. Under the in-person fraud scheme, Respondent used REES to annually report completion of an in-person course for

¹ Respondent also holds a MLO license endorsement in Texas, which was originally issued on or about January 29, 2014.

1	four years from 2017 to 2020. However, REES did not teach the in-person courses, and
2	Respondent neither attended any in-person course nor completed the required exam or course
3	work to receive course credit.
4	
5	NMLS Pre-Licensing and Continuing Education
6	6.
7	The State Regulatory Registry LLC ("SRR"), which owns and operates the
8	NMLS, administers pre-licensing education ("PE"), CE, and Uniform State Test protocols.
9	Title V of Public Law 110-289, the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act
10	of 2008 (the "SAFE Act"), requires that state-licensed MLOs complete PE prior to initial
11	licensure and annual CE thereafter. (See Code section 10166.06.)
12	7. '
13	In order to meet PE requirements contemplated under the SAFE Act, state-
14	licensed MLOs must complete twenty (20) hours of NMLS-approved education. (Code section
15	10166.06(a).)
16	8.
17	In order to meet CE requirements contemplated under the SAFE Act, state-
18	licensed MLOs must complete eight (8) hours of NMLS-approved education. (Code section
19	10166.10(a).)
20	
21	REES
22	9.
23	REES, with NMLS course provider number 1405046, was an NMLS-approved
24	course provider during the years 2017 to 2020.
25	<i> </i>
26	<i>///</i>
27	
	T.

///

The NMLS had approved REES to offer one in-person 8-hour "DBO-SAFE Act Comprehensive: Mortgage Continuing Education" course in a classroom format located at 15751 Brookhurst Street, Suite 230, Westminster, CA 92683 ("Westminster address").

11.

REES was never approved by the NMLS to offer online PE or CE to MLOs.

12.

During all times relevant herein, REES had its primary place of business located at 3643 Adams Street, Carlsbad, California.

REES Investigation

13.

The Mortgage Testing and Education Board ("MTEB"), which was created by SRR, has approved "Administrative Action Procedures for S.A.F.E. Testing and Education Requirements" ("AAP"), which extends administrative authority to the MTEB to investigate alleged violations of the NMLS student Rules of Conduct ("ROC").

14.

The AAP also extends administrative authority to the MTEB and SRR to investigate alleged violations of the NMLS Standards of Conduct ("SOC"), which apply to all NMLS-Approved course providers.

15.

In late 2020, SRR obtained information concerning suspicious activity and that that information identified a possible MLO education cheating scheme coordinated by and implemented through REES and its owners and operators, including Danny Yen. Based on that information, and pursuant to the AAP, SRR initiated an investigation into the matter.

UMER KHAN (H-42435 LA) - ACCUSATION

1	Findings of SRR and Department Investigation
2	16.
3	On or about December 15, 2020, SRR staff were informed of suspected
4	individuals completing online NMLS-approved education courses on behalf of another.
5	17.
6	Additional investigation revealed evidence that REES fraudulently provided
7	course credit to MLOs who had never attended and completed REES' 8-hour in-person CE
8	course in Westminster, California in the in-person fraud scheme.
9	18.
10	Respondent was identified in NMLS records as receiving course credit for
11	REES' 8-hour in-person CE course in 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020. It was determined that none
12	of these in-person courses ever took place, and Respondent never attended an in-person course
13	corresponding to the course credits Respondent received. Consequently, Respondent never tool
14	a knowledge examination required for course credit. It was determined that Respondent had
15	used REES to obtain four years of course credits from 2017 to 2020 in violation of the ROC
16	under the in-person fraud scheme.
17	19.
18	The ROC provide in relevant part:
19	ROC 4: I will not divulge my login ID or password or other login credential(s)
20	to another individual for any online course.
21	ROC 5: I will not seek or attempt to seek outside assistance to complete the
22	course.
23	ROC 8: I will not engage in any capacity that would be contrary to good
24	character or reputation, or engage in any behavior that would cause the public to believe that I
25	would not operate in the mortgage loan business lawfully, honestly or fairly.
26	///
27	///

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
	1
	2
	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

ROC 9: I will not engage in any conduct that is dishonest, fraudulent, or would adversely impact the integrity of the course(s) I am completing and the conditions for which I am seeking licensure or renewal of licensure.

