s. 2 S	
1	FILED
2	MAY 1 1 2020
3	DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation against DRE No. H-41312 LA
12	ALFRED PALAFOX dba
13	Alliance Investment Group,
14	Respondent.
15	DECISION AFTER REJECTION
16	
17	This matter was heard by Erlinda G. Shrenger, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Office of Administrative Hearings on October 31, 2019.
18	
19	The Complainant was represented by Diane Lee, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate ("Department").
20	Attorney Christopher J. Donovan, Esq., Doss Law, LLP, represented
21	Respondent ALFRED PALAFOX ("Respondent"), doing business as Alliance Investment
22	Group, who appeared at the hearing.
23	Evidence was received, the hearing was closed, and the matter was submitted on
24	that date.
25	On December 2, 2019, ALJ Shrenger, signed a Proposed Decision which I
26	declined to adopt as my Decision herein.
27	
	- 1 -

14

1	Pursuant to Section 11517(c) of the Government Code of the State of California.
2	Respondent was served with notice of my determination to not adopt the Proposed Decision of
3	the ALJ along with a copy of said Proposed Decision. Respondent was notified that the case
4	would be decided by me upon the record. the transcript of proceedings held on October 31, 2019,
5	and upon any written argument offered by Respondent and Complainant.
6	On March 6, 2020, Respondent submitted as his argument. Respondent's hearing
7	brief and subsequent letters exchanged between Respondent's counsel and Complainant's
8	counsel. On March 20, 2020, written argument was submitted on behalf of Complainant.
9	I have given careful consideration to the record in this case including the
10	transcript of proceedings of October 31, 2019. I have also considered the argument submitted by
11	Respondent and the argument submitted on behalf of Complainant.
12	The following shall constitute the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in
13	this proceeding:
14	FACTUAL FINDINGS
15	The Factual Findings of the ALJ's Proposed Decision in this matter, dated
16	December 2, 2019, are hereby adopted.
17	LEGAL CONCLUSIONS
18	The Legal Conclusions and Discussion of the ALJ's Proposed Decision in this
19	matter, dated December 2, 2019, are hereby adopted.
20	ORDER
21	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
22	The Order of the ALJ's Proposed Decision in this matter, dated December 2.
23	2019, is hereby adopted with the exception to the first paragraph of the Order which revoked all
24	licenses and licensing rights of Respondent; provided, however, the right to issuance of a
25	restricted real estate broker license. The Order omitted reference to Respondent's mortgage
26	loan originator ("MLO") license endorsements, thereby revoking the MLO license
27	endorsements outright. The Order shall therefore be as follows:

٩

- 2 -

1 All licenses, mortgage loan originator ("MLO") license endorsements and licensing rights of Respondent ALFRED PALAFOX dba Alliance Investment Group under the 2 Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license and 3 restricted individual and company MLO license endorsements shall be issued to Respondent 4 pursuant to Sections 10156.5 and 10166.051 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") if 5 6 Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision. 7 8 The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under 9 10 authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

11 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing
 12 by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of
 13 nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a
 14 real estate licensee.

2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing
 by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that
 Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the subdivided Lands Law,
 Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted
 real estate license or MLO license endorsements, nor for the removal of any of the conditions,
 limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the
 effective date of this Decision.

4. Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this
Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has,
since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and
successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the
Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this

- 3 -

condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the
 Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the
 opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such
 evidence.

5 5. Respondent shall pay \$3,564 to the Department for its reasonable costs of
6 investigation and enforcement of this matter within 90 days of the effective date of this
7 Decision and Order, unless the Department agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan
8 because of financial hardship. However, full payment must be received within one year of any
9 such agreement.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on _June 10, 2020. IT IS SO ORDERED り L SANDRA KNAU Acting Real Estate Commissioner