STEVE CHU, Counsel (SBN 238155) Department of Real Estate 2 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 3 Telephone: (213) 620-6430 4 Fax: (213) 576-6917 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-41474 LA 13 PERRY WILLIAM MADGE. ACCUSATION doing business as 14 L J Kelly Mortgage Company, 15 Respondent. 16 17 The Complainant, Chika Sunquist, a Supervising Special Investigator of the 18 19 20 21 1.

22

23

24

25

26

27

FILED

AUG 2 5 2019

State of California, for cause of Accusation against PERRY WILLIAM MADGE, doing business as L J Kelly Mortgage Company ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:

The Complainant, Chika Sunquist, acting in her official capacity as a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent PERRY WILLIAM MADGE.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

Accusation of Perry William Madge

#### LICENSE HISTORY

3.

Respondent PERRY WILLIAM MADGE ("MADGE") presently has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate broker.

#### **BROKERAGE**

## PERRY WILLIAM MADGE

4.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Orange, County of Orange, Respondent MADGE acted as a real estate broker, conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code section 10131(d) (solicits borrowers or lenders for or negotiates loans or collects payments or performs services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured by real property).

### **AUDIT**

#### PERRY WILLIAM MADGE

5.

On May 25, 2018, the Department of Real Estate ("Department") completed audit examinations of the books and records of Respondent MADGE pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 4 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from January 1, 2017, to March 31, 2018. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA170183 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

# AUDIT VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

6.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 4 above and during the examination periods described in Paragraph 5 above, Respondent MADGE acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

Accusation of Perry William Madge

///

///

- 6(a) Respondent MADGE did not maintain a complete and accurate control record or general ledger of all trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.
- 6(b) Respondent MADGE did not maintain a complete and accurate separate record of all trust funds received and disbursed for each beneficiary in connection with Respondent's mortgage loan brokerage and servicing activities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.1.
- 6(c) Respondent MADGE failed to deposit trust funds into a trust account within three business days following receipt of the trust funds by MADGE, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.
- 6(d) A bank account used by Respondent MADGE for trust funds was not designated as a trust account, and was not in the name of Respondent as trustee or a fictitious name held by Respondent's real estate license as trustee, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.
- 6(e) An unlicensed employee of Respondent MADGE made withdrawals from a bank account used by Respondent for trust funds and Respondent failed to maintain a fidelity bond coverage at least equal to the maximum amount of the trust funds to which the employee has access at any time, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2834.
- 6(f) Respondent MADGE did not provide to all lenders or purchasers a copy of the appraisal or broker's evaluation for each parcel of real property securing the note or interest, in violation of Code sections 10232.3(a)(3) and 10238(h)(3).
- 6(g) Respondent MADGE failed to retain a signed statement from all lenders or purchasers indicating that the lender or purchaser meets one or both of the qualifications of income or net worth, in violation of Code sections 10232.3(b) and 10238(f).

Accusation of Perry William Madge

6(h) Res	pondent MADGE did not provide a lender purchaser disclosure		
statement to all lenders as early as practicable before the lender became obligated to make the			
loan or purchase and before the receipt of or the disbursement of the lender's funds, in violation			
of Code sections 10232.4(a), 10232.5(b), and 10238(l) and Regulations section 2846.			
6(i) Res	pondent MADGE failed to determine that an investment is suitable		
and appropriate for each lender or purchaser or failed to retain records of information used to			
determine such suitability, in violation of Code section 10232.45.			
6(j) Res	pondent MADGE did not maintain loan servicing agreements that		
satisfy the requirements of Code section 10238(k), in violation of Code section 10233(a).			
6(k) Res	pondent MADGE failed to provide required accountings to all		
lenders or purchasers, in violation of Code section 10233(b).			
6(1) Res	pondent MADGE did not provide an approved mortgage loan		
disclosure statement to all borrowers within three business days after receipt of a completed			

6(m) Respondent MADGE failed to retain completed loan applications and fair lending notices signed by the borrowers, in violation of Code section 10148.

written loan application or retain on file a true and correct copy of the statement as signed by

the borrower, in violation of Code section 10240 and Regulations section 2842.

6(n) Respondent MADGE did not notify the Department on January 1, 2018, of the employment of Dustin William Madge as a broker associate, in violation of Code section 10161.8.

6(o) Respondent MADGE did not disclose his real estate identification number on solicitation materials intended to be the first point of contact with consumers, including, but not limited to, business cards, in violation of Code section 10140.6 and Regulations section 2773.

7.

The conduct, acts, or omissions of Respondent MADGE, described in Paragraph 6 above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

1	<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED	
2	6(a)	Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831	
3	6(b)	Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.1	
4	6(c)	Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832	
5	6(d)	Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832	
6	6(e)	Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2834	
7	6(f)	Code sections 10232.3(a)(3) and 10238(h)(3)	
8	6(g)	Code sections 10232.3(b) and 10238(f)	
9	6(h)	Code sections 10232.4(a), 10232.5(b), and 10238(l)	
10		and Regulations section 2846	
11	6(i)	Code section 10232.45	
12	6(j)	Code section 10233(a)	
13	6(k)	Code section 10233(b)	
14	6(l)	Code section 10240 and Regulations section 2842	
15	6(m)	Code section 10148	
16	6(n)	Code section 10161.8	
17	6(0)	Code section 10140.6 and Regulations section 2773	
18	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all		
19	the licenses, license endorsements, and license rights of Respondent MADGE under the Real		
20	Estate Law pursuant to the provisions of Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).		
21	8.		
22	Code section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that the Real Estate		
23	Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit if the Commissioner has		
24	found in a final decision, following a disciplinary hearing, that the broker has violated Code		
25	section 10145 or a Regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said Code section.		
26	<i>                                      </i>		
27	<i>   </i>		

cc:

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses, license endorsements, and license rights of Respondent PERRY WILLIAM MADGE under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of audit, investigation, and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Sacramento, California

this 15th day of August, 2019

Chika Sunquist

Supervising Special Investigator

PERRY WILLIAM MADGE

Chika Sunquist

Sacto.

Audits