

JUN 27 2022
DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE
By

LAURENCE D. HAVESON, Counsel (SBN 152631) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6854 Fax: (213) 576-6917

Attorney for Complainant

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-42269-LA)

CHRISTINE PATRICIA LEE,) ACCUSATION)

Respondent.)

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator for the Department of Real Estate ("Department" or "DRE") of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against CHRISTINE PATRICIA LEE ("LEE" or "Respondent"), alleges as follows:

- 1. The Complainant, Maria Suarez, acting in her official capacity as a Supervising Special Investigator, makes this Accusation against Respondent.
- 2. All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

LICENSE HISTORY

3. Respondent LEE has been licensed by the Department as a real estate broker ("REB"), License ID 01317826, from on or about May 25, 2004 through the present, with LEE's license scheduled to expire on May 24, 2024 unless renewed. LEE was previously licensed as a real estate salesperson ("RES"), from on or about September 13, 2001 through May 24, 2004. LEE maintains the fictitious business name, "Lee & Company," licensed by the DRE and active as of October 21, 2019. Previously, LEE maintained the fictitious business name, "The C. Lee

Group," from on or about May 25, 2004 to on or about September 15, 2019. According to DRE records to date, LEE has no branch offices and employs no salespersons.

BROKERAGE: LEE

4. At all times mentioned, in the Counties of Los Angeles and San Bernardino, LEE acted as a real estate broker, conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code section 10131(b): leasing or renting, offering to lease or rent, or collecting rents from real property for others.

FACTS DISCOVERED BY DRE

- 5. On or about August 17, 2020, the DRE received a written complaint against LEE and The C. Lee Group from D.J. deleging that D.J., his wife L.J., and his mother, F.J., entered into a property management agreement with LEE in which LEE agreed to manage a family triplex located in Long Beach, California, beginning on November 1, 2019.
- 6. On or about October 7, 2019, D.J., F.J., and LEE executed a property management agreement, which provided for LEE to receive property management fees of six percent (6%) of gross, and five percent (5%) of annual lease amount for renting or leasing units. One of the units of the tri-plex had a Section 8 tenant, which failed inspection in November 2019. When D.J. did not receive the rental payment deposits for the tri-plex for November and December 2019, and received no response from LEE after attempting to contact her by phone, text, and e-mail, D.J. sent LEE a letter by certified mail terminating her services. While LEE e-mailed a response to D.J., she did not provide rental payments for November and December 2019, or for January 2020. On January 10, 2020, D.J. sent LEE a text message demanding payment of the rental deposits, but LEE did not provide payment.
 - 7. On March 20, 2020, D.J. filed an action in small claims court for \$5,624.96.
- 8. On or about November 9, 2020, the DRE received a written complaint against LEE and The C. Lee Group from L.H. alleging that LEE breached a property management contract with

¹ Initials are used in place of individuals' full names to protect their privacy. Documents containing individuals' full names will be provided during the discovery phase of this case to Respondent and/or her attorney(s), after service of a timely and proper request for discovery on Complainant's counsel.

L.H. in or around July 2019 by collecting a total of \$3,325.00 in rent from tenants residing in three properties owned by L.H. and failing to pay such funds to L.H.

9. On or about September 27, 2019, L.H. filed a small claims action against LEE and The C. Lee Group in San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. SMCFS1906755. On or about June 18, 2020, the court entered judgment against LEE and the C. Lee Group, and awarded L.H. \$3,325.00 plus \$430.00 in costs, for a total judgment of \$3,755.00 against LEE.

<u>VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW – CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE</u>

10. In the course of the activities described above in Paragraph 4, and based on the facts discovered by the DRE, as described in Paragraphs 5 through 9 above, LEE acted in violation of the Code and Regulations as follows.

<u>First Cause of Accusation: Code sections 10145 and 10176(i): Handling of Trust Funds:</u> <u>Fraud or Dishonest Dealing</u>

- 11. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. LEE collected trust funds from tenants renting properties from D.J. and L.H., but did not disburse such trust funds to D.J. and L.H. in accordance with the property management agreements LEE entered into with D.J. and L.H., in violation of Code sections 10145 and 10176(i). Such violations of Code sections 10145 and 10176(i) constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of LEE's real estate license and license rights under the provisions of Code sections 10176(i), and 10177(d) and/or (g).

Second Cause of Accusation: Breach Of Fiduciary Duties

L.H., the residential property owners, for the purpose of granting LEE the exclusive right to rent, lease, operate, and manage the properties on behalf of the owners. The PMAs used by LEE provided LEE with the authority to collect rents, other fees, charges, and security deposits. LEE engaged in licensed activities within the meaning of Code section 10131(b) on behalf of the residential property owners that contracted with LEE under the PMAs: leasing or renting, offering

to lease or rent, or collecting rents from real property for others, and other property management activities. Through LEE's real estate activities on behalf of the residential property owners, LEE created a fiduciary relationship with each residential property owner.

- 14. Between July 2019 and January 2020, LEE engaged in a fraudulent course of conduct of collecting trust funds from tenants residing in properties owned by D.J. and L.H. and failing to disburse such trust funds to D.J. and L.H.
- manager, LEE owed the residential property owners fiduciary duties, including, but not limited to the following: duty of reasonable care and skill; duty of good faith; duty of loyalty; duty to diligently exercise reasonable care, diligence, and skill in representing the residential property owners and in the performance of the responsibilities agreed to in the PMAs; duty to avoid conflicts of interest; duty of fullest disclosure of all material facts affecting the residential property owners' rights and interests; duty not to use or deal with rents or other charges and expenses due for any other purpose unconnected with the PMAs and property management activities; duty to manage the properties and trust funds solely in the interests of the residential property owners; duty to take and keep control of and preserve the residential property owners' properties and trust funds; duty to fully account in a timely manner for all funds and property received in which the residential property owners have or may have an interest; duty to obediently, efficiently and promptly follow the lawful instructions of his or her client; and duty to exercise the utmost honesty, absolute candor, integrity, and unselfishness toward the residential property owners.
- 16. In the course of the activities described above in paragraphs 1 through 15, and based on the facts discovered by the DRE, LEE's acts and/or omissions constitute breaches of her fiduciary duties, and constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of LEE's real estate license and license rights under the provisions of Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) and Regulation 2830.

INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS

17. Code section 10106 provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the

- 1	
1	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
2	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
3	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
4	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action
5	against the license and license rights of CHRISTINE PATRICIA LEE under the Real Estate Law,
6	for the costs of investigation and enforcement, and audit as permitted by law, and for such other
7	and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law, and for costs of audit.
8	
9	Dated at Los Angeles, California this <u>23rd</u> day of <u>June</u> , 2022.
10	
11	Maria Suarez
12	Maria Suarez \mathcal{O}
13	Supervising Special Investigator
14	cc: CHRISTINE PATRICIA LEE
15	Maria Suarez Sacto.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	