Julie L. To (SBN 219482)
Department of Real Estate
320 West 4th Street, Suite 350
Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
(213) 576-6982 (office)
(213) 576-6916 (direct)
julie.to@dre.ca.gov
Counsel for Complainant

FILED

JUL 13 2021

DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT INC:

STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK, as designated officer of Southern California Real Estate Management Inc; and

KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN, as former designated officer of Southern California Real Estate Management Inc.

Respondents.

No. H-42063 LA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, acting in her official capacity as a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT INC, KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN, and STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK (collectively, "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:

25 ///

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

date:

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE HISTORY

2.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT INC ("SCREMI")

According to Department of Real Estate ("DRE" or "Department") records to

A. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT INC ("SCREMI") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a real estate corporation (broker) ("REC") DRE license ID 00969557.

B. SCREMI was originally licensed by the DRE on or about September 21, 1987, and it has one current DBA for "SCREM," active as of March 15, 2021 and no branch offices.

C. SCREMI's main and mailing address of record on file with the DRE are the same: 28001 Smyth Drive, Suite 107, Valencia, CA 91355 ("Valencia address").

D. STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK is SCREM's designated officer ("D.O.") of record, beginning on or about November 21, 2019 until her officer expiration date of September 20, 2023. KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN was SCREMI's D.O. from January 1, 2019 until his officer affiliation was cancelled on November 1, 2019

E. SCREMI has two (2) real estate broker ("REB") associates and six (6) real estate salespersons ("RES") affiliated with its DRE license.

F. SCREMI's license will expire on September 20, 2023.

///

4 | | ///

5 ///

STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK ("STELNICK")

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

A. STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK ("STELNICK") is presently licensed

According to DRE records to date:

and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a REB, DRE license ID 02097575.

B. STELNICK was originally licensed by the DRE on or about August 21, 2019, and has no DBAs or branch offices affiliated with her license.

C. STELNICK's main address of record on file with the DRE is 28001 Smyth Drive, Unit 101, Valencia, CA 91355, and her mailing address of record is 23890 Copperhill Drive, Suite 405, Valencia, CA 91354.

D. STELNICK is SCREM's D.O., beginning on or about November 21, 2019 until her officer expiration date of September 20, 2023. STELNICK is also the D.O. for JSL Realty, Inc. (DRE license ID 01527780), until her officer expiration of October 1, 2023, and for It Realty, Inc. (DRE license ID 02133501), until her officer expiration of January 28, 2025.

E. STELNICK's license will expire on August 20, 2023.

4.

KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN ("DETERMAN")

According to DRE records to date:

A. KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN ("DETERMAN") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a REB, DRE license ID 00840199.

B. DETERMAN was originally licensed by the DRE as a RES on or about October 12, 1986, and as a REB on or about July 23, 1987, and has no DBAs or branch offices affiliated with his license.

(C) An unlicensed employee of the individual broker, if the broker has fidelity bond coverage equal to at least the maximum amount of the trust funds to which the unlicensed employee has access at any time. For purposes of this section, bonds providing coverage may be written with a deductible of up to 5 percent of the coverage amount. For bonds with a deductible, the employing broker shall have evidence of financial responsibility that is sufficient to protect members of the public against a loss subject to the deductible amount.

Evidence of financial responsibility shall include one or more of the following:

- (i) Separate fidelity bond coverage adequate to cover the amount of the fidelity bond deductible.
- (ii) A cash deposit held in a separate account, apart from other funds of the broker, the broker's employees, or the broker's principals, in a bank or recognized depository in this state adequate to cover the amount of the fidelity bond deductible and held exclusively and solely for the purpose of paying the fidelity bond deductible amount.
- (iii) Any other evidence of financial responsibility approved by the commissioner.
- (3) An arrangement under which a person enumerated in subparagraph (A),(B), or (C) of paragraph (2) is authorized to make withdrawals from a trust fund account of a broker shall not relieve an individual broker, nor the broker-officer of a corporate broker licensee, from responsibility or liability as provided by law in handling trust funds in the broker's custody.

- (b) For each bank account which contains trust funds, a record of all trust funds received and disbursed shall be maintained in accordance with subdivision (a) or (c).
- (c) Maintenance of journals of account cash receipts and disbursements, or similar records, or automated data processing systems, including computer systems and electronic storage and manipulation of information and documents, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, shall constitute compliance with subdivision (a) provided that such journals, records, or systems contain the elements required by subdivision (a) and that such elements are maintained in a format that will readily enable tracing and reconciliation in accordance with Section 2831.2.
- (d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit a violation of Section 10145 of the Code.
- (e) A broker is not required to keep records pursuant to this section of checks which are written by a principal, given to the broker and made payable to third parties for the provision of services, including but not limited to escrow, credit and appraisal services, when the total amount of such checks for any transaction from that principal does not exceed \$1,000. Upon request of the Department or the maker of such checks, a broker shall account for the receipt and distribution of such checks. A broker shall retain for three years copies of receipts issued or obtained in connection with the receipt and distribution of such checks."

7.

Pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 Separate Record for Each Beneficiary or Transaction:

"(a) A broker shall keep a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting for all funds which have been deposited to the broker's trust bank account and interest, if any, earned on the funds on deposit. The record shall include information sufficient to

given by the officer through whom the corporation is licensed and that the
officer is an authorized signatory of the trust fund account.

(c) An arrangement under which a person enumerated in paragraph (1), (2) or (3) of subdivision (a) above is authorized to make withdrawals from a trust fund account of a broker shall not relieve an individual broker, nor the broker-officer of a corporate broker licensee, from responsibility or liability as provided by law in handling trust funds in the broker's custody."

11.

Pursuant to Regulation 2835 Commingling:

"Commingling" as used in Section 10176(e) of the Code is prohibited except as specified in this section. For purposes of Section 10176(e), the following shall not constitute "commingling":

- (a) The deposit into a trust account of reasonably sufficient funds, not to exceed \$200, to pay service charges or fees levied or assessed against the account by the bank or financial institution where the account is maintained.
- (b) The deposit into a trust account maintained in compliance with subdivision (d) of funds belonging in part to the broker's principal and in part to the broker when it is not reasonably practicable to separate such funds, provided the part of the funds belonging to the broker is disbursed not later than twenty-five days after their deposit and there is no dispute between the broker and the broker's principal as to the broker's portion of the funds. When the right of a broker to receive a portion of trust funds is disputed by the broker's principal, the disputed portion shall not be withdrawn until the dispute is finally settled.
- (c) The deposit into a trust account of broker owned funds in connection with activities pursuant to either subdivision (d) or (e) of Section 10131 of the Code or when making, collecting payments or servicing a loan which is subject to the provisions of Section 10240 of the Code provided:

commissioner or his or her designated representative during regular business hours; and shall, upon the appearance of sufficient cause, be subject to audit without further notice, except that the audit shall not be harassing in nature. This subdivision shall not be construed to require a licensed real estate broker to retain electronic messages of an ephemeral nature, as described in subdivision (d) of Section 1624 of the Civil Code.

- (b) The commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the commissioner has found, in a final desist and refrain order issued under Section 10086 or in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing held in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code that the broker has violated Section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the commissioner interpreting Section 10145.
- (c) If a broker fails to pay for the cost of an audit as described in subdivision (b) within 60 days of mailing a notice of billing, the commissioner may suspend or revoke the broker's license or deny renewal of the broker's license. The suspension or denial shall remain in effect until the cost is paid or until the broker's right to renew a license has expired.
- (d) The commissioner may maintain an action for the recovery of the cost of an audit in any court of competent jurisdiction. In determining the cost incurred by the commissioner for an audit, the commissioner may use the estimated average hourly cost for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers.
- (e) The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of any real estate broker, real estate salesperson, or corporation licensed as a real estate broker, if the real estate broker, real estate salesperson, or any director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation licensed as a real estate broker knowingly destroys, alters, conceals, mutilates, or falsifies any of the books, papers, writings, documents, or tangible objects that are required to be maintained by this section

or that have been sought in connection with an investigation, audit, or examination of a real estate licensee by the commissioner."

13.

Broker Supervision

(Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725)

Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 Responsibility of Corporate Officer in Charge:

- "(a) The officer designated by a corporate broker licensee pursuant to Section 10211 shall be responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of this division, including the supervision of salespersons licensed to the corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required.
- (b) A corporate broker licensee that has procured additional licenses in accordance with Section 10158 through officers other than the officer designated pursuant to Section 10211 may, by appropriate resolution of its board of directors, assign supervisory responsibility over salespersons licensed to the corporation to its broker-officers.
- (c) A certified copy of any resolution of the board of directors assigning supervisory responsibility over real estate salespersons licensed to the corporation shall be filed with the Real Estate Commissioner within five days after the adoption or modification thereof."

14.

