1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KEVIN H. SUN, Counsel (SBN 276539) California Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6904 Fax: (213) 576-6917 Attorney for Complainant FILED AUG 0 8 2022 DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE By
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against) DRE No. H-42324 LA
13) ASHRIF J HAMMAD,)
14) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
15	Respondent.)
16	
17	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
18	State of California, for cause of Accusation against ASHRIF J HAMMAD ("Respondent")
19	alleges as follows:
20	1.
21	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
22	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.
23	2.
24	All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code
25	and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.
26	///
27	///
	ACCUSATION - 1 -

1	LICENSE HISTORY
2	3.
3	a. Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code, as a
4	real estate broker with Department of Real Estate ("Department") license ID 01700230.
5	b. Respondent's broker license was originally issued on July 28, 2005, and is
6	scheduled to expire on July 27, 2025, unless renewed.
7	c. Respondent has license rights to Mortgage Loan Originator (MLO) license
8	endorsement with the Department with the assigned National Mortgage Licensing System and
9	Registry ("NMLS") No. 306259.
10	STATEMENT OF FACTS
11	4.
12	Respondent violated the NMLS student Rules of Conduct ("ROC") by using the
13	services of Danny Yen, dba Real Estate Educational Services ("REES") to complete his
14	NMLS-approved continuing education ("CE") courses, which constitutes a violation of the
15	licensing requirements of this state and under federal law. Specifically, Respondent used REES
16	to obtain credit through an in-person fraud scheme. Under the in-person fraud scheme,
17	Respondent used REES to annually report completion of an in-person course for four years
18	from 2017 to 2020. REES did not teach the in-person course and Respondent never attended
19	the in-person course nor completed the required exam or course work to receive course credit.
20	NMLS Pre-Licensing and Continuing Education
21	5.
22	The State Regulatory Registry LLC ("SRR"), which owns and operates the
23	NMLS, administers pre-licensing education ("PE") and CE and Uniform State Test protocols.
24	Title V of Public Law 110-289, the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act
25	of 2008 (the SAFE Act), requires that state-licensed MLOs complete PE prior to initial
26	licensure and annual CE thereafter. (See Code section 10166.06.)
27	///
	ACCUSATION - 2 -

1	6.
2	In order to meet PE requirements contemplated under the SAFE Act, state-
3	licensed MLOs must complete twenty (20) hours of NMLS-approved education. (Code section
4	10166.06(a).)
5	7.
6	In order to meet CE requirements contemplated under the SAFE Act, state-
7	licensed MLOs must complete eight (8) hours of NMLS-approved education. (Code section
8	10166.10(a).)
9	REES
10	8.
11	REES, with NMLS course provider number 1405046, was an NMLS-approved
12	course provider during the years 2017 to 2020.
13	9.
14	The NMLS had approved REES to offer one in-person 8-hour "DBO-SAFE Act
15	Comprehensive: Mortgage Continuing Education" course in a classroom format located at
16	15751 Brookhurst Street, Suite 230, Westminster, California (Westminster address).
17	10.
18	REES was never approved by the NMLS to offer online PE or CE to MLOs.
19	11.
20	During all times relevant herein, REES had its primary place of business located
21	at 3643 Adams Street, Carlsbad, California.
22	REES Investigation
23	12.
24	The Mortgage Testing and Education Board ("MTEB"), which was created by
25	SRR, has approved "Administrative Action Procedures for S.A.F.E. Testing and Education
26	Requirements" ("AAP"), which extends administrative authority to the MTEB to investigate
27	alleged violations of the NMLS student Rules of Conduct ("ROC").
	ACCUSATION
	- 3 -

1	13.	
2	The AAP also extends administrative authority to the MTEB and SRR to	
3	investigate alleged violations of the NMLS Standards of Conduct ("SOC"), which apply to all	
4	NMLS-Approved course providers.	
5	14.	
6	In late 2020, SRR obtained information concerning suspicious activity and that	
7	that information identified a possible MLO education cheating scheme coordinated by and	
8	implemented through REES and its owners and operators, including Danny Yen. Based on that	
9	information, and pursuant to the AAP, SRR initiated an investigation into the matter.	
10	Findings of SRR and Department Investigation	
11	15.	
12	On or about December 15, 2020, SRR staff were informed of suspected	
13	individuals completing online NMLS-approved education courses on behalf of another.	
14	16.	
15	Additional investigation revealed evidence that REES fraudulently provided	
16	course credit to MLOs who had never attended and completed REES' 8-hour in-person CE	
17	course in Westminster, California in the in-person fraud scheme.	
18	17.	
19	Respondent was identified in NMLS records as receiving course credit for	
20	REES' 8-hour in-person CE course in 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020. It was determined that none	
21	of these in-person courses ever took place and Respondent never attended an in-person course	
22	corresponding to the course credits Respondent received. Consequently, Respondent never took	
23	a knowledge examination required for course credit. It was determined that Respondent had	
24	used REES to obtain four years of course credits from 2017 to 2020 in violation of the ROC	
25	under the in-person fraud scheme.	
26	///	
27	///	
	ACCUSATION - 4 -	
		ļ

