Banker Residential Brokerage Company ("CBRBC"), License ID 00616212. CBRBC maintains

28

numerous fictitious business names licensed with the DRE, including Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage ("CBRB"), active as of December 28, 2017, and also maintains numerous branch offices, including a branch office located at 19440 Goldenwest St., Huntington Beach, California ("Huntington Beach Branch Office").

ACTIVITIES REQUIRING A REAL ESTATE LICENSE

4. At all times mentioned herein, in Orange County, California, Respondent engaged in the performance of activities requiring a real estate license pursuant to Code section 10130, and acted, ordered, caused, authorized and/or participated in licensed activities for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the meaning of Code section 10131.

FACTS DISCOVERED BY DRE

- 5. On or about January 8, 2020, the DRE received a complaint from E.D. Jagainst CBRB, THOMPSON, RES Lorie Kapaun ("Kapaun"), License ID 01897430, and RES Farshid Karamzadeh ("Karamzadeh"), License ID 01328762. E.D. alleged that THOMPSON represented E.D. as the buyer's agent in E.D.'s purchase of a manufactured home located at 80 Huntington St. #643, Huntington Beach, California ("Huntington Property"), part of a community of homes named "Surf City Beach Cottages," and that Kapaun was the agent of S.W. and D.M., the sellers of the Huntington Property ("Sellers"), and that Kapaun was also the mother of S.W. Karamzadeh is the former branch manager for the Huntington Beach Branch Office of CBRBC, but who was the branch manager at the time of the events alleged..
 - 6. E.D. alleges that:
 - a. THOMPSON failed to disclose that nearly all the parties involved in the transaction were employed by CBRBC and worked in the same office, including THOMPSON, seller's agent Kapaun, and S.W., and that Kapaun was the mother of S.W.
 - b. THOMPSON advised E.D. he did not need a home inspection, after she learned that the community at Surf City Beach Cottages was doing an inspection of E.D.'s home. After E.D. moved into his home, he discovered a cockroach infestation in the

¹ Initials are used in place of individuals' full names to protect their privacy. Documents containing individuals' full names will be provided during the discovery phase of this case to Respondents and/or their attorney(s), after service of a timely and proper request for discovery on Complainant's counsel.

infestation. E.D. discovered that the inspection conducted by the community was only a site inspection that did not include anything other than the outside of the home, and that THOMPSON was aware of this. The Surf City Beach Cottages community provided a written report of their site inspection to the sellers advising them of the termite infestation.

c. THOMPSON accepted two (2) cashier's checks, dated June 11, 2019 and July 27, 2019, in the amount of \$2,000 each from E.D. for her work in assisting E.D. to

kitchen, a bedbug infestation in the baseboards, hallway, and back bedroom, and a termite

- July 27, 2019, in the amount of \$2,000 each from E.D. for her work in assisting E.D. to find a home but never logged or documented the checks with the escrow company. E.D. alleges that THOMPSON cashed the June 11, 2019 check for \$2,000 on June 20, 2019, and the July 27, 2019 check for \$2,000 on August 12, 2019. On or about June 13, 2019, THOMPSON sent E.D. a text message thanking him for the bonus, referring to the June 11, 2019 check for \$2,000. In reply, E.D. stated, "It wasn't a bonus. It was for the work you've already done." For the check dated July 27, 2019, on the memo line is written, "for work on Spc. 643 (80 Huntington St.)."
- 7. On or about June 15, 2019, THOMPSON prepared an offer on behalf of E.D. for the purchase of the Huntington Property, which was submitted to the Sellers on a standard form Manufactured Home Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions ("Purchase Agreement").
- 8. On or about June 17, 2019, the Sellers accepted E.D.'s offer, and the Purchase Agreement was fully executed. Escrow closed on the Huntington Property on or about August 6, 2019.
- 9. On or about August 6, 2019, the escrow company prepared a Final Settlement Statement, a Buyer Final Closing Statement, a Seller's Final Closing Statement, and a Check Register. None of these documents contained entries for E.D.'s cashier's checks made payable to THOMPSON dated June 11, 2019 and July 27, 2019.
- 10. On or about September 8, 2021, E.D. obtained a judgment in his favor in Orange County Superior Court, Small Claims Case No. 30-2021-01209932-SC-CJC, against TOMPSON and Karamzadeh in the amount of \$9,900.00 in principal, and \$365.00 in costs.

28 || ///

///

///

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW – CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

- 11. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. In the course of the activities described above in Paragraph 4, and based on the facts discovered by the DRE, as described in Paragraphs 5 through 10 above, THOMPSON acted in violation of the Real Estate Law as follows.

<u>First Cause of Accusation: Code Sections 10176(g), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) - Taking of Secret or Undisclosed Compensation</u>

- 13. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 12 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. THOMPSON's acts and/or omissions in taking and cashing two (2) cashier's checks from E.D. totaling \$4,000, and failing to disclose such amount in escrow documents is in violation of Code sections 10176(g), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and constitutes cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent THOMPSON pursuant to Code sections 10176(g), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

Second Cause of Accusation: Code Sections 10137, and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) - Unlawful Compensation

- 15. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. THOMPSON's acts and/or omissions in accepting and cashing cashier's checks as compensation for activity requiring a real estate license totaling \$4,000 from E.D., and accepting such compensation from a person other than the broker under whom she was at the time licensed, is in violation of Code sections 10137, and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and constitutes cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent THOMPSON pursuant to Code sections 10176(g), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

ACCUSATION

26 ||

Third Cause of Accusation: Breach of Fiduciary Duties

- 17. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 16 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. At all relevant times herein, while acting as a real estate salesperson and agent of E.D., THOMPSON owed E.D. fiduciary duties, including, but not limited to the following: duty of reasonable care and skill; duty of good faith; duty of loyalty; duty of diligence; duty to avoid conflicts of interest; duty of fullest disclosure of all material facts affecting E.D.'s rights and interests; duty to fully account in a timely manner for all funds and property received in which E.D. has or may have an interest
- 19. In the course of the activities described above in Paragraph 4, and based on the facts discovered by the DRE, as alleged in Paragraphs 5 through 10 above, THOMPSON's acts and/or omissions constitute breaches of her fiduciary duties, and constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of THOMPSON's real estate license and license rights under the provisions of Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

COSTS

(INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS)

20). C c	ode section 10106 provides, in pertinent part that in any order issued in
resolution	of a dis	ciplinary proceeding before the DRE, the Commissioner may request the
administra	ative lav	v judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
pay a sum	not to	exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

- 5 -

| ///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this
2	Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action
3	against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent LORI MELINDA THOMPSON under the
4	Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such
5	other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.
6	
7	Dated at Los Angeles, California this5th_day ofAugust_, 2022.
8	
9	
10	Jason Parson by M. Suarez Jason Parson Supervising Special Investigator
11	Supervising Special Investigator
12	
13	cc: LORI MELINDA THOMPSON
14	Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage Company Jason Parson Sacto.
15	Sacto.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 6 -

ACCUSATION