1 2	Amelia V. Vetrone, SBN 134612FILEDDepartment of Real EstateAUG 2 0 2018320 West 4th Street Ster 350AUG 2 0 2018
	320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350AUD Z U ZUISLos Angeles, California 90013-1105DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
3	By Ada (h. 1
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6940
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT <sup>1</sup> OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against ) No. H-41143 LA
12	PACIFIC MORTGAGE )
13	EXCHANGE, INC.; and GREGORY $A \subseteq C \sqcup S \land T \sqcup O N$ GAIL SCHICK, individually, and as $A \subseteq C \sqcup S \land T \amalg O N$
14	Designated Officer of Pacific ) Mortgage Exchange, Inc., )
15	Respondents.
16	)
17	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
18	State of California, acting in her official capacity, for cause of Accusation against PACIFIC
19	MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC. and GREGORY GAIL SCHICK, individually, and as
20	Designated Officer of Pacific Mortgage Exchange, Inc., is informed and alleges as follows:
21	
22	1.
23	All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code,
24	all references to the "Real Estate Law" are to Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, and all references
25	to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.
26 27	<sup>1</sup> Between July 1, 2013, and July 1, 2018, the Department of Real Estate operated as the Bureau of Real Estate under the Department of Consumer Affairs.
	. – 1 –
	ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

1	2.	
2	Respondent PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC. ("PMEI") is presently	
3	licensed or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a corporate real estate broker.	
4	Respondent PMEI was originally licensed as a corporate real estate broker by the Department on	
5	or about April 20, 1978. Since that date and continuing to the present, Respondent GREGORY	
6	GAIL SCHICK ("SCHICK") has been a Designated Officer of PMEI. Beginning May 5, 1994,	
7	and continuing to the present, Respondent SCHICK has been the sole Designated Officer of	
8	PMEI. Respondent PMEI's corporate real estate broker license is due to expire on August 16,	
9	2018.	
10	3.	
11	Respondent SCHICK is licensed by the Department of Real Estate ("DRE") as a	
12	real estate broker. Respondent was originally licensed as a real estate broker on July 10, 1974.	
13	Respondent's real estate broker license is due to expire on January 28, 2021.	
14	4.	
15	At all times mentioned, in the cities of Big Bear Lake and Palm Desert,	
16	California, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or	
17	assumed to act as real estate brokers within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d), including the	
18	solicitation of borrowers or lenders for and/or negotiating loans, collecting payments and/or	
19	performing services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured by liens on real	
20	property as the agent of others. In addition, Respondents conducted broker-controlled escrow	
21	activities under the real estate broker license of Respondent PMEI pursuant to Financial Code	
22	Section 17006(a)(4).	
23	5.	
24	On January 24, 2018 and January 31, 2018, the Department completed two audit	
25	examinations of the books and records of Respondent PMEI pertaining to the real estate	
26	activities described in paragraph 4, above. The audit examination for Respondent's mortgage	
27	loan and loan servicing activities covered the period of time from May 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.	
	-2-	

т. — т.,

ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

The audit examination for Respondent's broker-controlled escrow activities covered the period 1 May 1, 2016, to August 31, 2017. The primary purpose of the examinations was to determine 2 Respondent's compliance with the Real Estate Law. The audit examinations revealed numerous 3 violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more 4 fully discussed in Audit Reports LA160214 and LA170066, and the exhibits and work papers 5 6 attached to said audit reports. 7 Bank Accounts 8 6. 9 At all times herein relevant, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 4, above, Respondent PMEI accepted or received funds to be held in trust ("trust 10

funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties in connection with mortgage loan and 11 broker-controlled escrow activities. Thereafter Respondent PMEI made deposits and or 12 disbursements of such trust funds. From time to time herein mentioned during the audit period, said trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by Respondent in the following bank accounts: Pacific Mortgage Exchange Trust Account Account No. 420XXXX (Redacted for security) First Mountain Bank Big Bear Lake, California

 $(\underline{TA 1} - trust account used for mortgage loan activities)$ 

Pacific Mortgage Exchange Inc. Trust Account Account No. 205XXXX (Redacted for security) Citibank Palm Desert, California

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

///

III

 $\parallel \mid$ 

 $(\underline{TA 2} - trust account used for broker-controlled escrow)$ 

-3-

ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

1

Audit of Mortgage Loan Activity

2 7. 3 With respect to the licensed mortgage loan activities referred to in Paragraph 4, 4 and the audit examination including the exhibits and work papers referenced in Paragraph 5, it is 5 alleged that Respondents: б (a) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record of the receipt and 7 disbursement of trust funds handled through TA 1 in violation of Code Section 10145 and 8 Regulation 2831; 9 (b) Failed to perform and maintain a monthly reconciliation of the separate 10 record to the control record of trust funds handled through TA 1 in violation of Code Section 11 10145 and Regulation 2831.2; 12 (c) Failed to provide borrowers with a Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement 13 within three days following receipt of the loan application in violation of Code Section 10240 14 and Regulation 2842; 15 (d) Failed to use a neutral third party escrow to handle multi-lender construction 16 and rehabilitation loans in violation of Code Section 10238(h)(4)(A); and 17 (e) As to SCHICK, failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of 18 PMEI's employees, to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law and the Regulations in 19 violation of Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725. 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 \_4\_ ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

ą		
1	8.	
2	The conduct of Respondents as described in Paragraph 7, above, violated the	
3	Code and the Regulations as set forth below:	
4	PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED	
5		
6	7(a) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831	
7		
8	7(b) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2	
9		
10	7(c) Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2842	
11		
12	7(d) Code Section 10238(h)(4)(A)	
13		
14	7(e) Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725	
15		
16	Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation	
17	of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Code Sections	;
18	10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h) (SCHICK).	
19	Audit of Broker-Controlled Escrow	
20	9.	
21	With respect to the licensed mortgage loan activities referred to in Paragraph 4,	
22	and the audit examination including the exhibits and work papers referenced in Paragraph 5, it is	
23	alleged that Respondent PMEI:	
24	(a) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record of the receipt and	
25	disbursement of trust funds handled through TA 2 in violation of Code Section 10145 and	
26	Regulations 2831, 2950(d), and 2951;	
27	(b) Failed to disclose to borrowers in writing that SCHICK had an interest as an	
	– 5 – ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al	

•

1	officer and owner of the escrow holder in violation of Regulation 2950(h);	
2	(c) Failed to inclu	ide the words, "a non-independent broker escrow" on
3	transaction and bank documents	used in the broker escrow activity in violation of Code Section
4	10159.5 and Regulation 2731;	
5	(d) Failed to subn	nit the escrow activity report to the DRE within 60 days of the
6	end of calendar year 2016 in violation of Code Section 10141.6; and	
7	(e) Commingled broker funds with trust funds by leaving \$444.07 of SCHICK's	
8	funds and \$244.07 of PMEI's funds in trust account in violation of Code Sections 10145 and	
9	10176(e) and Regulations 2835 a	nd 2951.
10		10.
11	The conduct of Respondent PLI and RODRIGUEZ as described in Paragraph 9,	
12	above, violated the Code and the	Regulations as set forth below:
13	PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
14		
15	9(a)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d), and
16		2951
17		
18	9(b)	Regulation 2950(h)
19		
20	9(c)	Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731
21		
22	9(d)	Code Section 10141.6
23	<b>6</b> ( )	
24 25	9(e)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulations 2835
26	111	and 2951
27		
-		- 6
	AC	CCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

1	Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation
2	of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents PMEI and SCHICK under the
3	provisions of Code Sections 10176(e), 10177(d), and/or 10177(g).
4	11.
5	Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that the Real Estate
6	Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit if the Commissioner has
7	found in a final decision, following a disciplinary hearing, that the broker has violated Code
8	Section 10145 or a Regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said Code section.
9	12.
10	California Business and Professions Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent
11	part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department,
12	the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have
13	committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of
14	investigation and enforcement of the case.
15	///
16	///
17	///
18	///
19	
20	
21	///
22	///
23	
24	
25	///
26	///
27	
	-7-
	ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

· · · .

-

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
2	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
3	action against the licenses and license rights of Respondents PACIFIC MORTGAGE
4	EXCHANGE, INC. and GREGORY GAIL SCHICK under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of
5	investigation and enforcement as provided by law, for costs of the audit, and for such other and
6	further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.
7	Dated at San Diego, California: AV9154 7, 2018.
8	
9	
10	V KIO patrice
11	Veronica Kilpatrick
12	Supervising Special Investigator
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	cc: Pacific Mortgage Exchange, Inc. Gregory Gail Schick
25	Veronica Kilpatrick
26	Sacto Audits – Anna Hartoonian
27	
	-8-
	ACCUSATION AGAINST PACIFIC MORTGAGE EXCHANGE, INC., et.al.

с. х.**т.,** , . т. ж.