1	18.
2	The ROC provide in relevant part:
3	ROC 3: I understand that the SAFE Act and state laws require me to spend a
4	specific amount of time in specific subject areas. Accordingly, I will not attempt to circumvent
5	the requirements of any NMLS approved course.
6	ROC 5: I will not seek or attempt to seek outside assistance to complete the
7	course.
8	ROC 8: I will not engage in any capacity that would be contrary to good
9	character or reputation, or engage in any behavior that would cause the public to believe that I
10	would not operate in the mortgage loan business lawfully, honestly or fairly.
11	ROC 9: I will not engage in any conduct that is dishonest, fraudulent, or would
12	adversely impact the integrity of the course(s) I am completing and the conditions for which I
13	am seeking licensure or renewal of licensure.
14	19.
15	By using the services of another to complete his CE and receiving fraudulent
16	course credits through a non-existent course, Respondent violated ROC 3, 5, 8, and 9, and
17	engaged in conduct that was dishonest, fraudulent, and that adversely impacted the integrity of
18	the courses and the conditions and qualifications for which Respondent sought licensure or
19	renewal of licensure.
20	Financial Responsibility, Character, and General Fitness
21	20.
22	Pursuant to Code section 10166.05(c), the Commissioner must deny a MLO
23	license endorsement if the licensee fails to meet the minimum criteria for licensure, which
24	includes a requirement that the applicant "has demonstrated such financial responsibility,
25	character and general fitness as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant a
26	determination that the [MLO] will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the purposes
27	of this division."
	ACCUSATION - 5 -

1	21.
2	As described in paragraphs 15 through 19 above, Respondent violated ROC 3, 5,
3	8 and 9 by using the services of another, REES, to falsely obtain course credits through an in-
4	person course that Respondent never attended for the years 2017 to 2020.
5	22.
6	In violating the ROC by using the services of another to falsely obtain course
7	credits, Respondent does not meet the minimum criteria for licensure under Code section
8	10166.05(c). The conduct of Respondent, as alleged above, is grounds for the suspension or
9	revocation of Respondent's license, MLO license endorsement, and license rights pursuant to
10	the provisions of Code sections 10166.051(a), 10166.051(b), 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or
11	10177(j).
12	GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION
13	23.
14	Section 10166.05 of the Code provides in pertinent part, "Notwithstanding any
15	other provision of law, the commissioner shall not issue a license endorsement to act as a
16	mortgage loan originator to an applicant unless the commissioner makes all of the following
17	findings:
18	
19	(c) The applicant has demonstrated such financial responsibility, character, and
20	general fitness as to command the confidence of the community and warrant a determination
21	that the mortgage loan originator will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the
22	purposes of this article."
23	24.
24	Section 10166.051 of the Code provides in pertinent part, "the commissioner
25	may do one or more of the following, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing:
26	(a) Deny, suspend, revoke, restrict, or decline to renew a mortgage loan
27	originator license endorsement for a violation of this article, or any rules or regulations adopted
	ACCUSATION
	- 6 -

1 || ł

hereunder.

(b) Deny, suspend, revoke, condition, or decline to renew a mortgage loan 2 originator license endorsement, if an application or endorsement holder fails at any time to 3 meet the requirements of Section 10166.05 or 10166.09, or withholds information or makes a 4 material misstatement in an application for a license endorsement or license endorsement 5 renewal." 6 25. 7 Section 10177 of the Code provides in pertinent part, "[t]he Commissioner may 8 suspend or revoke the license of a real estate licensee, delay the renewal of a license of a real 9 estate licensee, or deny the issuance of a license to an applicant, who has done any of the 10 following... 11 12 (d) Willfully disregarded or violated the Real Estate Law (Part 1 (commencing 13 with Section 10000)) or Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2 or the rules and 14 regulations of the commissioner for the administration and enforcement of the Real Estate Law 15 and Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2. 16 17 (g) Demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which 18 the officer, director, or person is required to hold a license. 19 20 (j) Engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or of a different character 21 than specified in this section, that constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing. 22 COSTS 23 (INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS) 24 25 26. Section 10106 of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in 26 resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request 27 ACCUSATION -7-

1	the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this
2	part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the
3	case.
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of
5	this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
6	action against the license(s), MLO endorsement, and/or license rights of Respondent ASHRIF J
7	HAMMAD under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and enforcement as
8	permitted by law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable
9	provisions of law.
10	
11	Dated at San Diego, California this <u>4</u> day of <u>August</u> , 2022.
12	
13	
14	Veronica Kilpatrick
15	Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator
16	
17	
18	cc: ASHRIF J HAMMAD
19	Veronica Kilpatrick Sacto.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	ACCUSATION
	- 8 -
1	