Pursuant to Regulation 2725 Broker Supervision:

- "A broker shall exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of his or her salespersons. Reasonable supervision includes, as appropriate, the establishment of policies, rules, procedures and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage:
 - (a)Transactions requiring a real estate license.

1	(b) Documents which may have a material effect upon the rights or obligations of		
2	a party to the transaction.		
3	(c) Filing, storage and maintenance of such documents.		
4	(d) The handling of trust funds.		
5	(e) Advertising of any service for which a license is required.		
6	(f) Familiarizing salespersons with the requirements of federal and state laws		
7	relating to the prohibition of discrimination.		
8	(g) Regular and consistent reports of licensed activities of salespersons.		
9	The form and extent of such policies, rules, procedures and systems shall take into consideration		
10	the number of salespersons employed and the number and location of branch offices. A broker		
11	shall establish a system for monitoring compliance with such policies, rules, procedures and		
12	systems. A broker may use the services of brokers and salespersons to assist in administering the		
13	provisions of this section so long as the broker does not relinquish overall responsibility for		
14	supervision of the acts of salespersons licensed to the broker."		
15	<i> </i>		
16			
17	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18			
19	///		
20			
21			
22	<i>///</i>		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

False or Fictitious Business Name

(Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731)

Pursuant to Code Section 10159.5(a)(1) Fictitious Name:

"Every person applying for a license under this chapter who desires to have the license issued under a fictitious business name shall file with his or her application a certified copy of his or her fictitious business name statement filed with the county clerk pursuant to Chapter 5 (commending with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7."

16.

Pursuant to Regulation 2731(a) Use of False or Fictitious Name:

"A licensee shall not use a fictitious name in the conduct of any activity for which a license is required under the Real Estate Law unless the licensee is the holder of a license bearing the fictitious business name."

17.

Grounds for Revocation or Suspension

(Code Section 10176)

Pursuant to Code Section 10176 Grounds for Revocation or Suspension:

"The commissioner may, upon his or her own motion, and shall, upon the verified complaint in writing of any person, investigate the actions of any person engaged in the business or acting in the capacity of a real estate licensee within this state, and he or she may temporarily suspend or permanently revoke a real estate license at any time where the licensee, while a real estate licensee, in performing or attempting to perform any of the acts within the scope of this chapter has been guilty of any of the following:

(e) Commingling with his or her own money or property the money or other property of others which is received and held by him or her..."

18.

Further Grounds for Disciplinary Action

(Code Section 10177)

Pursuant to Code Section 10177 Further Grounds for Disciplinary Action:

"The commissioner may suspend or revoke the license of a real estate licensee, delay the renewal of a license of a real estate licensee, or deny the issuance of a license to an applicant, who has done any of the following:

(d) Willfully disregarded or violated the Real Estate Law (Part 1 (commencing with Section 10000)) or Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2 or the rules and regulations of the commissioner for the administration and enforcement of the Real Estate Law and Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000) of Part 2."

(g) Demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license..."

DRE AUDIT LA 200027:

SCREMI'S PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

19.

On April 27, 2021 the DRE completed its audit examinations of the books and records of Respondent SCREM pertaining to its property management activities for the period of time beginning on January 1, 2019 and ending on July 31, 2020 ("audit examination period" or "audit period"). The final report of April 27, 2021 revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in the Audit

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Document Collection for Audit Examination

Telephonic and E-Mail Communications

The DRE's audit examination was conducted based on limited records provided by SCREMI. The DRE auditor made multiple attempts to contact SCREMI's former D.O. DETERMAN and current D.O.:

- A. On September 7, 2020, the DRE auditor contacted STELNICK and DETERMAN via telephone numbers obtained from the DRE's licensing records. The DRE auditor left messages for both STELNICK and DETERMAN to return her call.
- B. On September 8, 2020, a DRE audit appointment letter was sent via certified mail to SCREMI's D.O. STELNICK's main and mailing address on file with the DRE for a scheduled September 18, 2020 telephone conference appointment at 10:30 A.M.. The appointment letter also requested SCRMI/STELNICK to produce SCREMI's books and records for the audit as stated in the appointment letter, and pursuant to Code Section 10148.
- C. On October 7, 2020, after several unsuccessful attempts to contact D.O. DETERMAN, the DRE auditor sent DETERMAN an email to notify him of the on-going DRE audit of SCREMI.
- D. On October 8, 2020, upon receiving no response e-mail from DETERMAN, the DRE auditor sent a second e-mail to DETERMAN. According to DETERMAN's e-mail on the same date, he sold SCREMI in January of 2020 and did not have access to any of the records requested for the subject audit examination period.