20.

By using the services of another to complete his CE and receiving fraudulent course credits through a non-existent course, Respondent violated ROC 4, 5, and 9, and engaged in conduct that was dishonest, fraudulent, and that adversely impacted the integrity of the courses and the conditions and qualifications for which Respondent sought licensure or renewal of licensure.

Voluntary Survey

21.

On or about August 11, 2021, Respondent was provided an opportunity via a survey to disclose information about his participation in the REES online PE and CE education fraud. On or about May 6, 2022, Respondent provided a copy of his response that he had given to the State of Washington's Department of Financial Institution, which has been investigating Respondent for similar MLO violations. Respondent replied he did not have "independent recollection" as to whether he attended classes in-person in 2017, did not have "clear recollection" as to whether he attended classes in-person in 2018, showed up in-person to class in either 2018 or 2019 but left after being told he could attend the class off-site, and showed up in-person to class in 2020 but left after being told he could attend the class off-site.

23

24

25

26

27

Financial Responsibility, Character, and General Fitness

22.

Pursuant to Code section 10166.05(c), the Commissioner must deny a MLO license endorsement if the licensee fails to meet the minimum criteria for licensure, which includes a requirement that the applicant "has demonstrated such financial responsibility,

1	character and general fitness as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant a
2	determination that the [MLO] will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the purposes
3	of this division."
4	23.
5	As described in paragraphs 16 through 21 above, Respondent violated ROC 4, 5,
6	and 9 by using the services of another, REES, to falsely obtain course credits through an in-
7	person course that Respondent never attended for the years 2017 to 2020.
8	24.
9	In violating the ROC by using the services of another to falsely obtain course
10	credits, Respondent does not meet the minimum criteria for licensure under Code section
11	10166.05(c). The conduct of Respondent, as alleged above, is grounds for the suspension or
12	revocation of Respondent's license, MLO license endorsement, and license rights pursuant to
13	the provisions of Code sections 10166.051(a), 10166.051(b), 10177(d), and 10177(g) and/or
14	10177(j).
15	
16	GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION
17	25.
18	Code section 10166.05 provides in pertinent part, "Notwithstanding any other
19	provision of law, the commissioner shall not issue a license endorsement to act as a mortgage
20	loan originator to an applicant unless the commissioner makes all of the following findings:
21	
22	(c) The applicant has demonstrated such financial responsibility, character, and
23	general fitness as to command the confidence of the community and warrant a determination
24	that the mortgage loan originator will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the
25	purposes of this article."
26	26.
27	Code section 10166.051 provides in pertinent part, "the commissioner may do
i	

1	one or more of the following, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing:
2	(a) Deny, suspend, revoke, restrict, or decline to renew a mortgage loan
3	originator license endorsement for a violation of this article, or any rules or regulations adopted
4	hereunder.
5	(b) Deny, suspend, revoke, condition, or decline to renew a mortgage loan
6	originator license endorsement, if an application or endorsement holder fails at any time to
7	meet the requirements of Section 10166.05 or 10166.09, or withholds information or makes a
8	material misstatement in an application for a license endorsement or license endorsement
9	renewal."
10	27.
11	Code section 10177 provides in pertinent part, "[t]he Commissioner may
12	suspend or revoke the license of a real estate licensee, delay the renewal of a license of a real
13	estate licensee, or deny the issuance of a license to an applicant, who has done any of the
14	following
15	
16	(d) Willfully disregarded or violated the Real Estate Law (Part 1 (commencing
17	with Section 10000)) or Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2 or the rules and
18	regulations of the commissioner for the administration and enforcement of the Real Estate Law
19	and Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2.
20	
21	(g) Demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which
22	the officer, director, or person is required to hold a license.
23	
24	(j) Engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or of a different character
25	than specified in this section, that constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing.
26	///
27	///
l	

case.

COSTS

(INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS)

28.

Code section 10106, provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license(s), MLO endorsement, and/or license rights of Respondent UMER KHAN under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at San Diego, California: September 27, 2022

Veronica Kilpatrick

Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator

UMER KHAN

US Lending & Company, Inc

Veronica Kilpatrick

Sacto.

cc: