2	Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel (SBN 66674) Bureau of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350	FILED		
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105	SEP - 3 2014		
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE		
5	Direct: (213) 576-6911 Facsimile: (213) 576-6917	Ву		
6				
7				
8	BEFORE THE BUR	EAU <sup>1</sup> OF REAL ESTATE		
9				
LO	STATE OF CALIFORNIA  * * * * *			
L1				
L2	In the Matter of the Accusation of	)		
1.3	CAFFERY FINANCIAL INC.; and	No. H- 39588 LA		
14	JOSEPH GEORGE CAFFERY,	) <u>ACCUSATION</u>		
	individually and as designated officer of Caffery Financial Inc.,			
L5	Carrery Philanetal Inc.,	) )		
L6	Respondents.	· ·		
.7				
.8	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of			
.9	the State of California, Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") for cause of Accusation against			
20	CAFFERY FINANCIAL INC. and JOSEPH GEORGE CAFFERY individually and as			
21	designated officer of Caffery Financial Inc. (collectively "Respondents,") is informed and			
22	alleges as follows:			
3				
4.				
5				
	Effective July 1, 2013, the California Department of	Real Estate became the Department of Consumer Affairs,		
6	Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau"). References in this A	Accusation are to the successor entity.		

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to Part I of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

### Individuals and Entities

3.a

From May 7, 2008, through the present, Respondent CAFFERY FINANCIAL INC. ("CFI") has been licensed as a corporate real estate broker. At all times relevant herein, CFI was acting by and through Respondent JOSEPH GEORGE CAFFERY as its designated officer pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 10159.2 to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.

3.b

Respondent JOSEPH GEORGE CAFFERY ("CAFFERY") was originally licensed as a real estate salesperson on February 8, 1985, and a real estate broker on April 16, 1990, and has been the designated officer of CFI since its inception.

## Table: CFI Management Structure and Ownership

Name	Licensee	Ownership/Title
Joseph George Caffery	Broker	Designated Officer; 50% owner
Kim Marie Caffery	Unlicensed	50% owner

4.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of "Respondents" such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,

employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondents committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondents and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment, including CAFFERY and Kim Marie Caffery.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Mortgage Loan Brokerage Audit)

5.

Code Section 10131(d). Respondents engaged in a mortgage loan brokerage activity including conducting activities with the public wherein institutional and private lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, consummated, packaged and serviced on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected in advance.

### **Audit Examination**

6.

On March 6, 2014, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent CFI pertaining to the mortgage loan activities described in Paragraph 5, which require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2013. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and Regulations as alleged in the following paragraphs and more fully set forth in the Bureau's Audit Report SD 130017 and the exhibits and work papers attached thereto.

### 1 2 **Trust Account** 3 7. 4 At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 5 6, above, CFI accepted or received funds including funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on 6 behalf of borrowers and lenders handled by CFI and thereafter made deposits and disbursements 7 of such funds. From time to time herein mentioned, during the audit period and thereafter, said 8 trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by CFI in the bank accounts described below: 9 10 Bank Account (B/A 1) 11 Bank: Pacific Western Bank 601 E. Tahquitz CanyonWay 12 Palm Springs, CA 92262 13 Account Name: Caffery Financial Trust, Joe G. Caffery, Agent, Trustee 14 Account Number: xxxx-xxxx-0941 15 Signatory: Joe G. Caffery 16 Signatory: Kim Marie Caffery 17 (Mortgage Loan Brokerage handling of receipts and disbursements of trust funds) 18 19 Bank Account (B/A 2) 20 Bank: JP Morgan Chase Bank 36101 Bob Hope Dr. Suite G-1 21 Rancho Mirage, CA 92270 22 Account Name: Caffery Financial Inc. Agent, Trustee 23 Account Number: xxxx-xxxx-5160

4

Signatory: Joseph G. Caffery (REB/D.O.)

(General Business account)

24

25

### Violations of the Real Estate Law

8.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 5 and 7 above, and during the audit examination period, described in Paragraph 6, Respondents CFI and CAFFERY acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in which Respondents:

- (a) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of trust funds from B/A 1 bank account where the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in B/A 1, to an amount which, on September 30, 2013, was \$180.93 less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability to every principal who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owner of said funds, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10177(g) and Regulation 2832.1;
- (b) Failed to maintain an adequate, accurate and complete control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, deposited and disbursed in B/A 1, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831;
- (c) Failed to maintain an adequate, accurate and complete separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all trust funds received, deposited and disbursed for B/A 1, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1;
- (d) Failed to perform an accurate and complete monthly reconciliation of the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all trust funds received and disbursed by B/A 1, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2;
- (e) Failed to place trust funds, accepted on behalf of another, into the hands of the owner of the funds, a neutral escrow depository or into a trust fund account in the name of the

trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832(a). B/A 1 was used for trust fund handling but not designated as a trust account;

- (f) Permitted Kim Marie Caffery, CAFFERY's wife and employee, an unlicensed and unbonded person, to be an authorized signatory on B/A 1 into which trust funds were deposited, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834;
- (g) Mixed and commingled trust funds and personal funds by depositing trust funds into CFI's general business account, B/A 2, and also by depositing monies CFI and CAFFERY borrowed into B/A 1, in violation of Code Section 10176(e) and Regulation 2832. CFI deposited \$50,000 trust funds received from Patricia L. to fund a loan for the property located at 1330 E. Palm Canyon Drive, Palm Springs;
- (h) Received undisclosed compensation in the form of an earning credit agreement with Pacific Western Bank whereby CFI through B/A 1 received defrayal through the instrumentality of bank charges offsets on the basis of the amount of deposits of trust funds, which were not disclosed to the owners of said funds, in violation of Code Section 10176(g);
- (i) Met the criteria as a threshold broker, as described in Code Section 10232(a)(1)(A). CFI negotiated more than ten (10) loans within twelve months in aggregate amount of more than one million dollars. CFI negotiated twelve (12) loans in aggregate amount of \$1,216,000, between January 2011 and December 2011, in violation of Code Section 10232(e);
- (j) Failed to submit a notice to the Bureau within thirty (30) days of CFI's first multi-lender loan secured directly by an interest in the same real property on February 4, 2011 for the Harlin B. loan. CFI made or arranged its first multi-lender loan transaction hereof yet failed to notify the Bureau thereof, in violation of Code Section 10238(a);

(k) Failed to provide the Bureau with the Annual Report of a Review of Trust
Fund Financial Status (Independent Audit Report), in violation of Code Section 10232.25 and
Regulation 2846.8. Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports were not submitted to the Bureau
within 90 days after the end of CFI's fiscal year, to wit, December 31, 2011;

- (l) CFI failed to file the Mortgage Loan/Trust Deed Annual Report (<u>Business</u> <u>Activity Report</u>) for the year ended 2011 within 30 days after the end of CFI's fiscal year end, to wit, December 31, 2011, in violation of Code Section 10232.2(c) and Regulation 2849.01 (Annual Report Format);
- (m) Failed to provide the Bureau with Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports, in violation of Code Section 10232.25 and Regulation 2846.8. Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports were not submitted to the Bureau for quarters:
  - June 30, 2011
  - September 30, 2009
  - March 31, 2011
- (f) Failed to provide the Bureau with Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports, in violation of Code Section 10232.25 and Regulation 2846.8. Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports were not submitted to the Bureau for quarters:
  - March 31, 2010
  - June 30, 2010
  - September 30, 2010
- (n) Failed to provide or deliver a statement in writing, a Lender/Purchaser

  Disclosure Statement, containing all the information required by Code Section 10232.5 to

  prospective lenders J&K Garcia, Patricia L., Gary T., before said lenders became obligated to

  make the loan and before the receipt by or on behalf of the broker of any funds from said lenders

for these multi-lender loans, in violation of Code Sections 10232.4, 10232.5 and 10238(l) and Regulation 2846;

- (o) Failed to provide a complete loan application to borrowers with respect to the loans by lenders J&K Garcia, Patricia L., Gary T., in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2842.5. The original written loan applications provided to said borrowers were neither signed nor dated;
- (p) Failed to deliver a written copy of CFI's <u>appraisal</u> of each parcel of real property securing the notes or interest to each of the lenders/investors, including but not limited to J&K Garcia, Patricia L., Gary T., in violation of Code Sections 10232.6 and 10238(h)(3);
- (q) Failed to retain a statement (<u>Percentage of Investment Statement</u>) whereby the prospective lenders/investors indicated whether or not the investment/loan in the multi-lender loans exceeded ten (10%) of the lenders/investors net worth or 10% of the lenders/investors adjusted gross income<sup>2</sup> with respect to lenders CFI/Rose/Harrison/Noia, Therese C./Judy R., Pat L./Michael H., T.,J. K. Caffery/Garcia/Lamp and Caffery/Harrison/Rose/Tassel, in violation Code Section 10238(f);
- (r) CFI negotiated constructions and rehabilitation loans during the audit period using independent public escrow companies. Based on Settlement Statements provided, escrow (generally Liberty Escrow Inc.) did not disburse funds according to the percentage of completion of the draw schedule to PHI (builder/developer). Instead, escrow disbursed total loan proceeds to CFI at the close of escrow. CFI received loan proceeds from escrow and deposited into B/A 1. Then CFI would disburse funds to PHI for construction of the properties. CFI failed to meet the safeguards pursuant to the requirement of Code Section 10238(h) for lenders CFI/Rose/Harrison/Noia, Therese C./Judy R., Pat L./Michael H., T.,J. K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Internal Revenue Code section 62.

Caffery/Garcia/Lamp and Caffery/Harrison/Rose/Tassel, as required by the following safeguards, and in violation of Code Section 10238(h)(4)(D),(E),(F) thereof:

- 1) The disbursement draws from the escrow were not based on verification from an independent qualified person, who certifies that the work was completed;
- 2) No appraisal was completed by a qualified and licensed appraiser; and
- (3) No detailed description of actions that may be taken in the event of a failure to complete the project.
- (s) CAFFERY failed, as the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to be responsible for the supervision and control over the activities conducted on behalf of CFI corporation by its officers and employees as necessary, to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law including the supervision of the salespersons licensed to the corporation and/or employee(s), as required by and in violation of Code Section 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725.

9.

The conduct of Respondents CFI, CAFFERY, as the case may be, described in Paragraph 8, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
8(a)	Code Sections 10145 and 10177(g) and Regulation 2832.1 (conversion)
8(b)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831
8(c)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1
8(d)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2
8(e)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832(a)

	j	
1	8(f)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834
2	8(g)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e)
3	8(h)	Code Section 10176(g)
4	8(i)	Code Section 10232(e)
5	8(j)	Code Section 10232(a)
6   7	8(k)	Code Section 10232.2(a) and Regulation 2846.5
8	8(1)	Code Section 10232.2(c) and Regulation 2849.01
9	8(m)	Code Section 10232.25 and Regulation 2846.8
10	8(n)	Code Sections 10232.4, 10232.5 and 10238(l) and Regulation 2846
11	8(o)	Regulation 2842.5
12	8(p)	Code Sections 10232.6 and 10238(h)(3)
13	8(q)	Code Section 10238(f)
14	8(r)	Code Section 10238(h)(4)(D)((E), (F)
15	8(s)	Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725
16		
17	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate	
18	licenses and license rights of Respondents CFI and CAFFERY under the provisions of Code	
19	Section 10145, 10176(e), 10176(g), 10177(d), and 10177(g).	
20		SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
		(Negligence)

# (Negligence)

10.

The overall conduct of Respondents CFI and CAFFERY constitutes negligence and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).

21

22

23

24

25

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

11.

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents CFI and CAFFERY constitute a breach of fiduciary duty owed to Respondents' clientele and trust fund beneficiaries of good faith, trust, confidence and candor, within the scope of their brokerage relationship, in violation of Code Section 10177(g) and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of said Code Sections. In particular, Respondents by not designating B/A 1 as a trust account unnecessarily exposed the trust funds contained therein and exposed each and every borrower-beneficiary of said trust funds to suit, attachment, levy and seizure by:

- (1) the general creditors of each trust fund beneficiary;
- (2) the federal and state taxing authorities, including but not limited to the Internal Revenue Service, the California Franchise Tax Board, and the California State Board of Equalization;
- (3) the United States Bankruptcy Court; and
- (4) a lack of recourse and recompense to said beneficiaries for having an unlicensed and unbonded person as a signatory on B/A 1.

 $\| / \|_{\ell}$ 

25 /

26 /

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Supervision)

12.

The overall conduct of Respondent CAFFERY constitutes a failure on his part to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of his brokerage, in violation of Code Section 10177(h) and Regulation 2725. Respondent CAFFERY failed to keep CFI in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent CAFFERY, pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).

13.

### (Investigation and Enforcement Costs)

Code Section 10106 provides, in part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

14.

#### (Audit Costs)

Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part the Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the Commissioner has found in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said section.

1//

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents CAFFERY FINANCIAL INC. and JOSEPH GEORGE CAFFERY, individually and as designated officer of Caffery Financial Inc. under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law, including but not limited to the repatriation of trust fund shortage, restitution, costs of investigation, enforcement and audit.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 28 day of Algue

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Caffery Financial Inc. Joseph George Caffery Veronica Kilpatrick Zaky Wanis - Audits Sacto