1 2 3 4 5 6	LAURENCE D. HAVESON, Counsel (SBN 152) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6854 Fax: (213) 576-6917 Attorney for Complainant	DEC 0 2 2020 DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE By	
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	***		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	No. H-41837 LA.	
12	MERIT ONE LENDING, INC., DONALD THEODORE SPEAKMAN,	ACCUSATION	
13	individually and as designated officer of MERIT ONE Lending, Inc., and		
14	ROGER DUZIAN,		
15	Respondents.		
16			
17		g Special Investigator for the Department of Real	
18	Estate ("Department" or "DRE") of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against MERIT		
19	ONE LENDING, INC. ("MERIT ONE"), DONA	LD THEODORE SPEAKMAN ("SPEAKMAN"),	
20	and ROGER DUZIAN ("DUZIAN") (collectively "Respondents"), alleges as follows:		
21		ting in his official capacity as a Supervising	
22	Special Investigator, makes this Accusation again	st Respondents.	
23	2. All references to the "Code" are to	the California Business and Professions Code	
24	and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.		
25	LICENSE	HISTORY	
26		licensed by the DRE as a real estate corporation	
27	("REC"), License ID 01860670, from on or about March 24, 2009, through the present, with		
28	MERIT ONE's license scheduled to expire on Ma	rch 23, 2021 unless renewed. MERIT ONE is	

- 1 -

ACCUSATION

licensed through SPEAKMAN's real estate broker ("REB") license and SPEAKMAN is the designated officer ("D.O.") of MERIT ONE.

- 4. Respondent SPEAKMAN has been licensed by the DRE as a REB, License ID 01782215, from on or about November 1, 2012, through the present. SPEAKMAN's license was set to expire on October 31, 2020, however, his license expiration has been extended through an executive order of the Governor of California. SPEAKMAN was previously licensed as a real estate salesperson ("RES"), from on or about January 3, 2007 to on or about October 31, 2012.
- 5. Respondent DUZIAN is currently licensed by the DRE as a restricted RES, License ID 01316212. DUZIAN has been licensed by the DRE from August 20, 2001, through the present, with DUZIAN's restricted license scheduled to expire on April 10, 2021 unless renewed.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE - DRE CASE NO. H-38015 LA - DUZIAN

- 6. On or about April 3, 2012, the DRE filed an Accusation against DUZIAN for violations of Code Sections 10130 and 10137, which provided cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of DUZIAN pursuant to Code Sections 10137, 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(j). The DRE alleged that DUZIAN, using the name Merit One Lending Inc., collected a series of advance fees pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement pertaining to loan modification services to be provided by DUZIAN—activities which required a REB license—and that DUZIAN performed such activities when he was not licensed as a REB nor employed as a RES by the REB on whose behalf the activities were performed.
- 7. On or about March 19, 2013, the Real Estate Commissioner adopted as his decision, effective April 11, 2013, a Stipulation and Agreement entered into by DUZIAN and the DRE in which all licenses and licensing rights of DUZIAN were revoked, provided that a restricted RES would be issued subject to certain terms and conditions including but not limited to: taking and passing the Professional Responsibility Examination ("PRE"); "The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the Real Estate law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to

³/Pursuant to Governor Newsom's Executive Order No. N-83-20, SPEAKMAN's license expiration date was extended until June 30, 2021.

 the restricted license"; and a statement signed by his employing broker that the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a RES license is required.

- 8. On or about April 11, 2013, the DRE issued DUZIAN a restricted RES license.
- 9. On or about May 21, 2014, the DRE suspended DUZIAN's restricted RES license for failure to take and pass the PRE. On or about June 19, 2014, the DRE re-issued DUZIAN's restricted RES license when he passed the PRE.
 - 10. On or about April 14, 2017, DUZIAN petitioned for reinstatement of his RES license.
- 11. On or about October 24, 2017, the Real Estate Commissioner denied DUZIAN's petition for reinstatement of his RES license by order effective on November 16, 2017, based on the finding that a records check revealed that DUZIAN had "at least outstanding 17 judgments and liens for a total of at least \$446,487," and that DUZIAN "presented no evidence of bona fide efforts toward discharging these debts." Moreover, in response to question 4A in DUZIAN's petition for reinstatement, to wit: "Do you have any past debts, outstanding judgments, or have you filed bankruptcy," DUZIAN replied "no" and failed to disclose multiple bankruptcy filings. The Commissioner found that DUZIAN was not sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a RES license.

ACTIVITIES REQUIRING A REAL ESTATE LICENSE

12. At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, Respondents engaged in the performance of activities requiring a real estate license pursuant to Code Section 10130, and acted and ordered, caused, authorized or participated in licensed activities within the meaning of Code Sections 10131, 10131.2., and 10131.4.

FACTS DISCOVERED BY DRE

13. From in or about October 2017 through the present, Respondents engaged in a course of conduct to obtain advance fees of \$250,000 from G.S.⁴/, a private investor/lender, under the pretext of securing \$9 million in funding for a loan for a borrower, John Keith Edwards ("Edwards")—on whose behalf G.S. was lending the \$250,000—without Respondents having

⁴ Initials are used in place of individuals' full names to protect their privacy. Documents containing individuals' full names will be provided during the discovery phase of this case to Respondent and/or her attorney(s), after service of a timely and proper request for discovery on Complainant's counsel.

secured funding for such \$9 million for Edwards. Respondents then proceeded to receive G.S.'s \$250,000 in advance fees/trust funds through his wire transfers into MERIT ONE's bank account, which unbeknownst to G.S. was not a trust account, then Respondents immediately began disbursing G.S.'s \$250,000 to DUZIAN and others without the knowledge or authorization of G.S., and without ever refunding any part of the \$250,000 to G.S., despite repeated requests by G.S. Unbeknownst to G.S., within three weeks of receiving G.S.'s \$250,000, Respondents had disbursed all but \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s trust funds, and within just over eight months, all of the trust funds had been disbursed. Respondents' refusal to account for the \$250,000 to G.S., or to refund any part of it to G.S., was an integral part of Respondents' ongoing course of conduct which continues to the present—with the exception of Respondents producing incomplete and inaccurate accountings of the \$250,000 to the Denver, Colorado District Attorney's Office on or about March 8, 2019, and to the DRE on or about August 19, 2020—in order to avoid accountability for G.S.'s \$250,000 and violations of the Real Estate Law.

- 14. Respondents engaged, and continue to engage, in a long-term, ongoing course of conduct of failing to provide or disclose material facts and documents, delaying the provision or disclosure of material facts and documents, and then only partially disclosing material facts and documents after the involvement of law enforcement and the DRE, in order to avoid accountability for G.S.'s \$250,000 and violations of the Real Estate Law. As a result of Respondents' ongoing course of conduct involving suppression of material facts and documents, delaying disclosure of material facts and documents, and then only partially disclosing material facts and documents, despite repeated efforts by G.S. and his attorney, as shown below, the DRE could not, with due diligence, discover any of the material facts regarding what happened to the \$250,000 wired to MERIT ONE until March 8, 2019 at the earliest, when DUZIAN's attorney in Denver, Colorado sent a letter with an incomplete and inaccurate accounting to the Denver District Attorney's Office.
- 15. Moreover, as will be shown below, from November 6, 2017 to present, DUZIAN and MERIT ONE have acted as the real estate agent and broker for G.S., receiving \$250,000 in trust funds made by G.S. to Edwards as a loan, and soliciting lenders and brokering and negotiating potential loans for Edwards that, when funded, was to result in the refund of G.S.'s \$250,000 and

payment of a \$50,000 fee to G.S. Through Respondents' real estate activities, they created a fiduciary relationship with G.S. Based on representations made by Edwards and DUZIAN, G.S. was lead to believe a \$9 million loan for Edwards would be funded almost immediately, within a week, and if not, then no later than 30 days, otherwise G.S. could request a refund of his \$250,000. Based on a promissory note that Edwards signed to secure G.S.'s \$250,000 that he wired to Respondents as a loan to Edwards, G.S. believed that the maturity date for repayment of his \$250,000 was December 5, 2017. G.S. did not begin to suspect fraud and dishonest dealing by Respondents until sometime after the December 5, 2017 maturity date, when repayment of his \$250,000 did not occur.

- 16. To date, G.S. has received no refund or repayment of any of his \$250,000. Further details of Respondents' ongoing course of conduct to avoid accountability for G.S.'s \$250,000 and violations of the Real Estate Law follow below.
- 17. The DRE received a complaint from G.S. on or about November 28, 2018, when G.S. was 72 years old. G.S. alleges that from on or about November 5 through 7, 2017, G.S. made three wire-transfer deposits totaling \$250,000 of his own funds into a Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361 held by MERIT ONE. G.S. made these deposits of his own funds totaling \$250,000 as a loan to his former employer, Edwards. According to G.S., G.S. was asked to provide a loan of \$250,000 as a good faith deposit so that Edwards could secure a commercial loan for his company, Wyoming Acquisitions Venture, LLC ("WAV").
- MERIT ONE was ready to close the loan commitment for Edwards/WAV, and also that G.S. would need to give his written approval for MERIT ONE to disburse the money based on a preliminary closing statement that G.S. never received. Edwards told G.S. that if no closing happened on the loan within 30 days, G.S.'s money would be returned to him. Edwards told G.S. that MERIT ONE was a licensed California loan broker and that G.S.'s money would be held in trust until a loan to WAV closed in the approximate amount of \$9 million. According to G.S., the loan never closed, and Edwards told him he had no written agreements with MERIT ONE regarding G.S.'s deposit or use of the funds.

- 19. G.S. knew Edwards from having been a consultant to Edwards's company, Bearcat Energy, LLC ("Bearcat"), in Colorado during 2016. At some point prior to G.S. lending Edwards the \$250,000 that was wired to MERIT ONE in 2017, G.S. made a loan to Edwards which was repaid in full in 2016. Because of their prior working relationship and since Edwards repaid G.S. on a previous loan, G.S. placed some trust in Edwards.
- 20. On or about March 14, 2017, Bearcat filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, in Colorado. Edwards signed the petition as the CEO of Bearcat.
- 21. On or about September 22, 2017, Bearcat entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement ("PSA") with WAV whereby WAV would purchase substantially all of Bearcat's assets free and clear of any claims, effective October 1, 2017. On or about September 29, 2017, Bearcat sought an order from the bankruptcy court approving the PSA with WAV. On or about June 13, 2018, the bankruptcy court issued an order approving the PSA with WAV.
- 22. On or about October 21, 2017, Edwards contacted G.S. by telephone to discuss a loan proposal. According to G.S., Edwards told G.S. that the loan was a high interest, short term loan secured by his assets, and was to be used as a "good faith deposit" to secure the funding of a commercial loan for a company that Edwards was a 50% partner in, WAV.
- 23. On or about October 22, 2017, Edwards emailed M.W., an acquaintance of G.S., about the same loan proposal. In this email, among other things, Edwards explained that he had a business plan to raise \$60 million, in three tranches, to take Bearcat out of Chapter 11, acquire pipelines, wells, and well property, and participate on a jet fuel contract. Edwards referenced a phone call with M.W. the previous day. Edwards stated that he was working with "Harrison and Horlick who does fuel contracts," and also that "The money would go directly to the Charles Brown Law firm in Houston who will manage the financial and legal aspects." According to Edwards, Charles Brown was the attorney for Michael Harrison ("Harrison") of Harrison & Horlick. Also according to Edwards, Edwards and Harrison were equal owners of WAV.
- 24. On or about October 23, 2017, Edwards sent another email message to M.W. stating, among other things, that \$3 million had been raised to buy the pipeline, but that \$250,000 "earnest money" was needed, and that this money would be credited to the financing that was to

close the following week. On the same date, M.W. replied that he needed to pass on the offer and that he did not understand how he would be protected "if things go sideways."

- 25. On or about October 25, 2017, G.S., M.W., and Edwards met at a restaurant in Denver to discuss Edwards's proposal. According to G.S., at this meeting:
 - a. Edwards explained that a loan commitment of \$60 million had been arranged by MERIT ONE, a loan broker in Pasadena, CA, and that his contact at MERIT ONE was DUZIAN, a licensed broker. Edwards stated the first loan tranche of \$9 million was "ready to be made" to WAV and could close within a week, but that \$250,000 in "good faith fees" was required to secure the loan. Edwards stated he did not have the funds but would offer to secure a loan if G.S. wired the money on his behalf directly to MERIT ONE, a licensed California lender with a trust account where the money would be held until closing.
 - b. Edwards stated that once the full deposit was made it would trigger funding of the first \$9 million, and upon funds being disbursed to WAV, the \$250,000 plus a \$50,000 fee would be wired to G.S.
 - c. Edwards stated that in the event the loan did not close within 30 days, G.S.'s deposit could be refunded in full.
- and Charles Brown with the subject "Revised Commitment letter" in which DUZIAN wrote, "Greetings, please see attached the revised commitment letter, please have it signed, and returned via email, Please make sure the wire is out before 'cut off' today or date on the commitment agreement will need to be amended, and I need to contact the investors and make changes that don't like to do." Attached to DUZIAN's October 27, 2017 email message was a document titled "Commitment Letter" on Merit One Realty letterhead, stating that the letter was for Edwards as the borrower, working with MERIT ONE, to procure a private investor loan in the amount of \$9 million. The Commitment Letter also stated, "As part of the agreement, borrower will deposit a commitment fee in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) with Merit One Lending Inc.," and then provided instructions for a wire transfer of the commitment fee to MERIT

 $\| / \|$

-8-

such closing CBLF will wire \$300,000 to Investors in consideration for providing the 50% portion of the required upfront expense money.

Return of Funds. . . . After thirty days, Investor may give written demand to return unused funds to Investors without further demands or claims once the funds have been returned. Upon written demand MeritOne or CBLF will immediately transfer the funds to Investors.

- 30. On or about November 2, 2017, DUZIAN sent an email to Charles Brown with the subject, "Wire instructions for MERIT ONE," containing wire instructions for MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361. On or about November 3, 2017, Brown forwarded DUZIAN's email to Edwards, and the same day, Edwards forwarded DUZIAN's email to G.S. According to Edwards, this email was related to the same transaction discussed by Edwards in his October 22 and 23, 2017 email messages to M.W. Between October 22 and November 2, 2017, the recipient of the \$250,000 changed from the Charles Brown Law Firm to MERIT ONE.
- 31. At some point between on or about October 21, and November 6, 2017, but prior to the time G.S. caused the first amount of money to be wire transferred from G.S. to MERIT ONE, a telephone conference took place between Edwards, DUZIAN, and G.S., in which DUZIAN was asked if there was a loan that would be funded almost immediately after G.S. made the wire transfer and DUZIAN confirmed that, yes, there was a loan.
- 32. On or about November 5, 2017, Edwards signed a promissory note ("November 5 Promissory Note") identifying G.S. as the lender of \$250,000 for the benefit of Edwards, which was secured by Edwards's proportionate share of proceeds from his pending divorce agreement. Under the promissory note, Edwards was to make one payment of all principal, interest, and other sums to G.S. on the earlier of December 5, 2017, or at the time of closing of a \$9 million loan tranche to WAV, which payment to G.S. was to include a \$50,000 fee to G.S.
- 33. Thereafter, Edwards signed an "Amended Promissory Note" for G.S. as lender, identifying as the "Date of Corrective Amendment: 1/2/2018," and listing the due date as December 5, 2017. The amended note was secured by Edwards's proportionate share of proceeds from his divorce agreement, and Edwards promised to pay \$250,000 to G.S., with interest, and an additional payment of \$50,000 to G.S. as a "Consulting Service Fee" for arranging the deposit fee for the benefit of Edwards regarding a \$9 million loan commitment.

- 34. On or about November 5 and 6, 2017:
- a. G.S. signed a "Promissory Note Buy Direct Letter" to loan \$100,000 to Edwards from G.S.'s New Direction IRA account ending in 0547, and included wire transfer instructions to MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361.
- b. Edwards signed a Borrower's Acknowledgement Letter regarding the \$100,000 in IRA funds being loaned to Edwards by G.S.
- c. At G.S.'s request, New Direction IRA wired \$100,000 from G.S.'s IRA account ending in 0547 to MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361.
- 35. On or about November 6, 2017, at G.S.'s request, Wells Fargo Bank wired \$120,000 from G.S.'s Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6304 into MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, and the request contained the notation "regarding Edwards transaction."
- 36. On or about November 7, 2017, at G.S.'s request, Wells Fargo Bank wired \$30,000 from G.S.'s Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6304 into MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, and the request contained the notation "for Edwards Transacton [sic]."
- 37. Based on the wire transfers made from G.S.'s IRA and Wells Fargo Bank accounts described above in paragraphs 34 through 36, G.S. wired a total of \$250,000 into MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361 as a loan to Edwards for purposes of securing a \$9 million loan for WAV, and in reliance upon representations that the loan would quickly be funded, G.S.'s \$250,000 would be repaid on or before December 5, 2017, and G.S. would be paid an additional \$50,000 fee.
- 38. The funding of the \$9 million loan for WAV did not occur by the maturity date of December 5, 2017, and has not occurred at any time since. Despite repeated requests by G.S. for executed documents, Edwards never provided them. Sometime after December 5, 2017, G.S. made approximately a half dozen phone calls to DUZIAN to make inquiries about the transaction, leaving voicemail messages each time, however, DUZIAN never returned G.S.'s calls.
- 39. On or about January 11, 2018, unbeknownst to G.S., Edwards filed for personal bankruptcy under Chapter 13 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. G.S. did not learn that Edwards had filed for personal bankruptcy until on or about July 30, 2018.

	40.	On or about February 7, 2018, G.S. filed a lawsuit against Edwards in the Denver,
Colora	ido Dist	rict Court alleging that Edwards was in default on the promissory note for G.S.'s
\$250,0	000. G.S	S. withdrew the lawsuit, because, according to Edwards, he had obtained a loan that
was go	oing to c	lose in short order and assured G.S. that he would be paid in full

- 41. In or about late April 2018, Edwards arranged a conference call with G.S. and DUZIAN. DUZIAN stated he was working on new lenders but would not reveal the identity of any lenders. Although G.S. sent DUZIAN a copy of the "loan pay-off" for the \$250,000 as of April 30, 2018, DUZIAN failed to confirm in writing that it would be included when Edwards's loan closed. DUZIAN also would not confirm the location of G.S.'s "Good Faith Deposit" of \$250,000.
- 42. On or about October 15, 2018, G.S.'s attorney sent a letter to MERIT ONE and DUZIAN demanding the immediate refund of G.S.'s \$250,000.
- 43. On or about October 22, 2018, DUZIAN emailed G.S.'s attorney stating that he had received the attorney's letter, and requested that the attorney allow DUZIAN some time for him to connect with Edwards so that he may be able to respond.
- 44. On or about November 1, 2018, G.S. filed a complaint with the Denver District Attorney's Office Economic Crime Unit, in Colorado.
- 45. On or about November 28, 2018, G.S. filed a complaint with the DRE, as alleged above in paragraph 16.
- 46. On or about December 17, 2018, G.S.'s attorney took the deposition of Edwards in Denver through a Rule 2004 Examination pursuant to the bankruptcy proceedings of Edwards' former company, Bearcat. During the deposition, Edwards testified that all he knew was that G.S.'s money went to MERIT ONE and that DUZIAN said it was used for securing loans, though no loan had been funded.
- 47. On or about March 1, 2019, a criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado interviewed DUZIAN by phone. During this interview, DUZIAN confirmed that G.S. wired \$250,000 to DUZIAN. DUZIAN stated that out of the \$250,000, some of the money was paid to Charles Brown, about half was paid to Michael Harrison, and the rest of the money was compensation for work that DUZIAN did for Harrison and Edwards.

- 48. On or about March 8, 2019, a Denver attorney for DUZIAN emailed a letter to the criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado. The DRE did not receive a copy of this letter until on or about July 17, 2020, and it was not provided by Respondents. In his March 8, 2019 letter, DUZIAN's attorney stated the following:
 - a. DUZIAN began working with Michael Harrison in about July 2017 in order to help locate potential lenders to finance one or more business ventures that Harrison was involved with. Harrison introduced DUZIAN to Edwards. The letter stated, "Since a portion of Mr. Duzian's services fell outside his area of expertise, he requested a \$100,000 retainer to begin his work."
 - b. By letter dated October 4, 2017, MERIT ONE and DUZIAN requested a retainer in the form of an "up-front commitment fee" of \$100,000. The October 4 letter was revised a few times "resulting, per Mr. Harrison's request, in the amount being increased to \$250,000 to include other amounts directed by Mr. Harrison."
 - c. The \$250,000 was wired to MERIT ONE's bank account on November 6 and 7, 2017. The March 8, 2019 letter from DUZIAN's attorney continued:

The following day, per instruction, Mr. Duzian wired \$25,000 to Harrison-Edwards' attorney contact in Texas, Charles Brown PC, and \$102,500 to Mr. Harrison.... Thus, \$122,500 remained with Mr. Duzian. Later that month, a potential lender for a project in Vail, 'LRE Accord LLC,' required a \$20,000 commitment fee in order to entertain and evaluate the project.... This amount was wired to LRE Accord LLC on November 30, 2017.... Out of the \$102,500 that then remained of the initial deposit, Mr. Duzian continued to use the money for commitment fees and other expenses incurred in the normal course of business while in pursuit of Harrison-Edwards projects. Mr. Duzian paid funds at their direction (commitment fees, appraisals, etc.), including some legal fees Mr. Edwards expended attempting to clear title to properties he wanted to use for collateral. Per the agreement, Mr. Duzian also took personal draws from the commitment fee to compensate him for his work.

- 49. On or about July 3, 2020, the DRE's special investigator emailed SPEAKMAN and MERIT ONE requesting the following:
 - a. Copies of MERIT ONE'S bank statements covering the months of October, November, and December 2017 for MERIT ONE's bank account ending in 6361;
 - b. Copies of all investor forms or documents and loan transaction files—whether the loan transaction ultimately was completed or not—involving G.S.; and,

 c. Copies of all investor forms or documents and loan transaction files—whether the loan transaction ultimately was completed or not—involving Edwards.

- 50. On July 21, 23, and 27, 2020, and August 13, 2020, the DRE's special investigator made five additional requests, one of which was through a subpoena duces tecum, of SPEAKMAN, Respondents' attorney, and/or MERIT ONE requesting the records described in the July 3, 2020 email to SPEAKMAN.
- 51. On August 14, 2020, six weeks after the DRE's initial records request, Respondents' attorney emailed the DRE's special investigator a Dropbox link containing documents. On August 19, 2020, the DRE received paper copies of documents produced by Respondents.
- 52. Among the documents produced by Respondents were copies of MERIT ONE's bank statements covering the months of October, November, and December 2017 for MERIT ONE's bank account ending in 6361. From these bank statements, the DRE learned for the first time that MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361 was not a trust account, and was not in the name of MERIT ONE as trustee.
- 53. Also among the documents produced by Respondents electronically by Dropbox was a copy of a document titled "28. Exhibit 27 Edwards Harrison General Accounting," with the heading "Keith Edwards, Michael Harrison," which for the first time disclosed to the DRE an accounting from MERIT ONE of the \$250,000 wired by G.S. to MERIT ONE —with additional details beyond the March 8, 2019 letter from DUZIAN's attorney—as follows:

Keith Edwards

ı			IN IN	cini randing	
l	Michael Harrison				
l	AMOUNT RECE	DATE RCVD	ACTION TAKEN	COST	NOTES
l	\$120,000	11/6/2017			INCOMING WIRE FOR EDWARDS/HARRISON PROJECT
l	\$100,000	11/7/2017	F.		INCOMING WIRE FOR EDWARDS/HARRISON PROJECT
l	\$30,000	11/7/2017			INCOMING WIRE FOR EDWARDS/HARRISON PROJECT
l	-\$25,000	11/8/2017	PAID CHARLE [sic] BROWN LAW FIRM	\$25,000	PAID CHARLES BROWN PER MICHAEL HARRISON
l	-\$102,500	11/8/2017	PAID MICHAEL HARRISON	\$102,500	PAID MICHAEL HARRISON PER HIS INSRUCTIONS
l	-\$10,000	11/13/2017	PAID OUT ROGER DUZIAN	\$10,000	PERSONAL DRAW
	-\$2,300	11/28/2017	PACK APPRAISAL CO	\$2,300	APPRAISAL FOR 223 BEAVER DAM RD PROPERTY
	-\$20,000	11/30/2017	COMMITMENT FEE	\$20,000	THE ACCORDING COMMUNICATION TO THE TOP ACCORDING
	-\$11,000	12/11/2017	PAID OUT ROGER DUZIAN	\$11,000	LRE ACCORD LLC COMMITMENT FEE FOR LOAN BEAVER DAM PERSONAL DRAW
	-\$6,500	12/18/2017	COMMITMENT FEE	\$6,500	
	-\$2,500	1/11/2018	PARAGON APPRAISAL	\$2,500	AVATAR FINANCIAL COMMITMENT FEE FOR LOAN ON BELCARO DR
	-\$10,000	1/31/2018	PAY OUT ROGER DUZIAN	\$10.000	APPRAISAL FOR 3500 BELCARO DR DENVER PERSONAL DRAW
	-\$10,000	2/26/2018	PAY OUT ROGER DUZIAN	\$10,000	PERSONAL DRAW
	-\$2,500	2/26/2018	LEGAL FOR KIETH [sic] EDWARDS	\$2,500	
	-\$10,000	3/1/2018	PAY OUT ROGER DUZIAN	\$10,000	COMMITMENT FEE 3500 BELCARO DR PERSONAL DRAW
	-\$5,000	4/14/2018	LEGAL FOR KIETH [sic] EDWARDS	\$5,000	· ·
			2007	40,000	LEGAL FEE KEITH EDWARDS 223 BEAVER DAM
	-				

1	-\$1,000 4/20/2018 PAY OUT ROGER DUZIAN \$1,000 PERSONAL DRAW -\$2,000 4/30/2018 PAY OUT ROGER DUZIAN \$2,000 PERSONAL DRAW		
2	\$2,000 PERSONAL DRAW \$2,000 PERSONAL DRAW \$8,000 PERSONAL DRAW		
3	-\$2,500 5/21/2018 LEGAL FOR KIETH [sic] EDWARDS \$2,500 JAMES GALLO FOR GORE CREEK		
	-\$8,000 6/3/2018 LEGAL FOR KIETH [s/c] EDWARDS \$8,000 PERSONAL DRAW		
4	-\$2,000 6/22/2018 COMMOTMENT [sic] FEE \$2,000 MONACO CARITAL COMMITMENT FEE ESCALARAWARREN		
5	\$1,000 MUNACO CAPITAL COMMITMENT FEE ESCALARAWARREN		
6	(\$35,000.00) \$35,000.00		
7	54. G.S. never consented to or authorized MERIT ONE to distribute his \$250,000 in		
8	deposits.		
9	VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW – CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE		
10	55. In the course of the activities described above in Paragraph 12, and based on the		
11	facts discovered by the DRE, as alleged in Paragraphs 13 through 54 above, Respondents acted in	n	
12	violation of the Code and Regulations as follows.	•	
13	First Cause of Accusation: Code Sections 10085 and 10085.5, and Regulation 2970 - No		
14	Advance Fee Agreement Materials Approved		
15	56. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in		
16	paragraphs 1 through 55 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.		
17	57. According to the DRE's records as of July 21, 2020, there are no approvals of		
18	advance fee materials for use by DUZIAN, MERIT ONE, or SPEAKMAN.	į	
19	58. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected,	or	
20	contracted for advance fees from G.S. in the amount of \$250,000, for soliciting lenders on behalf		
21	Edwards and/or performing services for Edwards in connection with loans to be secured directly		
22	collaterally by a lien on real property, without submission to the Real Estate Commissioner of ar		
23	or all materials used in the advance fee agreement, and based on MERIT ONE's Accounting, a		
24	shown above in paragraph 53, MERIT ONE used G.S.'s advance fees to pay "commitment fees" o		
25	a. \$20,000 to LRE Accord, LLC, on or about November 30, 2017;		
26	b. \$6,500 to Avatar Financial, on or about December 18, 2017; and		
27	c. \$8,000, \$2,000 and \$1,000 to Monaco Capital between on or about June 6		
28	and 22, 2018.		

MERIT ONE's acts and/or omissions as alleged above were all part of its ongoing, continuous course of conduct to mischaracterize advance fees as "commitment fees," collect and pay advance fees from November 7, 2017 through June 22, 2018, do so without submission to the Real Estate Commissioner of any or all materials used in the advance fee agreement violation, and has continued to violate its obligation to obtain approvals of advance fee agreements, in violation of Code Sections 10085 and 10085.5, and Regulation 2970.

<u>Second Cause of Accusation: Code Section 10146 – MERIT ONE Failed to Place Advance</u> <u>Fees in Trust Account</u>

- 59. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 58 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. MERIT ONE, through DUZIAN, instructed G.S. to wire transfer advance fees to, and such advance fees were received in, MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361. MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361 was not set up as a trust account and was not in the name of the broker as a trustee. MERIT ONE's receipt of G.S.'s advance fees in its Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, and its continuing course of conduct in which it failed to place and maintain such advance fees in a trust account between November 6, 2017 and the present, was in violation of **Code Section 10146**.

<u>Third Cause of Accusation: Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972 – MERIT ONE Failed</u> to Provide a Quarterly Accounting of Advance Fees

- 61. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 60 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 62. According to G.S., he has never received any documentation from MERIT ONE, including a quarterly accounting of the \$250,000 in advance fees. MERIT ONE's failure to provide a quarterly accounting of the advance fees to G.S. was in violation of Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972.

26 | ///

27 || ///

28 || ///

Fourth Cause of Accusation: Code Section 10145(a)(1) and Regulation 2832 – MERIT ONE Failed to Place Trust Funds in Trust Account

- 63. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 62 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. MERIT ONE, through DUZIAN, instructed G.S. to wire trust funds to, and such trust funds were received in, MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, which bank account was not set up as a trust account and was not in the name of the broker as a trustee. MERIT ONE's receipt of G.S.'s trust funds in its Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, and its continuing course of conduct in which it failed to place and maintain such trust funds in a neutral escrow depository or trust fund account between November 6, 2017 and the present, was in violation of Code Section 10145(a)(1) and Regulation 2832.

<u>Fifth Cause of Accusation: Code Section 10145(a)(1) – Unauthorized Distribution of Trust Funds</u>

- 65. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 64 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 66. The Accounting of G.S.'s \$250,000 produced by MERIT ONE, as alleged above in paragraph 53, which the DRE did not receive until August 19, 2020, shows payments which actually total \$252,300, but MERIT ONE provided no explanation for the additional \$2,300.
- 67. MERIT ONE did not provide the DRE with any document authorizing the disbursement of any of G.S.'s \$250,000, nor did MERIT ONE produce any document indicating what the disbursements were for, with the exception of the "Notes" column of the Accounting. As alleged above in paragraph 54, G.S. never consented to or authorized MERIT ONE to distribute his \$250,000, and he was lead to believe that the funds were to remain in a trust account while MERIT ONE and DUZIAN sought additional investors for Edwards.
- 68. MERIT ONE's disbursement of G.S.'s trust funds without written authorization from G.S., and unbeknownst to G.S., was part of MERIT ONE's ongoing course of conduct in order to avoid accountability for G.S.'s \$250,000 and its violations of the Real Estate Law, as alleged above, and was in violation of Code Section 10145(a)(1).

///

Sixth Cause of Accusation: Code Sections 10176(e), 10176(i), 10177(j), and 10177(k) – Fraud, Dishonest Dealing, and Commingling of Funds

- 69. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 68 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 70. At all times herein mentioned, DUZIAN was the agent and employee of MERIT ONE and required to be under the close supervision of SPEAKMAN pursuant to the terms of the Real Estate Commissioner's decision to issue DUZIAN a restricted RES, as alleged above in paragraphs 7 and 8.
- 71. At some point between July 2017 and October 4, 2017, DUZIAN began working with Edwards to find potential lenders to finance a business venture for Edwards and Harrison according to the letter from DUZIAN's attorney sent to the a criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado, as alleged above in paragraph 48. DUZIAN's activities in soliciting lenders and brokering and negotiating potential loans, among other activities, on behalf of Edwards, and as the agent of MERIT ONE, created and established a real estate agency relationship between Edwards and DUZIAN and MERIT ONE.
- 72. In addition, the following activities undertaken by DUZIAN as the agent of MERIT ONE created and established a real estate agency relationship between G.S. and DUZIAN and MERIT ONE: drafting the Commitment Letter requiring the \$250,000 commitment fee; providing wire transfer instructions for deposit of the \$250,000 into MERIT ONE's bank account that were conveyed to G.S.; receiving a copy of the Loan Facilitation Agreement detailing the nature of the loan from G.S. to Edwards in order to secure a \$9 million loan and identifying G.S. as the investor, Edwards as the borrower, and MERIT ONE as the lender; participating in a phone call prior to G.S. initiating the wire transfer of \$250,000 to MERIT ONE's bank account in which DUZIAN confirmed the existence of a loan that would be funded almost immediately after receipt of G.S.'s \$250,000; having MERIT ONE receive G.S.'s \$250,000 into its Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361; and disbursing G.S.'s \$250,000.

- 73. As a result of MERIT ONE and DUZIAN having created and established real estate agency relationships with both Edwards and G.S., MERIT ONE and DUZIAN owed a fiduciary duty to Edwards and G.S., and each of them, and by virtue of G.S. having placed confidence in the fidelity and integrity of MERIT ONE and DUZIAN in entrusting MERIT ONE and DUZIAN to receive G.S.'s \$250,000 in trust funds, a confidential relationship existed at all times herein mentioned between G.S. and DUZIAN and MERIT ONE.
- 74. MERIT ONE accepted \$250,000 in funds that G.S. wire transferred to MERIT ONE's Wells Fargo Bank account ending in 6361, funds that G.S. caused to be wire transferred as a loan on behalf of Edwards to MERIT ONE based on DUZIAN's wire transfer instructions for MERIT ONE's bank account, because G.S. trusted Edwards through G.S. having worked as an employee for Edwards in the past, also through G.S. having loaned Edwards money on a previous occasion and Edwards repaid the loan to G.S., and based on the following representations:
 - a. According to Edwards, Michael Harrison spoke very highly of DUZIAN and Harrison and DUZIAN did a lot of international business together;
 - b. The \$250,000 was a "good faith deposit" needed by Edwards in order for Edwards to obtain \$9 million in loan funding, that was ready to be made, and could close within a week, and that once the full deposit was made, it would trigger funding of the \$9 million loan, which would be disbursed to WAV, and the \$250,000 plus a \$50,000 fee would be wired to G.S.;
 - c. That G.S.'s \$250,000 was to be held in a trust account, was safe, and could not be disbursed without G.S.'s authorization;
 - d. That in the event the loan did not close within 30 days, G.S.'s deposit could be refunded to G.S. in full;
 - e. The Loan Facilitation Agreement dated November 1, 2017, prepared by Edwards, and provided to G.S. and DUZIAN, identified G.S. as an investor, and stated that, "Upon funding of the \$9 million loan and at such closing CBLF will wire \$300,000 to Investors in consideration for providing the 50% portion of the required upfront expense money," and that "After thirty days, Investor may give written demand to return unused

funds to Investors without further demands or claims once the funds have been returned.

Upon written demand MeritOne or CBLF will immediately transfer the funds to Investors";

- f. DUZIAN's confirmation over the phone, prior to G.S. completing the wire transfer, that there was a loan that would be funded almost immediately; and
- g. Edwards signed promissory note to G.S. for \$250,000 secured by Edwards's proportionate share of proceeds from his divorce and separation agreement.
- 75. Material representations alleged in paragraph 74 above were in fact false. The true facts were that:
 - a. MERIT ONE's bank account that G.S.'s \$250,000 was wired to was not a trust account;
 - b. G.S.'s \$250,000 in trust funds were commingled with MERIT ONE's own funds in MERIT ONE's bank account ending in 6361;
 - c. The \$9 million loan was not confirmed by DUZIAN and DUZIAN knew or had reason to know that the loan was not going to be funded immediately, or by the maturity date of December 5, 2017, or at any other time, upon deposit of G.S.'s \$250,000; and
 - d. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN had no intention of ever refunding G.S.'s \$250,000 after 30 days because, based on MERIT ONE'S bank statements and accounting, MERIT ONE and DUZIAN had already disbursed all but \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s \$250,000 by November 27, 2017, and disbursed the remainder by June 22, 2018.
- 76. DUZIAN made the representations to G.S. that the \$9 million loan was going to be funded almost immediately, and failed to disclose to G.S. that:
 - a. The \$9 million loan was not funded and there was no likelihood that the \$9 million was going to be funded;
 - b. MERIT ONE's bank account was not a trust account;
 - c. G.S.'s \$250,000 when deposited would be commingled with MERIT ONE's own funds;
 - d. MERIT ONE would disburse the funds without G.S.'s authorization;

f.

- MERIT ONE would not provide any documentation or accounting of the e. \$250,000 to G.S.; and
- MERIT ONE would not refund G.S.'s \$250,000. DUZIAN made such representations, and failed to disclose the above material facts, with the intention to deceive and defraud G.S. and to induce G.S. to act in reliance on these representations and the lack of disclosure of material facts by wiring the \$250,000 to MERIT ONE's bank account, or with the expectation that the G.S. would so act.
- 77. G.S., at the time these representations were made, and the facts regarding disbursement and refund were suppressed, by MERIT ONE and DUZIAN, and at the time G.S. took the actions herein alleged, was ignorant of the falsity of MERIT ONE's and DUZIAN's representations and believed them to be true, and of MERIT ONE's and DUZIAN's failure to disclose the aforementioned material facts. In reliance on these representations and lack of disclosure of material facts, G.S. was induced to and did wire \$250,000 to MERIT ONE's bank account. Had G.S. known the actual facts, G.S. would not have taken such action.
- Despite MERIT ONE and DUZIAN having voluntarily accepted the trust and 78. confidence reposed in them by G.S. regarding the deposit of G.S.'s \$250,000 into MERIT ONE's bank account, and in violation of this relationship of trust and confidence, MERIT ONE and DUZIAN abused the trust and confidence of G.S.
- After MERIT ONE received G.S.'s \$250,000 on November 6 and 7, 2017, it began **79**. disbursing the funds right away for purposes other than securing immediate funding of a \$9 million loan for Edwards. Based on MERIT ONE's bank statements and the accounting alleged in paragraph 53 above, between November 6 and 27, 2017, MERIT ONE disbursed all but \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s \$250,000 for the following:
 - a. To pay personal bills and business expenses;
 - To wire \$127,500, over half the money, to Charles Brown and Michael b. Harrison; and
 - c. To pay \$70,000 in personal draws for DUZIAN.

///

27

According to MERIT ONE's own accounting, as shown in paragraph 53 above, by June 22, 2018, MERIT ONE had disbursed the entirety of G.S.'s \$250,000.

- 80. MERIT ONE made the disbursements to Charles Brown and Michael Harrison with no explanation—or documentation—as to the reasons for doing so, and made the personal draw disbursements to DUZIAN without any documentation showing that MERIT ONE or DUZIAN had disclosed what their compensation was to be.
- 81. MERIT ONE produced almost no loan transaction documents at all related to the various Edwards loan attempts, and failed to produce any investor documents—such as DRE forms RE 870 Investor Questionnaire, and RE 851A, Lender/Purchaser Disclosure Statement—for G.S. or any other potential investor.
- 82. After G.S.'s attorney sent a letter to DUZIAN asking for an immediate refund of G.S.'s funds, on October 15, 2018, which was four months after the last entry on MERIT ONE'S Accounting of how G.S.'s funds had been disbursed, DUZIAN replied to G.S.'s attorney on October 22, 2018 stating that he needed some time to connect with Edwards and would then respond to G.S.'s attorney. However, that email was the last communication either G.S. or his attorney received from DUZIAN, and G.S. was never provided an accounting of what happened to his funds.
- 83. MERIT ONE submitted copies of their October 2017, November 2017 and December 2017 Wells Fargo Bank account statements for the account ending in 6361, and highlighted on the November and December statements three wire transfers in from G.S. totaling \$250,000, and four wire transfers out totaling \$154,000, corresponding with four parties listed on MERIT ONE's Accounting whom received monies disbursed from G.S.'s funds. On MERIT ONE's November statement, numerous debits appear showing payments to credit cards, credit lines, utilities, restaurants, life insurance premiums, and other entities, as well as large withdrawals described as "made in a branch/store" in the amounts of \$10,500, \$20,000, \$20,010 and \$5,000, the dates of which do not correspond with dates and/or amounts listed on MERIT ONE's Accounting of G.S.'s funds shown in paragraph 53 above.
- 84. MERIT ONE's Accounting of G.S.'s funds shows that G.S.'s \$250,000 was disbursed between November 8, 2017 and June 22, 2018. However, a reconciliation of MERIT

ONE's bank statement for November 2017 shows that by November 27, 2017, all but \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s \$250,000 had been disbursed.

- 85. MERIT ONE's November 2017 bank statement shows that:
- a. On November 6, 2017 there was \$3,429.65 in the account other than G.S.'s first wire transfer of \$120,000, while the debits for just November 6, 2017 totaled \$47,164.69, meaning that on November 6 alone \$43,735.04 of G.S.'s funds were cleared out of the account, yet the Accounting submitted by MERIT ONE shows none of G.S.'s funds being disbursed on November 6, 2017.
- b. Between November 7, 2017 and November 27, 2017 an additional \$202,834.72 of G.S.'s funds were disbursed, when adding all debits less deposits other than G.S.'s deposit, leaving a balance of only \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s funds, while MERIT ONE's account as of the close of business on November 27, 2017 had an account balance of only \$1,715.12.
- 86. MERIT ONE's Accounting, as shown in paragraph 53 above, shows that:
- a. Between November 7, 2017 and November 27, 2017, \$137,500 of G.S.'s funds were disbursed, while a reconciliation of MERIT ONE's November 2017 bank statement shows \$202,834.72 of G.S.s funds were disbursed in that same timeframe.
- b. Between November 28, 2017 and June 22, 2018, \$114,800 of G.S.'s funds were disbursed, while a reconciliation of MERIT ONE's November 2017 bank statement shows that only \$3,430.24 of G.S.'s funds would have remained in the account after November 27, 2017.
- c. A total of 22 payments of various amounts were made with G.S.'s \$250,000. Nine of the payments were "personal draws" to DUZIAN, totaling \$70,000, however MERIT ONE provided no document that disclosed to potential borrower Edwards or investor G.S. what compensation would be paid to MERIT ONE. The remaining 13 payments were made to other parties, only four of which correspond with payments on the Accounting. Additionally, MERIT ONE's November and December 2017 bank account statements were submitted "highlighted" by MERIT ONE to show one wire transfer out in

the amount of \$20,000 on November 30, 2017 and one wire transfer out in the amount of \$6,500 on December 18, 2017, corresponding with two withdrawals on MERIT ONE's Accounting, but both of those transfers were made after November 27, 2017 when the balance of G.S.'s funds remaining in the account was only \$3,430.24.

- 87. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN did the acts herein alleged with the intent to deceive and defraud G.S., and MERIT ONE and DUZIAN employed the following devices to conceal from G.S. the facts that the loan for Edwards was not funded and would not be funded, that MERIT ONE and DUZIAN immediately began disbursing G.S.'s \$250,000 and by June 22, 2018 had completely disbursed G.S.'s \$250,000:
 - a. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN refused to provide G.S. with any documentation regarding his \$250,000 in trust funds;
 - b. DUZIAN refused to return G.S.'s multiple phone calls;
 - c. DUZIAN refused to identify any potential lenders to G.S.;
 - d. DUZIAN refused to confirm that the amount owed to G.S. as of late-April 2018 would be included as a line item when a loan closed for Edwards;
 - e. DUZIAN refused to confirm the location of G.S.'s \$250,000 as of late-April 2018;
 - f. While DUZIAN replied to G.S.'s attorney by email on October 15, 2018 requesting time to connect with Edwards to respond, DUZIAN refused to respond further to G.S.'s attorney;
 - g. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN continued to refuse to provide any accounting of the \$250,000 until on or about March 8, 2019, when their attorney sent a letter to the criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado, in which they disclosed for the first time that they disbursed the \$250,000. The DRE did not receive a copy of this letter until on or about July 17, 2020 and it was not provided by Respondents. The DRE received MERIT ONE's Accounting for the first time on August 14, 2020;
 - h. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN, upon receiving an email message from the DRE's special investigator on or about July 3, 2020 requesting records, delayed production

of such records for six weeks and only partially produced the records requested by the DRE, first through a Dropbox link on or about August 14, 2020, and then through paper copies received by the DRE on or about August 19, 2020.

- 88. MERIT ONE and DUZIAN took the above actions with the intent to induce reliance by G.S. in the continuing fidelity of MERIT ONE and DUZIAN entrusted with G.S.'s \$250,000 in trust funds. G.S. reasonably relied on MERIT ONE and DUZIAN because they were licensed by the DRE and had established a real estate agency relationship and fiduciary relationship with G.S.
- 89. MERIT ONE's and DUZIAN's acts and/or omissions were in violation of Code Sections 10176(e), 10176(i), and 10177(j).
- 90. DUZIAN's acts and/or omissions in violation of Code Sections 10176(e), 10176(i), and 10177(j) also constitute cause pursuant to Code Section 10177(k) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights DUZIAN under the Real Estate Law.

Seventh Cause of Accusation: Code Section 10176(g), 10177(k) – Undisclosed Compensation – Secret Profit

- 91. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. Based on MERIT ONE'S Accounting, as shown in paragraph 53 above, DUZIAN took personal draws totaling \$70,000.00 from G.S.'s \$250,000, however, Respondents did not produce any document that has any information about any disclosure made to the potential borrower or any of the potential investors detailing what compensation MERIT ONE and DUZIAN were to receive for the services they provided to Edwards and G.S. that required a real estate license. According to G.S., MERIT ONE and DUZIAN never provided G.S. with any documents at all regarding the transaction.
- 93. MERIT ONE's and DUZIAN's acts and/or omissions were in violation of Code Section 10176(g), and constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of MERIT ONE and DUZIAN.

27 || ///

///

94. DUZIAN's acts and/or omissions in violation of Code Section 10176(g) also constitute cause pursuant to Code Section 10177(k) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights DUZIAN under the Real Estate Law.

<u>Eighth Case of Accusation: Code Sections 10148, 10177(g), and 10232.45(c) – Failure to Maintain Records, Negligence and Incompetence</u>

- 95. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 94 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 96. As alleged above, on or about July 3, 2020, the DRE's special investigator sent an email message to SPEAKMAN and MERIT ONE requesting that copies of the following MERIT ONE records be provided to the DRE:
 - a. Copies of MERIT ONE'S bank statements covering the months of October, November, and December 2017 for MERIT ONE's bank account ending in 6361;
 - b. Copies of all investor forms or documents and loan transaction files—whether the loan transaction ultimately was completed or not—involving G.S.; and,
 - c. Copies of all investor forms or documents and loan transaction files—whether the loan transaction ultimately was completed or not—involving Edwards.
- 97. On or about August 14, 2020, six weeks after the initial request, Respondents, through their attorney, provided a Dropbox link to documents and also advised the DRE that that they never engaged in any loan transaction with Edwards and G.S., and as a result, there were no "files" for MERIT ONE to provide pertaining to the DRE's request. Instead, Respondents advised that DUZIAN's engagement with Edwards and G.S. was limited to "a role as a liaison," and that DUZIAN "maintained his role between any and all parties to that of making introductions."
 - 98. The documents that MERIT ONE provided other than the bank statement included:
 - a. Printouts of email messages, some of which indicate DUZIAN is attempting to obtain investors for a loan for Edwards;
 - b. The Accounting document referenced above in paragraph 53, that provides limited details regarding how of G.S.'s \$250,00 was disbursed;

- c. Draft commitment letters for different business opportunities/ventures;
- d. A draft Loan Facilitation Agreement wherein G.S. is listed as one of the investors, Edwards is listed as a borrower, and MERIT ONE is listed as the lender;
- e. Draft lender and business venture agreements, none of which are executed or fully executed;
 - f. One appraisal report; and
- g. One report, some information sheets and some proposed agreements and offers for an oil rig, oil and gas interest, and coal bed methane products, some of which are dated 2015 and some of which are addressed to or are from parties not referenced anywhere else among the documents from MERIT ONE.
- 99. MERIT ONE's Accounting, included among the documents produced by MERIT ONE, shows that:
 - a. DUZIAN was working on the Edwards loan using G.S.'s funds from at least November 8, 2017 though at least June 22, 2018. The date range among the most of the few documents produced by MERIT ONE extends to only mid-December 2017. The documents include one letter dated in August 2018, but it does not appear to involve any of the parties to the Edwards loan, nor any of the properties or business opportunities. If MERIT ONE continued to work on the Edwards loan and disburse G.S. funds between the second half of December 2017 and June 22, 2018, MERIT ONE failed to produce any documentation for any of that time period.
 - b. Between November 13, 2017 and June 1, 2018, there are nine entries on the Accounting listed as personal draws for DUZIAN, totaling \$70,000, but MERIT ONE provided no other document to indicate how MERIT ONE was obligated to pay these sums, and what activities DUZIAN conducted to earn these fees, commissions, or compensation. Of note is that DUZIAN's attorney's March 8, 2019 letter to the criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado stated that, "Per the agreement, Mr. DUZIAN also took personal draws from the commitment fee to compensate for his work,"

however no document or written agreement providing for this arrangement has been produced by Respondents.

- c. Charles Brown and Michael Harrison were paid \$25,000 and \$102,500 respectively, and although there are some email exchanges and some documents among the documents MERIT ONE produced to the DRE, there is no copy of any executed agreement indicating what role either Brown or Harrison played, what activities they performed in the Edwards loan transaction, in what capacity they performed their activities, how their fees were determined, or what obligated MERIT ONE to pay both Brown and Harrison. Of note is that DUZIAN's attorney's letter to the criminal investigator for the Denver District Attorney's Office in Colorado, referred to instructions from Harrison to wire \$25,000 to Charles Brown, and \$102,500 to Harrison, but no documents containing such instructions were produced by Respondents.
- d. A fee of \$2,300 was paid for an appraisal of property located at 223 Beaver Dam Rd. An appraisal report for 223 Beaver Dam Rd. was among the documents produced by Respondents, however there is no invoice for the report, and the report references a different client.
- e. On or about November 30, 2017, MERIT ONE paid LRE Accord, LLC a commitment fee of \$20,000 for a loan on Beaver Dam. The only document related to LRE Accord LLC included among the documents provided by MERIT ONE is a letter of intent from March 30, 2015, which letter is not signed by the potential borrower. MERIT ONE highlighted the \$20,000 payment to LRE on the November 2017 bank statement it produced to the DRE, but it did not provide any executed document showing why MERIT ONE was obligated to make this payment, nor is there any document showing that a loan secured by the payment to LRE closed.
- f. On or about December 18, 2017, MERIT ONE paid Avatar Financial a commitment fee of \$6,500 for a loan on Belcaro Drive. MERIT ONE highlighted the \$6,500 payment to Avatar on its December 2017 bank statement that it produced, but

///

28 || ///

MERIT ONE did not provide any document other than the Accounting and the December 2017 bank statement that references Avatar Financial in any way.

- g. An appraisal fee of \$2,500 was paid to Paragon for an appraisal of property located at 3500 Belcaro Dr., Denver, but there is no appraisal report or invoice for the appraisal report among the documents MERIT ONE produced to the DRE.
- h. On February 26, April 14, May 17, and May 21, 2018, MERIT ONE made payments described as "Legal for Keith Edwards" in the amounts of \$2,500, \$5,000, \$2,500 and \$2,500, respectively. The first two of these payments do not identify to whom the payments were made, while the last two reference James Gallo. MERIT ONE provided no document that references James Gallo, providing detail beyond the Accounting's description of what the payments were for, or what obligated MERIT ONE to make the payments.
- i. Between on or about June 6 and 22, 2018, MERIT ONE made three payments to Monaco Capital—\$8,000, \$2,000 and \$1,000—as commitment fees for Escalara Warren, but MERIT ONE did not provide any document that includes the name Monaco Capital.
- 100. Additionally, no advance fee agreement, no Lender/Purchaser Disclosure Statements, and no Investor Questionnaires were included among the documents Respondents produced provided to the DRE.
- 101. MERIT ONE failed to provide loan transaction file(s) for the loans it attempted to obtain for Edwards, and failed to produce investor records for G.S. and the other potential investors referenced among the few documents that Respondents did produce, including M.W., WAV, Michael Harrison/Harrison and Horlick, and Rosdev Capital Funding LP/LRE Accord LLC.
- 102. Respondents also failed to include among the documents it produced some of the documents that DUZIAN's attorney previously provided to the criminal investigator with the Denver District Attorney's Office.

- 29 -

ACCUSATION

- 111. By email dated August 13, 2020, Respondents; counsel informed the DRE's special investigator that her clients had relocated.
- 112. MERIT ONE failed to inform the DRE of any change to its main office address and mailing address within 30 days after making the change, in violation of 10162(b), 10162(c)(1), and 10162(c)(2) and Regulation 2715.

Eleventh Cause of Accusation: Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h), Regulation 2725, and Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g): Responsibility of Corporate Officer in Charge; Broker Supervision

- 113. The Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 112 above, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 114. Based on the allegations contained in paragraphs 12 through 112 above, and the First through Tenth Causes of Accusation above, as the broker and designated officer of MERIT ONE, SPEAKMAN did not exercise adequate supervision and control over the real estate activities conducted on behalf of MERIT ONE by its employees and licensees to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Laws and Regulations. SPEAKMAN failed to establish policies, rules and systems to review, oversee, inspect, and manage transactions requiring a real estate license and the handling of trust funds. Moreover, pursuant to the Real Estate Commissioner's decision to issue a restricted RES license to DUZIAN, SPEAKMAN was required to carefully review all transaction documents that DUZIAN prepared and to otherwise exercise close supervision over the licensed activity of DUZIAN, which SPEAKMAN has failed to do.
- 115. SPEAKMAN's acts and/or omissions were in violation of Code sections 10159.2 and 10177(h), and Regulation 2725.
- 116. SPEAKMAN's acts and/or omissions were also in violation of Code Section
 10177(d) for willful disregard of the Real Estate Law, and in the alternative, Code Section
 10177(g) for negligence or incompetence in performing acts for which he is required to hold a license, and constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights SPEAKMAN under the Real Estate Law.

-31-

ACCUSATION

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of
2	this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action
3	against all the licenses and license rights of MERIT ONE LENDING, INC., DONALD
4	THEODORE SPEAKMAN, and ROGER DUZIAN under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of
5	investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be
6	proper under other applicable provisions of law.
7	
8	Dated at Sacramento, California this 157 day of DECEMBER, 2020.
9	, LUZU.
10	LSMart.
11	Luke Martin
12	Supervising Special Investigator
13	cc: MERIT ONE LENDING, INC.
14	DONALD THEODORE SPEAKMAN ROGER DUZIAN
15	Luke Martin Sacto.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	