FILED

Amelia V. Vetrone, SBN 134612 Bureau of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Shore Capital Corporation,

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By COLOMB

Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

Direct:

(213) 576-6940

6

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SHORE CAPITAL CORPORATION;
and ANDRE P. LUJAN, individually
and as Designated Officer of

No. H-41098 LA

ACCUSATION

Respondents.

The Complainant, Chika Sunquist, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, acting in her official capacity, for cause of Accusation against SHORE CAPITAL CORPORATION, and ANDRE P. LUJAN, individually and as Designated Officer of Shore

Capital Corporation (collectively, "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code, all references to the "Real Estate Law" are to Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

2.

Respondent SHORE CAPITAL CORPORATION ("SCC") is presently licensed or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a corporate real estate broker. Respondent SCC was originally licensed as a corporate real estate broker by the Bureau of Real Estate

_ 1 _.

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27 ("Bureau") on or about December 9, 2005, with real estate broker Dennis Anthony Morris as its Designated Officer. Beginning on January 19, 2007, and continuing to the present, Respondent ANDRE P. LUJAN has been the Designated Officer of SCC. Respondent SCC's corporate real estate broker license is due to expire on December 8, 2021. On December 10, 2010, Respondent SCC was issued a Mortgage Loan Originator ("MLO") license endorsement, National Mortgage Licensing System and Registry ("NMLS") No. 275963.

3.

Respondent ANDRE P. LUJAN ("LUJAN") is licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker. Respondent was originally licensed as a real estate salesperson on January 15, 2005, and as a real estate broker on January 12, 2007. Respondent's real estate broker license is due to expire on January 11, 2019. Respondent LUJAN holds a MLO license endorsement, NMLS No. 234519.

4.

At all times herein relevant, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d), including soliciting borrowers or lenders for and/or negotiating loans, collecting payments and/or performing services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured by liens on real property.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(LICENSE DISCIPLINE AGAINST SCC)

5.

On or about July 21, 2017, the State of Ohio, Department of Commerce, Division of Financial Institutions Consumer Finance issued its Order refusing to renew the MLO endorsement for Respondent SCC in the State of Ohio, and imposing a \$1,000.00 fine, based on Respondent SCC's failure to comply with the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. Respondent SCC's acts resulting in the refusal to renew the Ohio MLO endorsement are acts that would be grounds for discipline of a California real estate broker license.

.

The acts resulting in the foregoing action taken with respect to Respondent SCC's Ohio MLO license endorsement, as described above, constitute cause under Code Section 10177(f) for the suspension or revocation of the license and license rights of Respondent SCC under the Real Estate Law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(FAILURE TO DISCLOSE LICENSE DISCIPLINE)

7.

On November 20, 2017, Respondent LUJAN accessed the NLMS registry to renew the MLO endorsement for Respondent SCC. The online renewal application contains an Attestation Oath, to wit: "I, Andre Lujan of Shore Capital Corporation and duly appointed and authorized by the same, swear (or affirm) on 11/20/2017 that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information contained in the Licensee/Registrant's online record...is true, accurate and complete." The online renewal application further requires, "The Licensee/Registrant has updated the documents on file with the jurisdiction(s) to disclose any new event or proceeding requiring an affirmative answer to any Disclosure Questions which has occurred since the Licensee/Registrant submitted its license/registration application or renewal application to the applicable jurisdiction(s)."

8.

In response to Disclosure Questions, Regulatory Action, Question (C) of the Respondent SCC's MLO license endorsement application, to wit: "In the past 10 years, has any State or federal regulatory agency or foreign financial regulatory authority or self-regulatory organization (SRO) ever: (5) denied, suspended, or revoked the entity's or a control affiliate's registration or license or otherwise, by order, prevented it from associating with a financial services-related business or restricted its activities?", Respondents responded "No".

Respondents made no changes in the online license application to reflect the Ohio MLO license endorsement discipline described in paragraph 5, above.

1.9

Respondents' failure to reveal the Ohio MLO license endorsement discipline set forth herein in Paragraph 5 in SCC's California MLO license endorsement renewal application constitutes an attempt to procure a real estate license by fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit, or by making a material misstatement of fact, or by knowingly omitting to state a material fact in said application, and constitutes cause under Code Sections 10166.051(b), and 10177(a) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(FAILURE TO FILE BUSINESS ACTIVITY REPORTS)

10.

Pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10166.07 Respondent SCC is required to file a Mortgage Loan Business Activity Report ("Business Activity Report") with the Bureau each year. The annual Business Activity Report is due ninety (90) days from the end of the corporation's fiscal year. As of February 14, 2018, Respondent SCC had not filed the Business Activity Reports for the years 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.

11.

The conduct of Respondent SCC, as alleged above, is grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license, MLO license endorsement, and all license rights pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10166.051(a), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (FAILURE OF LUJAN TO SUPERVISE)

12.

Based on the conduct alleged in paragraphs 5, 8, and 10, above, Respondent LUJAN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of SCC to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law in violation of Code Sections 10159.2, 10177(g), 10177(h) and Regulation 2725.

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

25 ///

26

27

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondent LUJAN, as alleged above, are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and all license rights of Respondent LUJAN pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g), and/or 10177(h).

PRIOR BUREAU ACTION

14.

On February 24, 2011, in Case No. H-37083 LA, an Accusation was filed against Respondents that resulted public reproval of SCC's corporate real estate broker license for violations of Code Section 10177(d), and Regulation 2715, and public reproval of LUJAN'S real estate broker license for violations of Code Section 10159.2, and 10177(h).

15.

Code Section 10106 provides in pertinent part that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

/// ///

///

19 ///

20 ///

/// 22 ///

///

///