ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 Bureau of Real Estate 2 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 3 Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) 4 (213) 576-6982 (office) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 MAXIMILLION JOHN PELAYO, 13 doing business as co-registered fictitious business names of: 14 Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and 15 Direct Loan Counseling; and 16 WLPIANO MIRANDA, doing business as 17 unregistered fictitious business name of: Direct Loan Counseling, 18 19 Respondents. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# FILED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. H- 39773 LA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the

State of California, acting in her official capacity, for cause of Accusation against

<sup>1</sup> Effective July 1, 2013, the California Department of Real Estate became the Department of Consumer Affairs, Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau"). References in this Accusation are to the successor entity.

> Accusation of Maxmillion John Pelayo and Wlpiano Miranda Page 1 of 13

MAXIMILLION JOHN PELAYO doing business as unregistered fictitious business names of Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and Direct Loan Counseling, and WLPIANO MIRANDA, also doing business as unregistered fictitious business name of Direct Loan Counseling, is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise specifically stated.

2.

A. At all times mentioned, MAXIMILLION JOHN PELAYO ("PELAYO") was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") as a real estate broker. On January 22, 1997, PELAYO was originally licensed as a real estate broker.

B. At all times mentioned, WLPIANO MIRANDA aka Willy Miranda ("MIRANDA") was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") as a real estate broker. On September 24, 1991, MIRANDA was originally licensed as a real estate broker, and previously as a real estate salesperson.

3.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of "Respondents" such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondents committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondents and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment, including revoked salesperson David Kashani aka David Anderson, and aka David Mooshighi Kashani, and unlicensed persons Irene E. Smith, Charles A. Smith, Jason Henry, Alan Rose, and other persons known or unknown persons operating under fictitious names.

///

A. At all times mentioned, in the City of Perris, County of Riverside, Respondent PELAYO acted as a real estate broker and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2. Through the unlicensed fictitious business names of Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and Direct Loan Counseling, Respondent advertised, solicited and offered to provide loss mitigation and loan modification services to economically distressed homeowners seeking adjustments to the terms and conditions of their home loans.

B. At all times mentioned, in the Counties San Diego, Orange and Los Angeles, Respondent WLPIANO acted as a real estate broker and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d) and 10131.2. Through the unlicensed fictitious business name Direct Loan Counseling, Respondent advertised, solicited and offered to provide loss mitigation and loan modification services to economically distressed homeowners seeking adjustments to the terms and conditions of their home loans.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION Maxmillion John Pelayo (Audit)

5.

On April 30, 2013, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the books and records of PELAYO, pertaining to loan modification and advance fee activities and brokerage described in Paragraph 4, which require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 2012 and ending on January 31, 2013. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth below, and more fully discussed in Audit Report SD 120024 and the exhibits and work papers attached.

3 4

5

7

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2.5

26

27

At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 4A, above, PELAYO accepted or received funds including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties to transactions handled by PELAYO including loan modification applicants. PELAYO was not aware of any bank accounts and did not provide any information with respect to bank accounts into which trust funds including advanced fees were deposited.

7.

With respect to the licensed activities referred to in Paragraphs 4A, and the audit examination including the exhibits and work papers referenced in Paragraph 5, it is alleged that Respondent PELAYO:

- (a) Purported to provide loan modification services and collected advance fees totaling \$4,250.00 in May and June 2012 from Samantha B. for her real property located at 15399 Road 29 1/2, Madea, CA 93636, in violation of Code Section 10085.6 referencing Civil Code Section 2944.7 (Loan Modification Services – Prohibition of Advance Fees);
- (b) Conducted loan modification activities at 11312 Santa Monica Blvd. #3, Los Angeles, CA 90025, prior to obtaining a branch office license from the Bureau, in violation of Code Section 10163 and Regulation 2715;
- (c) Used the fictitious name of "Direct Home Counseling" to conduct licensed activities, without first obtaining from the Bureau a license bearing said fictitious business name, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731;
- (d) Failed to retain copies of loan modification brokerage documentation, and retain bank account records and bank statements in relation to advance fee trust fund handling, in violation of Code Section 10148; and

(e) Failed to exercise reasonable control and supervision over the activities of his 1 branch office and conducted by his employees and/or licensees as necessary to secure full 2 compliance with the Real Estate laws, with respect to his brokerage activity, as required by and 3 4 pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, in violation of Code Section 10177(h); 5 8. 6 The conduct of Respondent PELAYO described in Paragraph 7, violated the Code 7 and the Regulations as set forth: 8 9 PROVISIONS VIOLATED **PARAGRAPH** 10 Code Section 10085.6, referencing Civil Code Section 2944.7 7(a) 11 7(b) Code Section 10163 and Regulation 2715 12 7(c) Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731 13 7(d) Code Section 10148 14 Code Section 10177(h) and Regulation 2725 7(e) 15 Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate license and 16 license rights of Respondent PELAYO under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 17 10177(g) and 10177(h). 18 19 20 21 22 [This section intentionally left blank] 23

24

25

26

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

<u>Maximillion John Pelayo</u> (Loan Modification Fraud Scheme)

9.

A Bureau of Real Estate investigation in conjunction with the audit violations set forth above in Paragraph 7, revealed that at all times mentioned herein, Respondent PELAYO engaged in the business of a loan modification and an advance fee brokerage requiring a real estate license to operate, within the definition of Code Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2, as set forth in Paragraph 4A, above.

10.

During the investigation period and continuing thereafter beginning on or about January 1, 2013 through August 2013, Respondent PELAYO, dba Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and Direct Loan Counseling, interchangeable entities with common ownership and management, employed unlicensed salespersons who: solicited economically distressed homeowners facing foreclosure and eviction from their homes; offered and negotiated loss mitigation and loan modification services, and foreclosure rescue; and charged, claimed and collected advance fees for loan modification services not rendered, for fees not refunded, and for loan modifications not obtained.

11.

Working with revoked salesperson David Kashani aka David Anderson, unlicensed Charles A. Smith, unlicensed Irene E. Smith, and Jason Henry, Respondent PELAYO solicited and offered loss mitigation, loan modification, loan refinancing and foreclosure rescue services to homeowner-applicants. Respondent PELAYO collected advanced fees from said homeowner-applicants after the proscriptive date of October 10, 2009, pursuant to Code Section 10085.5. Thereafter, Respondent PELAYO failed to provide said services to the applicant-borrowers below. To date none of the below tabled homeowners have received a refund or restitution of their advanced fees paid.

Table DMC: Advance Fees Loan Modification/Fraud Scheme: Direct Mortgage Counseling

			Loan
		Advance Fee	Modification
Complainant	Agent	Paid to DMC	Status
	Charles		
	Smith/David	\$3,480	Not obtained;
Michael D.	Anderson	May/June 2012	no refund
	Charles		
	Smith/David	\$4,250	Not obtained;
Samantha B.	Anderson	May/June 2012	no refund
	Charles		
	Smith/David	\$3,500	Not obtained;
Anthony S.	Anderson	May/August 2012	no refund
	Charles		
	Smith/David	Solicitation	
John P.	Anderson	March 2013	
Total		\$ 11,230	

## Table DHC: Advance Fees Loan Modification/Fraud Scheme: Direct Home Counseling

		Advance Fee	Loan Modification
Complainant	Agent	Paid to DHC	Status
	Charles		
	Smith/David	\$2,000	Not obtained;
Helen H.	Anderson	November/December 2012	no refund
	Charles		
,	Smith/David	\$3,000	Not obtained;
Boyd P.	Anderson	January 3, 2013	no refund
	Charles		+
	Smith/David	Solicitation	Not obtained;
Janet S.	Anderson	February 2013	no refund .
	Charles		
	Smith/David	\$3,500	
Marie N.	Anderson	January 2013	
	Charles/Irene		
	Smith/David		False
	Anderson, Jason	\$3,000	Representatio
Susie S.	Henry	November 11, 2012	n
	Charles/Irene		
	Smith/David		
	Anderson, Jason	\$3,500	
Cassandra P.	Henry	April 24, 2012	
Total		\$ 15,000	

1.1

#### Violations and Disciplinary Statutes

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

-

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12.

The conduct of Respondent PELAYO violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below in the following paragraphs.

9-11 (a) Code Section 10176(a) for substantial misrepresentation of loan modification services contracted for but not provided or advance fees refunded; or for solicitation sought by fraudulent scheming including but not limited to representing Direct Mortgage Counseling, and referring to Direct Mortgage Counseling, as a "law firm."

9-11 (b) Code Section 10176(i) for fraud and dishonest dealing for influencing, persuading or inducing the tabled homeowner-applicant(s) to pay advance fees for loan modification services contracted for but not provided.

- 9-11 (c) Code Section 10085.5 and 10085.6.
- 9-11 (d) Code Section 10177(d) for violation of the Real Estate Law.
- 9-11 (e) Code Section 10177(g) for negligence.
- 9-11 (f) Code Section 10176(i) and 10177(g) for breach of fiduciary duty.
- 9-11 (g) Code Section 10176(i) and 10177(g) for fraud and dishonest dealing.
- 9-11 ((h) Code Section 10137 for unlawful employment/compensation.
- 9-11 (i) Code Section 10176(i) for conversion of trust funds
- 9-11 (j) Code Section 10177(h) for failure to supervise.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

Wlpiano Miranda (Loan Modification Fraud Scheme)

13.

A Bureau of Real Estate investigation revealed that at all times mentioned herein, Respondent WLPIANO MIRANDA ("MIRANDA") engaged in the business of a loan modification and an advance fee brokerage requiring a real estate license to operate, within the definition of Code Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2, as set forth in Paragraph 4B, above.

TU

7 || *|* 

During the investigation period and continuing thereafter beginning on or about June 6, 2013 to date, Respondent MIRANDA, has used the fictitious business name of Direct Loan Counseling, as co-registrant with Irene E. Smith. Direct Loan Counseling is owned, operated and managed by Irene E. Smith and Charles Smith aka "Andy" Smith, her son, both unlicensed persons. Revoked and unlicensed salesperson David Kashani aka David Anderson, and unlicensed loan agents Jason Henry and Alan Rose were also agents for Direct Loan Counseling. The foregoing unlicensed persons solicited economically distressed homeowners facing foreclosure and eviction from their homes, offered and negotiated loss mitigation and loan modification services, and foreclosure rescue, and charged, claimed and collected advance fees for loan modification services not rendered, for fees not refunded, and for said loan modifications not obtained.

15.

On June 6, 2013, MIRANDA entered into a Broker Agreement Contract with Direct Loan Counseling, an unlicensed entity, with a fictitious business name co-registered with Irene E. Smith, to provide supervision for loan modifications conducted by Direct Loan Counseling. Said agreement was signed by owner Irene E. Smith.

16.

Respondent MIRANDA, contracted to supervise the loan modification of Direct Loan Counseling. Direct Loan Counseling's aforesaid unlicensed and revoked salespersons also solicited homeowners-borrower-applicants and collected advanced fees after the proscriptive date of October 10, 2009, pursuant to Code Section 10085.5. Thereafter, Respondent MIRANDA failed to supervise said unlicensed persons of Direct Loan Counseling. To date none of the homeowners tabled below have received a refund or restitution of their monies paid.

į	2	
	_	

Complainant	Agent	Advance Fee Paid to DMC	Loan Modification Status
William C.	Charles Smith/David Anderson	\$3,000 June 2013	Not obtained; no refund
Robert O.	Charles Smith/David Anderson	Solicitation July 2013	Not obtained;
James T. P.	Alan Rose	\$3,600 February/March 2014	Fraud Alert Withdrawal No Refund
Total		\$ 6,600	

#### Violations and Disciplinary Statutes

17.

The conduct of Respondent MIRANDA violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below in the following paragraphs.

- 13-16 (a) Code Section 10085.5 and 10085.6.
- 13-16 (b) Code Section 10177(d) for violation of the Real Estate Law.
- 13-16 (c) Code Section 10177(g) for negligence.
- 13-16 (d) Code Section 10176(i) and 10177(g) for breach of fiduciary duty.
- 13-16 (e) Code Section 10176(i) and 10177(g) for fraud and dishonest dealing.
- 13-16 (f) Code Section 10137 for unlawful employment/compensation.
- 13-16 (g) Code Section 10177(h) for failure to supervise.

[This section intentionally left blank]

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Negligence/Incompetence)

18.

The overall conduct of Respondents PELAYO and MIRANDA constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondent pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

19.

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents PELAYO and MIRANDA constitutes a breach of fiduciary duty, owed to the loan modification borrower-applicants of Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and Direct Loan Counseling of good faith, trust, confidence and candor, within the scope of brokerage relationship, in violation of Code Section 10177(g) and constitutes cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of said section.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Failure to Supervise)

20.

The overall conduct of Respondents PELAYO and MIRANDA constitutes a failure to exercise supervision and control over the licensed activities of Respondents' respective brokerages: Direct Mortgage Counseling, Direct Home Counseling, and Direct Loan Counseling. Nor did either Respondent maintain a system in place for regularly monitoring his compliance with the Real Estate Law especially in regard to establishing policies to reviewing trust fund handling for advance fees, including but not limited to ascertaining the license status of the owners and salesperson of the aforesaid entities, in violation of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).

3<sub>.</sub>

. 

cc:

Veronica Kilpatrick Zaky Wanis Sacto

Wlpiano Miranda

Maximillion John Pelayo

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents MAXIMILLION JOHN PELAYO and WLPIANO MIRANDA, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law including restitution in minimum amount of \$26,230.00 (Pelayo) and \$6,600.00 (Miranda) and for costs of investigation and enforcement pursuant to Code Section 10106, pursuant to Government Code Section 11519(d).

Dated San Diego, California this b day of March, 2015

VERONIEA KILPATRICK

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner