1	KATHY YI, Counsel (SBN 236736)	FILES	
2	2 Department of Real Estate	FILED	
3	= 00 1 mgeres, Carrotina 90015-1105	FEB 1 8 2021	
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6940	DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE	
5	Fax: (213) 576-6917	By John Again	
6	Attorney for Complainant	,	
7	7		
8	8		
9			
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	* * *		
13			
) 140.	H-41888 LA	
14	RALPH ADAME, $\int STA$	TEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	Respondent.		
16	16		
17	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of		
18	California, for Statement of Issues against RALPH ADAME ("Respondent"), is informed and		
19	alleges in her official capacity as follows:		
20	1.		
21	On or about April 27, 2020, Respondent made application to the Department of Real		
22	Estate ("Department") of the State of California for a real estate salesperson license.		
23	(DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS)		
24	2.		
25	On or about December 23, 2016, before Financial Industry Regulatory Authority		
26	("FINRA") in Matter No. 2016049931401, pursuant to the Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and		
27	Consent ("AWC"), Respondent was suspended for two (2) months from associating with any		
	2)	with any	

¹ The \$5,000 deferred fine was due and payable either immediately upon reassociation with a member firm, or prior to any application or request for relief from any statutory disqualification resulting from this or any other event or proceeding, whichever is earlier.

FINRA member firm in any and all capacities and ordered to pay a deferred fine of \$5,000.1 The two-month suspension from this disciplinary action ("FINRA disciplinary action") was effective from January 3, 2017 to March 2, 2017.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action for violating FINRA Rule 3240 in that in or about 2014, Respondent, while registered with FINRA through First Brokerage America, LLC ("FBA") as a General Securities Representative ("GSR"), improperly borrowed approximately \$1,400 from a firm customer.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action for violating FINRA Rule 2010 in that by violating FINRA Rule 3240 when he improperly borrowed money from a firm customer in or about 2014, Respondent failed to conduct business with high standards of commercial honor and/or failed to adhere to just and equitable principles of trade.

3.

On or about December 10, 2018, before the California Department of Insurance in File No. OBS3517A, pursuant to an Order of Summary Suspension issued by the Commissioner of the California Department of Insurance ("Insurance Commissioner"), the Resident Insurance Producer License number 0B46250 issued to Respondent to act as a Life-Only Agent and Accident and Health Agent was suspended for fifteen (15) days. The 15-day suspension was effective from February 3, 2019 through February 17, 2019.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action for violating Insurance Code sections 1729.2(a) and 1729.2(d) in that Respondent failed to notify and/or disclose to the Insurance Commissioner of a change in background information, which includes any "administrative action regarding a professional or occupational license" under Insurance Code section 1729.2(c)(2), within thirty (30) days of the date Respondent learned of the said change in background information.

Respondent failed to independently notify and/or disclose to the Insurance Commissioner about his FINRA disciplinary action and, instead, acknowledged the FINRA disciplinary action only after an inquiry from the Insurance Commissioner.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1669(c), in conjunction with Insurance Code section 1738, in that the Insurance Commissioner may suspend or revoke without hearing, the license and licensing rights of a person who has had a previously issued professional, occupation, or vocational license suspended or revoked for cause by any licensing authority, within five (5) years of the date of the filing of the application to be acted upon on grounds that should preclude the granting of a license by the Insurance Commissioner.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(b) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that it would be against the public interest to permit Respondent to continue transacting insurance.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(d) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that Respondent was not of good business reputation.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(e) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that Respondent was lacking in integrity.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(f) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that Respondent had his professional, occupational, or vocational license suspended or revoked by a FINRA for reasons that should have precluded the granting of his Resident Insurance Producer License.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(j) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that Respondent showed incompetency or untrustworthiness in the conduct of any business, or had, by commission of a wrongful act or practice in the course of any business, exposed the public or those dealing with him to the danger of loss.

Respondent was subject to disciplinary action under Insurance Code section 1668(l) in that the FINRA disciplinary action showed that Respondent failed to perform a duty expressly enjoined by him by a provision of the Insurance Code or committed an act expressly forbidden by such a provision.

4.

The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 2 and 3 above constitute cause under Code section 10177(f) for the denial of license to Respondent.

5.

These proceedings are brought under the provisions of section 10100, Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code of the State of California and sections 11500 through 11528 of the Government Code.

WHEREFORE, the Complainant prays that the above-entitled matter be set for hearing and, upon proof of the charges contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of, a real estate salesperson license to Respondent RALPH ADAME and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 124h day of Felleway, 2021

Maria Suarez

Supervising Special Investigator

cc: RALPH ADAME

Maria Suarez

Sacto.