\sim	
•	
4	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Subpoena Duces Tecum

On February 11, 2021, a subpoena duces tecum dated February 9, 2021 was served on D.O. STELNICK at 3:55 P.M. by DRE Special Investigator ("SI") Tom N. On February 16, 2021, the February 9, 2021 subpoena duces tecum was served on former D.O. DETERMAN at 3:55 P.M. also by DRE SI Tom N. The subpoena duces tecum was served on STELNICK and DETERMAN to produce all books, records and documents related to SCREMI's real estate activities during the audit period (January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019) on March 2, 2021 at 10:00 A.M. at the DRE's Los Angeles District Office.

22.

Authorization Letter

On February 17, 2021, an authorization letter was received from D.O. STELNICK that authorized compliance consultant Pamela Strickland (DRE license ID 00776886) ("Strickland") to work and represent SCREMI.

23.

Records Under New Ownership

On February 24, 2021, former D.O. DETERMAN indicated that, "the new owners/broker has all the records."

24.

Incomplete Records for Audit Examination

As of the audit report date (April 27, 2021), former D.O. DETERMAN and SCREMI failed to provide for the DRE audit examination the following records pertaining to SCREMI's trust account 3 ("TA 3"): complete bank statements, bank signature card, records of all trust fund receipts and disbursements, separate records, and copies of cancelled checks related to SCREMI's property management activities.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

/// 18

///

///

///

///

///

19 20

21

22

23 ///

24 ///

25

26

27

Corporate Structure

According to D.O. STELNICK, SCREMI's corporate structure as of September 18, 2020 was as follows: STELNICK is the Chief Executive Officer, Vice-President and fiftypercent (50%) owner and non-licensee Todd Stelnick is the Secretary, Vice-President and fiftypercent (50%) owner.

26.

Business Activities

According to D.O. STELNICK and the records examined, SCREMI was primarily involved in providing property management services for compensation. SCREMI managed approximately six-hundred (620) properties with six-hundred thirty-eight (638) unites for sixhundred eight (608) property owners. SCREMI charged a management fee ranging five percent (5%) to eleven percent (11%) of collected rents or a flat fee ranging from \$112.50 to \$137.50 for property management services. In its property management activities, SCREMI handled approximately \$17,458,000.00 in trust funds through its trust accounts in the last twelve (12) months ending in July 31, 2020, and used APPFOLIO software to maintain its records. ///

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

///

///

22

23

24 25

26

27

Trust Accounts

According to the DRE auditor's discussions with D.O. STELNICK and SCREMI's office manager, non-licensee Gayle Friedman ("Friedman"), SCREMI maintained three (3) trust accounts for multiple beneficiaries for the handling of trust fund receipts and disbursements for its property management activities during the subject audit period. During the audit period, SCREMI maintained two (2) trust accounts at Mission Valley Bank and one trust account at Union Bank for handling rents and security deposits collected from tenants:

<u>Trust Account 1 - ###1857 ("TA 1")</u>

- * Bank: Mission Valley Bank (Santa Clarita, CA)
- * Account Name: Southern California Real Estate Management Inc "Trust 1"
- * Signatories: STELNICK, Todd Stelnick, and Gayle Friedman.
- * Number of Signatures Required: One (1)

TA 1 is a trust account for multiple beneficiaries and was used as a depository for rents collected from tenants. Disbursements from TA 1 were payments for expenses related to the properties managed, owner remittances, and management fees to SCREMI.

Trust Account 2 - ###1860 ("TA 2")

- * Bank: Mission Valley Bank (Sun Valley, CA)
- * Account Name: Southern California Real Estate Mngmt Trust 2/Security Deposits
- * Signatories: STELNICK, Todd Stelnick, and Gayle Friedman.
- * Number of Signatures Required: One (1)

TA 2 is a trust account for multiple beneficiaries and was used as a depository for security deposits collected from tenants.

11 12

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

///

///

///

///

23

24

25

26 27

AUDIT FINDINGS: VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW BY SCREMI

30.

In the course of property management activities described above in Paragraph 26 and during the audit examination period described in Paragraph 19, Respondent SCREMI violated the Code and the Regulations, as described below:

31.

Trust Fund Handling for Multiple Beneficiaries

(Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1)

A. [D.O. STELNICK, November 12, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] As of audit cutoff date July 31, 2020, TA 1 had a minimum shortage of <\$7,572.00>. This minimum shortage was caused by minimum negative property balances <\$7,572.00> as of the cufoff date. There was a minimum unidentified/unaccounted for funds amount of \$5,834.00 as of the cutoff date. STELNICK/SCREMI provided no evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written consent to allow STELNICK/SCREMI to reduce the balance of the funds in trust account TA 1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1.

B. [D.O. STELNICK] As of audit cutoff date July 31, 2020, TA 2 had a minimum unidentified/unaccounted for funds amount of \$880.00 due to poor record keeping.

C. [Former D.O. DETERMAN, January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019] As of audit cutoff date November 30, 2019, TA 3 had a minimum unidentified/unaccounted for funds amount of \$148,869.44 00 due to poor record keeping. (TA 3 was closed on April 3, 2020.)

1

Trust Fund Records To Be Maintained

(Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831)

[Former D.O. DETERMAN, January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019] [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] The control record maintained for TA 1, TA 2, and TA 3 were inaccurate and incomplete, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831. Said records did not reflect an accurate running daily balance. According to the DRE auditor's discussions with Strickland, SCREMI did not know how to properly eliminate the adjustments and corrections from the APPFOLIO software

33.

Separate Records for Each Beneficiary or Transaction

(Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1)

A. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] The separate records for each beneficiary or transaction (Trust Account Detail) maintained for TA 1 and (Security Deposit Funds Detail) for TA 2 were inaccurate and incomplete, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1. SCREMI failed to maintain a separate record of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds deposited into TA 1, and TA 1 contained unidentified/unaccounted for funds of at least \$5,834.00 as of July 31, 2020.

B. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] The separate records for each beneficiary or transaction (Trust Account Detail) maintained for TA 2 and (Security Deposit Funds Detail) for TA 2 were inaccurate and incomplete, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1. SCREMI failed to maintain a separate record of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds deposited into TA 2, and TA 1 contained unidentified/unaccounted for funds of at least \$880.00 as of July 31, 2020.

_

4 5

Trust Fund Handling/Commingling

(Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2835)

A. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] During the audit period, SCREMI kept more than \$200.00 of its own funds in TA 1, thereby commingling its own funds with trust fund receipts and disbursements in TA 1, a trust account, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2835. Based on an examination of SCREMI's "Trust Account Detail" report (separate records) created on November 25, 2020, SCREMI maintained two (2) accounts that contained a total balance of \$16,812.87 of its own funds in TA 1 as of July 31, 2020.

B. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] TA 1 was used to collect tenant application fees and a deposit of \$18,282.90 on March 31, 2020; SCREMI used this account to disburse bank fees and marketing fees and to transfer funds to its own general/operating account (Account No. ###1863) during the audit period. Based on an examination of TA 3 bank statements and "Verification of Deposit" from Union Bank dated March 9, 2021, TA 3 was closed on April 3, 2020. No additional documentation was provided for the transfer of funds from Union Bank on March 31, 2020. The DRE auditor was unable to determine whether the \$18,282.90 was SCREMI's own funds. (During the audit period, SCREMI kept its management fees and application fees earned and personal funds of \$18,282.90 in TA 1 and commingled said funds for over twenty-five (25) days after the receipt/transfer from TA 3 to TA 1.

///

||///

///

5 | ///

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

Trust Account Withdrawals

(Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834)

A. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] Based on an examination of the "Account Agreement" for TA 1 and TA 2, both date July 23, 2020, non-licensee Todd Stelnick (SCREMI's Vice-President, Secretary, and 50% shareholder) and non-licensee Friedman (office manager) were authorized to sign and make withdrawals from TA 1 and TA 2, during which time SCREMI did not have fidelity bond coverage equal to at least the maximum amount of the trust funds to which unlicensed Todd Stelnick and Friedman had access, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834.

B. [D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] Based on an examination of the "Verification of Deposit" document dated March 9, 2021 and "Signature Matrix Request" (Case No. ##3884) dated March 10, 2021 from Union Bank, Todd Stelnick and non-licensee Teresa Marque ("Marque") were authorized to sign and make withdrawals from TA 3, during which time SCREMI did not have fidelity bond coverage equal to at least the maximum amount of the trust funds to which unlicensed Todd Stelnick and Marque had access, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834.

37.

Use of False or Fictitious Business Name

(Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731)

A. [D. O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020] [Former D.O. DETERMAN, January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019] During the audit period, SCREMI used unlicensed fictitious business name ("FBN") "SCREM Real Estate Management" on its employee handbook/policies manual, all e-mail communications, and property management agreements in connection with SCREMI's property management activities without

first obtaining a license from the DRE bearing such FBN, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731.

B. During the audit period, SCREMI used unlicensed FBN "Southern California Real Estate Mgmt. Inc." on property management agreements in connection with SCREMI's property management activities without first obtaining a license from the DRE bearing such FBN, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731.

38.

Retention of Records

(Code Section 10148)

A. [Former D.O. DETERMAN: January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019] An examination of SCREMI's real estate activities was conducted based on the limited records provided due to its failure to provide complete and accurate books and records to the DRE for audit. Although the DRE auditor made multiple attempts on September 7, 2020 and September 8, 2020 to contact former D.O. DETERMAN and current D.O. STELNICK via telephone and e-mail to advise of the DRE audit examination of SCREMI, a complete and accurate set of books and records was not provided to the DRE. On September 8, 2020, a DRE appointment letter was sent via certified mail to D.O. STELNICK to inform of a scheduled September 18, 2020 telephone conference and to request SCREMI to produce books and records for audit, pursuant to Code Section 10148.

B. On October 7, 2020, after several unsuccessful attempts to contact D.O. DETERMAN, the DRE auditor sent DETERMAN an email to notify him of the on-going DRE audit of SCREMI. On October 8, 2020, the DRE auditor sent a second e-mail to DETERMAN. According to DETERMAN's reply e-mail on the same date, he sold SCREMI in January of 2020 and did not have access to any of the records requested for the subject audit examination period.

. |

///

2

3

4

5

7

8	
9	,
10	+
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21.	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

C. On February 11, 2021, a subpoena duces tecum dated February 9, 2021 was
served on D.O. STELNICK by DRE SI Tom N. On February 16, 2021, the February 9, 2021
subpoena duces tecum was served on former D.O. DETERMAN at 3:55 P.M. also by DRE SI
Tom N. The subpeoena duces tecum served by Tom N. ordered SCREMI to produce all books
records and documents related to its real estate activities during the audit period beginning
January 1, 2019 and ending November 20, 2019 on March 2, 2021 at 10:00 A.M. at the DRE's
Los Angeles District Office.

D. As of the audit report date (April 27, 2021), former D.O. DETERMAN and SCREMI failed to provide for the DRE audit examination the following records pertaining to TA 3: complete bank statements, bank signature card, records of all trust fund receipts and disbursements, separate records, and copies of cancelled checks related to SCREMI's property management activities.

E. SCREMI did not, and has not, to date, produced all records related to its property management activities for the subject audit examination period, in violation of Code Section 10148.

39.

Responsibility of Corporate Officer in Charge/Broker Supervision (Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725)

[D.O. STELNICK, November 21, 2019 to audit cutoff date, July 31, 2020]

[Former D.O. DETERMAN, January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019] Based on the findings of the DRE auditor, D.O. STELNICK (affiliation effective November 12, 2019) and former D.O. DETERMAN (affiliation effective January 1, 2019 to November 20, 2019) did not exercise adequate supervision and control over SCREMI's real estate activities conducted by its licensees and employees. STELNICK and DETERMAN failed to establish policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage transactions requiring a real estate license and

the handling of trust funds to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law and the 1 Commissioners Regulations. STELNICK and DETERMAN failed to maintain accurate control 2 records, separate records, and failed to maintain trust account reconciliations for SCREMI's 3 property management services during the subject audit period, in violation of Code Section 4 10159.2 and Regulation 2725. 5 6 40. 7 Additional Violations of the Real Estate Law 8 (Code Sections 10177(g) and 10177(d)) 9 The overall conduct of Respondents is in violation of the Real Estate Law and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of their respective real estate license and 10 license rights under the provisions of Code Section 10177(g) for negligence and Code Section 11 10177(d) for willful disregard of the Real Estate Law. 12 13 COSTS 14 **Investigation and Enforcement Costs** 15 (Code Section 10106) 16 41. 17 Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner 18 may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation 19 of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement 20 21 of the case. /// 22 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

26

Audit Costs

(Code Section 10148)

42.

Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, the Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the Commissioner has found in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said section.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT INC; STEFFANIE DANIELLE STELNICK, and KENNETH CLARK DETERMAN under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of vision 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, and for costs of audit.

Dated at San Diego, California	
this 8 day of July	, 2021.
	Veronica Kilpatrick
	Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator

Southern California Real Estate Management Inc Steffanie Danielle Stelnick Kenneth Clark Determan Enforcement – T. Nguyen, V. Kilpatrick Audits – A. Harootnian, J. Lin Sacto.

cc: