2.1		
		FILED
1	LISSETE GARCIA, Counsel (SBN 211552)	MAY 1 4 2020
2	Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350	DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982	
4	Direct: (213) 576-6914 Fax: (213) 576-6917	
5	Attorney for Complainant	
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF F	EAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORN	IA
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation against	DRE No. H-41667 LA
12	DENA MAE SAM dba L & K Realty and Lock & Key Realty,	ACCUSATION
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising	g Special Investigator for the
16	Department of Real Estate ¹ ("Department") of the State of C	California, for cause of Accusation
17	against DENA MAE SAM, aka Dena Sam, also doing busin	ess as L & K Realty and Lock &
18	Key Realty ("Respondent"), alleges as follows:	
19	1. The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, acting	g in her official capacity as a
20	Supervising Special Investigator, makes this Accusation aga	inst Respondents.
21	2. All references to the "Code" are to the Califo	rnia Business and Professions Code,
22	all references to the "Real Estate Law" are to Part 1 of Divis	tion 4 of the Code, and all references
23		
24	¹ Between July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2018, the Department of Real Estate the Department of Consumer Affairs.	operated as the Bureau of Real Estate under
	Page 1	DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam

to "Regulations" are to the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6,
 California Code of Regulations.

- 3 3. Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate
 4 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code).
- 4. On August 15, 2014, the Department issued a real estate broker license to
 Respondent DENA MAE SAM ("SAM"), License ID 01460960. Respondent SAM's broker
 license is scheduled to expire on August 14, 2022. Respondent was formerly licensed as a real
 estate salesperson from November 16, 2004 through August 14, 2014.
- 9 5. SAM is licensed to do business as L & K Realty and Lock & Key Realty.
 10 Respondent SAM is the owner and broker for said entities.
- 6. On September 6, 2012, the Department issued a real estate salesperson license to
 Alfred Valentine Logan ("Logan"), License ID 01920127. Logan's salesperson license is
 scheduled to expire on September 23, 2020. Logan has renewal rights pursuant to Code section
 10201. The Department retains jurisdiction pursuant to Code section 10103.
- 15 7. Logan was formerly licensed as a salesperson under responsible broker, SAM,
 16 from approximately September 24, 2016 through November 29, 2017. Logan is currently

17 licensed under responsible broker, Diane Dames, License ID 01815555.

18

Broker Activities

8. At all times relevant herein, in the State of California, Respondent SAM acted as
 a real estate broker and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of Code section 10131,
 subdivision (a), (solicit prospective sellers or buyers, obtain listings of, or negotiate the purchase,
 sale, or exchange of real property or business opportunity), and subdivision (b), (solicit or offer
 to negotiate the sale, purchase or exchange of leases, or collects rents from real property or
 business opportunity).

Page 2

9.	Audit LA190004					
	On or about September 26, 2019, the Department completed an audit examination					
of the books	and records of Respondent SAM's real estate sales activities, which require a real					
	e pursuant to Code section 10131, subdivision (a) and SAM's property management					
	nich require a real estate license pursuant to Code section 10131, subdivision (b).					
-	The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set					
forth in the f	ollowing paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA190004 and the					
	work papers attached to said audit report.					
	On or about August 6, 2019, the Department's auditor held an entrance					
	vith Respondent SAM.					
	According to the auditor's discussions with Respondent SAM and the audit					
	nined, Respondent SAM managed approximately twenty (20) one-to-four family					
	nd four (4) commercial complexes with four (4) units each for twelve (12) property					
	compensation, SAM collected trust funds including rents and security deposits, paid					
	d screened tenants. Respondent SAM charged a management fee of eight to ten					
	10%) of the monthly rents collected.					
	According to Respondent SAM, Respondent SAM maintained the following bank					
	roperty management activities.					
	Bank Account #1 (B/A 1)					
	Bank: Wells Fargo					
	Account Name: Dena Mae Sam DBA Lock & Key Realty Account #: xxxxx8435					
	Page 3					
×	DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam					
	estate license activities, wh 10. ("audit period 11. forth in the fe exhibits and 12. conference w 13. records exam residences an owners. For e expenses, and percent (8%- 14.					

-

1	# of signatures required: One (1)
2	Signatories: Respondent SAM
3	Description: During the audit period, B/A 1 was maintained for handling of trust
4	fund receipts and disbursements for multiple beneficiaries in connection with
5	SAM's property management activities, while doing business as Lock & Key
6	Realty.
7	Violations
8	16. In the course of its property management activities during the audit examination
9	period, Respondent acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations as follows:
10	17. Code section 10145(a) and Regulation 2832.1. Trust fund handling for multiple
11	beneficiaries
12	A bank reconciliation was prepared for B/A 1 with a cut-off date of June 30, 2019. As of
13	June 30, 2019, there was a combined minimum shortage of <\$558.18> in B/A 1. Respondent
14	SAM failed to provide any evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written
15	consent to allow Respondent SAM to reduce the balances of the funds in B/A 1 to an amount less
16	than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code section 10145, subdivision
17	(a), and Regulation 2832.1.
18	18. The shortage as of June 30, 2019, was due to negative property balances totaling
19	<\$504.91> and an outstanding check no. 1803 for <\$53.27>, dated June 21, 2019, for an
20	unidentified property that was not recorded on SAM's control record or separate records.
21	///
22	
23	111
24	
	Page 4 DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam

19. <u>Negative property balances</u>. The negative property balances include, but are not limited to, the following:

3	<u>Owner</u>	Property	Balance as of 6/30/2019
4	A.F. ¹	Appian Ave.	<\$85.00>
5		Deborah	<\$89.92>
6		Desert Star	<\$94.50>
7		Total	<\$184.32>
8	M.R.	Culebra Rd.	<\$50.00>
9		Emerald Lucerne Valley	<\$50.00>
10		402 W. Williams St.	\$590.00
11		409 W. Williams St.	<\$660.29>
12		Total	<\$70.92>
13	M.R.	13409 Mohawk #1	<\$5.30>
14		13409 Mohawk #2	<\$60.00>
15		13409 Mohawk #3	<\$70.00>
16		13409 Mohawk #4	\$70.00
17		Total	<\$65.30>
18		Unidentified Property	< <u>\$53.27></u>
19		Totals	<\$558.18>
20	20. <u>Code</u> :	section 10145 and Regulation	2831. Trust fund records to be maintained.
21	During the au	dit period from January 1, 201	7 through March 5, 2017, Respondent SAM
22	failed to maintain acc	surate and complete records of	trust funds received and disbursed (control
23	¹ Initials are used in place	of individuals' full names to protect	t their privacy. Documents containing individuals' full
24	manies will be provided d	uring the discovery phase of this cases of the discovery on Complainant's co	e to Respondents and/or their attorneys after service of
		Page 5	

record) for B/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2381. SAM's control
 record did not reflect an accurate trust fund date of deposits. In one instance, a trust fund deposit
 was inaccurately recorded. Some trust fund deposit dates were not recorded on the control
 record. The running daily balance of the control record was inaccurate. Examples of the
 unrecorded trust fund deposit dates, include, without limitation, the following:

6	Property Address	Amount	Date of Deposit	Actual Date of	<u>W/P Ref.</u>
7	18190 Larkspur #A	\$580.00	<u>Recorded</u> No date of deposit	<u>Deposit</u> 08/03/2017	B-1.2, B-1.3,
8					C-2a, D-1
9	18192 Larkspur #A	\$350.00	No date of deposit	08/25/2017	B-1.2, B-1.3,
10					C-2c, D-1
11	18415 Jonathan #A	\$550.00	No date of deposit	11/06/2017	D-1, B-1.2,
12					B-1.3, C-2e, D-1
13	13870 Bayberry	\$5,099.00	No date of deposit	06/10/2019	B-1.2, B-1.3,
14	13742 Woodpecker	\$5,316.67	No doto of domesit	04/10/0010	D-1
15		Ψ2,210.07	No date of deposit	04/12/2019	B-1.2, B-1.3, D-1
16	11506 Cibola Rd.	\$8,575.00	No date of deposit	06/24/2019	B-1.2, B-1.3,
17					D-1
18	TOTAL	\$23,945.67	-		
19	111				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
			Page 6	DRE Accusation agai	nst Dena Mae Sam
				C.	

2									
a. 1 8 1	· ·		<u>.</u>						
1	21. The contract of the cont	ntrol record	l did not refl	ect from w	hom tru	st funds :	were rec	eived	
. 2	Examples including th					St Tunes		civeu.	
3									
4	Property Address	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Actual 1</u> Deposit		rom	<u>eceived</u>	<u>W/P R</u>	<u>ef.</u>	-
		• • • • • • • •							
5	18190 Larkspur #A	\$580.00	08/03/2	017 P	Not reco	rded	B-1.2, E C-2a, E		
6	18100 1 1 // 4	** **			_				
7	18192 Larkspur #A	\$350.00	08/25/2	017 r	Not reco	orded	B-1.2, I C-2c, I		
8									
9	18415 Jonathan #A	\$550.00	11/06/2	017 r	Not reco	rded	B-1.2, I C-2e, I	-	
10									
11	18415 Jonathan #B	\$565.00	09/05/2	017	Not reco	rded	B-1.2 , J C-2f , D		
12	22. The co	22. The control record did not reflect an accurate recording of dates of disburse						ements	
13	for trust funds. Theref	ore, the run	ning daily b	alance of t	ne contr	ol record	l is inacc	urate. E	xamples
14	include the following,	without lir	nitation:						
15	Property Address	Check #	<u>Amount</u>	Recorded	<u>l date</u>	Actual of		<u>W/P R</u>	<u>lef.</u>
16				<u>of</u> disburse	ment	<u>Disburs</u>	ement		
17	18192 Larkspur #A	1475	\$304.80	10/16/201	7	09/08/2	017	-	B-1.3,
18	19102 I	1405	\$125 00	1011 6 10 0	_			C-2c,	•
19	18192 Larkspur #B	1485	\$435.00	10/16/201	17	09/22/20	017	B-1.2, C-2d,	B-1.3, D-3
20	18415 Jonathan #B	1484	\$4,299.00	10/16/201	.7	09/22/20	017		B-1.3,
21	11506 Cilate DJ	Onlin -	ቀ ወ <i>ደግድ</i> ለብ			0.000	010	C-2f, 1	
22	11506 Cibola Rd.	Online Transfer	\$8,575.00	6/24/2019	,	06/26/20	019	B-1.2, D-3	B-1.3,
23									
24	111								
				Page 7					
						DRE Accu	sation aga	inst Dena	Mae Sam
	-								1

1	23. <u>Code s</u>	ection 1014	5 and Regu	<u>lation 2831.1.5</u>	Separate records fo	or each beneficiary	
2	or transaction.						
3	During the audit period, Respondent SAM failed to maintain accurate and/or complete						
4	separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for B/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145						
5	and Regulation 2831.1. SAM's separate records did not reflect an accurate running daily balance						
6	for B/A 1.						
7	24. SAM's	separate re	cords did n	ot reflect accura	ate dates of deposi	ts for trust funds.	
8	Examples of inaccurat	te trust fund	dates of de	eposit include th	e following, with	out limitation:	
9	Property Address	<u>Amount</u>			Actual date of	<u>W/P Ref.</u>	
10		\$320.00	<u>deposit</u> 07/13/20		deposit	D10 D14	
11	18190 Larkspur #A	\$520.00	07/13/20	17	07/31/2017	B-1.2, B-1.4, C-2a, D-1	
12	18415 Jonathan #A	\$550.00	08/01/20	17	08/03/2017	B-1.2, B-1.4,	
13						C-2e D-1	
14	18415 Jonathan #A	\$550.00	11/01/20	17	11/06/2017	B-1.2, B-1.4, C-2e, D-1	
15	25. SAM's	separate re	cords did n	ot reflect an acc	curate recording of	f trust fund dates of	
16	disbursements. Examp	oles of inacc	curate trust	fund dates of di	sbursements inclu	de the following,	
17	without limitations:						
18	Property Address	Check #	<u>Amount</u>	Recorded dat of	te <u>Actual date of</u> disbursement	<u>f W/P Ref.</u>	
19	18190 Larkspur #A	Online	\$45.20	<u>disbursemen</u> 08/01/2017		B-1.2, B-	
20		Transfer	<i></i>	00/01/2017	00/07/2017	1.4, C-2a, D-1	
21	18192 Larkspur #B	1485	\$35.00	09/18/2017	09/22/2017	B-1.2, B- 1.4,	
22	18415 Jonathan #A	Online	\$44.00	09/01/2017	09/06/2017	C-2d D-3 B-1.2, B-	
23		Transfer				1.4, C-2e, D-1	
24							
				Page 8	DRE Accusation	against Dena Mae Sam	
I							

1	26. SAM'	s separate re	ecords did n	ot reflect an accu	urate recording of t	rust fund	
. 2	disbursement amount	ts. Example	s of inaccura	te recordings of	trust fund disburse	ments include	
3	the following, without limitations:						
4	Property Address	Check #	Recorded		Actual date of	<u>W/P Ref.</u>	
5	18190 Larkspur #A	Online Transfer	amount Not recorded	<u>amount</u> \$39.62	disbursement 02/07/2017	B-1.2, B-	
6		110115101	recordeu			1.4, C-2a, D-3	
7	18190 Larkspur #A	Online Transfer	Not recorded	\$282.50	5/12/2017	B-1.2, B- 1.4,	
8						C-2a, D-3	
9	27. There	were instan	ces where th	e check number	s of disbursements	were not posted	
10	on SAM's separate re	cords. Exa	mples of che	eck numbers of c	lisbursements not j	posted on the	
11	separate records inclu	ide the follo	wing, witho	ut limitation:			
12	Property Address	<u>Recorded</u> check #	<u>Actual</u> check #	Amount	Actual date of disbursement	<u>W/P Ref.</u>	
13 14	18190 Larkspur #A	Not recorded	1458	\$422.10	08/15/2017	B-1.2, B-1.4, C-2a, D-3	
15				,		,	
16	18192 Larkspur #B	Not recorded	1570	\$586.00	01/19/2018	B-1.2, B-1.4, C-2d, D-3	
17	18415 Jonathan	Not	1484	\$4,299.00	09/22/2017	D12D14	
18	#B	recorded	1704	₽ Ŧ, 299.00	09/22/2017	B-1.2, B-1.4, C-2f, D-3	
19	409 Williams	Not	1785	\$240.29	04/24/2019	B-1.2, B-1.4,	
20		recorded		*= 101	0.02.02019	D-3.	
21					ds for trust funds i		
22	to SAM's sales transa						
23	funds of sales transac			ent deposits into	B/A 1 that were no	ot recorded	
24	include the following	, without lin	nitation:				
				Page 9	DRE Accusation ag	ainst Dena Mae Sam	

• • •

51										
1	Property Address	<u>Amour</u>	<u>ıt</u>		led date of		al date	<u>W/P</u>	<u>Ref.</u>	
2	13870 Bayberry	\$5,099.	.00	deposit No sep record	-	<u>of de</u> 06/10	<u>posit</u>)/2019	B-1.2	2, B-1.4, D-	-1
3	13870 Bayberry	\$100.0	0	No sep record	arate	06/05	5/2019	B-1.2	2, B-1.4, D-	-1
5	13742 Woodpecker	\$5,316.	.67	No sep record	arate	04/12	2/2019	B-1.2	2, B-1.4, D-	-1
6 7	11506 Cibola Rd.	\$8,575.	.00	No sep record	arate	06/24	2019	B-1.2	2, B-1.4, D-	1
8	TOTAL	\$19,090	167	,						
9	29. Exa	mples of tr	ust fu	unds of s	ales transact	ions ar	d tenant j	placem	nent deposi	ts
10	disbursed from B/A	A 1 that we	re not	t recorde	ed include th	e follo	wing, wit	hout li	mitation:	
11	<u>Property</u> <u>Address</u>	Check #	<u>Am</u>	ount	Recorded of disburse		<u>Actual c</u> of	late	<u>W/P Ref.</u>	
12 13	13870 Bayberry	Online Transfer	\$84	7.50	No separat record		<u>disburse</u> 06/12/20		B-1.2, B- 3	1.4, I
14 15	13870 Bayberry	1801	\$4,2	251.50	No separat record	e	06/13/20	019	B-1.2, B-3	1.4, [
16	13742 Woodpecker	Online Transfer	\$50	0.00	No separat record	e	04/15/20	019	B-1.2, B-3	l.4, E
17 18	13742 Woodpecker	1783	\$4,4	474.00	No separat record	e	04/12/20	019	B-1.2, B-1 3	1.4, E
19	13742 Woodpecker	Online Transfer	\$14	7.67	No separat record	e	04/11/20)19	B-1.2, B-1 3	l. 4, E
20 21	11506 Cibola Rd.	Online Transfer	\$8,5	575.00	No separat record	e	06/26/20	019	B-1.2, B-1 3	.4, C
		TOTAL	\$18	,795.67	-					
22										
23										
24										
-					Page 10		DDE		against Dena	

1	30. Examj	ples of security an	d dog deposi	ts deposited into E	A 1 that were not		
2	recorded include the	following, without	t limitation:				
3	Property Address	Date of deposit	<u>Amount</u>	Description	W/P Ref.	30	
4	13498 Merry Oaks	03/20/2018	\$1,500.00	Security deposit	B-1.2, B-1.4, D-3		
5	10976 Wilson 09/02/2016 \$1,450.00 Security deposit B-1.2, B-1.4, D-3						
6	Ave.						
7	14155 Sepulveda	05/02/2018	\$200.00	Dog deposit	B-1.2, B-1.4, D-3		
8	31. During	g the audit period,	Respondent	SAM failed to main	intain a separate reco	ord of	
9	the receipt and dispos	sition of all trust fi	unds deposite	ed into B/A 1 as rea	quired by Code secti	on	
10	10145, subdivision (g) and Regulation 2	2831.1 in tha	tt B/A 1 contained	unidentified and/or		
11	unaccounted for fund	s of at least \$2,33	6.55 as of Ju	ne 30, 2019.			
12	32. <u>Code s</u>	section 10145 and	Regulation 2	2832. Trust accoun	t designation/Trust f	ùnd	
13	handling.						
14	During the audit period, SAM used B/A 1 for the handling of trust funds in connection						
15	with property management activities but the account was not designated as a trust account or in						
16	Respondent SAM's n	ame or Responder	nt's licensed	fictious business n	ames, as trustee, in		
17	violation of Code sect	tion 10145 and Re	gulation 283	2.			
18	33. <u>Code s</u>	ection 10145 and	Regulation 2	2831.2. Trust accou	int reconciliation		
19	During the aud	lit period, Respon	dent SAM fa	ailed to perform an	d maintain a monthly	y	
20	reconciliation compar	ing the balance of	all separate	beneficiary or tran	saction records (sepa	arate	
21	records) to the balance of all trust funds received and disbursed (control record) for B/A 1, in						
22	violation of Code sect	tion 10145 and Re	gulation 283	1.2.			
23							
24							
			Page 11	DRE Ac	cusation against Dena M	ae Sam	
					-		

1

.

· .
34. <u>Code sections 10145, 10176(e), and Regulation 2832. Handling of Trust</u>
Funds/Commingling.
As of June 30, 2019, security deposit trust funds were commingled with Respondent
SAM's own funds in SAM's general accounts, in violation of Code sections 10145 and 10176,
subdivision (e), and Regulation 2832.
35. As of June 30, 2019, Respondent SAM kept the security deposit trust of
\$2,690.00 in SAM's general account 1 (G/A 1, Account No. XXXX6735). The security deposit
of \$2,690.00 was deposited into G/A 1 on February 2, 2018 for the property located at 1876
Irene St., Wrightwood, California.
36. As of June 30, 2019, SAM kept the security deposit trust funds of \$1495.00 in
general account 2 (G/A 2, Account No. XXXX8715). The security deposit of \$1,495.00 was
deposited into G/A 2 on April 26, 2018 for the property located at 14155 Sepulveda Dr.,
Victorville, California.
37. <u>Regulation 2725. Broker supervision.</u>
During the audit period, Respondent SAM failed to adequately supervise the activities of
Respondent SAM's salespersons, employees, or agents and failed to establish policies, rules,
procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect, and manage transactions requiring a real
estate license and the handling of trust funds to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law, in
violation of Regulation 2725.
38. The conduct, acts, and/or omissions of Respondent SAM as described above in
Paragraphs 17 through 37, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:
111
///
Page 12 DRE Accusation against Dana Man Sam
DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam

		-
1	Paragraphs	Violations
2	17-19	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1
3	20-22	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831
4	23-31	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1
5	32	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832
6	33	Code sections 10145 and Regulation 2831.2
7	34-36	Code sections 10145, 10176(e), and Regulation 2832
8	37	Regulation 2725
9	39.	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the
10	real estate lic	enses and license rights of Respondent SAM under the provisions of Code section
11	10177, subdiv	visions (d) and/or (g), and Code section 10176, subdivision (e).
12		Management of J.A. properties
13	40.	During the audit period, SAM and Logan managed eight units for rental
14	properties ow	med by property owner, J.A. The rental properties were located at 18190 Larkspur
15	Rd. #A and #	B, Adelanto, California; 18192 Larkspur #A and #B, Adelanto, California; and
16	18415 Jonath	an #A, #B, #C, and #D (collectively "J.A. properties").
17	41.	Based on the Department auditor's examination of sampled deposits and
18	disbursement	s for all eight units of the J.A. properties, SAM's control record and separate
19	records relate	d to J.A.'s properties were incomplete and inaccurate. There were instances where
20	SAM was not	able to provide to the auditor records of the deposit tickets, checks and online
21	transfer verifi	cations related to each deposit and disbursement recorded on the separate records
22	for each of the	e units of the J.A. properties.
23	111	
24		
		Page 13 DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam

•

2 .				÷			
	1	42. There were three (3) management fees that were charged higher than what was					
	2	contracted for the property located at 18190 Larkspur #A and two (2) management fees that were					
	3	charged higher than what was contracted for the property located at 18192 Larkspur #A.					
	4	Examples of the management fee overcharges include the following:					
	5	Date	Check No.	Rent	Management	<u>Management</u>	<u>Management</u>
	6				<u>Fee</u>	<u>Fee Charged</u> to J.A.	<u>Fee</u> Overcharge
	7	02/02/2017	Online Transfer	\$200.00	\$16.00	\$45.20	<u>Amount</u> \$29.20
	8	02/07/2017	Online Transfer	\$330.00	\$26.40	\$39.62	\$13.22
	9	06/05/2017	Online Transfer	\$500.00	\$40.00	\$45.20	\$5.20
	10	08/10/2017	TOTALS Online	\$1,030.00 \$450.00	\$82.40 \$36.00	\$130.02 \$45.20	(\$47.62) 9.20
	11	09/06/2017	Transfer Online	\$350.00	\$28.00	\$45.20	
	12	03/00/2017	Transfer TOTALS				\$17.20
	13	43.		\$800.00 t's auditor was u	\$64.00 mable to verify al	\$90.40 I the receipts, fee	(\$26.40) es, and
	14	commissions due to inaccurate separate records for 18190 Larkspur #A and 18192 Larkspur #A.					
	15	44. The conduct, acts, omissions, and violations described above, constitute cause for					
	16	the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent SAM					
	17	under the provisions of Code section 10177, subdivisions (d) and/or (g), for violation of Code					
	18	section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2831.1.					
	19	Audit Costs					
	20	45. Code section 10148, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part, that the					
	21	Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the Commissioner has					
	22	found in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code					
	23	section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said section.					
	24						
		Page 14 DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam					
							Juli Sulli

- .

1	Investigation/Enforcement Costs							
2	46. Code section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in							
3	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner							
4	may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation							
5	of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement							
6	of the case.							
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this							
8	Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action							
9	against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of							
10	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the costs of the audit, investigation, and							
11	enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under							
12	other provisions of law.							
13	Dated at San Diego, California this day of, 2020.							
14								
15	V. Kilpatric							
16	Supervising Special Investigator							
17	cc: Dena Mae Sam							
18	Veronica Kilpatrick Sacto							
19	Audits/David Quek							
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
	Page 15 DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam							
	DRE Accusation against Dena Mae Sam							

ar.

-

,

,