Jado 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHERYL D. KEILY SBN# 94008 Bureau of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6905

FILED

APR 03 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By July

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-39394 LA

JEFFREY DOUGLAS KRUGER,) A C C U S A T I O N

)

Respondent.

in her official capacity.

16

17

18

19

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against JEFFREY DOUGLAS KRUGER ("Respondent") is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate

20

21

22

2324

_ ...

25

26 27 2.

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate salesperson.

- 1 -

Respondent has not at any time mentioned herein obtained a mortgage loan originator endorsement to his real estate salesperson license.

3.

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, and/or advertised a loan modification service offering to perform and performing loan modification and negotiation services with respect to residential mortgage loans which were secured by liens on real property for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

4.

From in or around April, 2011, to and including the present, Respondent published or caused to be published an advertisement offering to perform loan modification services with respect to loans secured by liens on real property for compensation. Respondent's advertisement represented that he had been providing loan modifications since 2007, and that he had established "inside connections with all the major lenders." The advertisement was posted to the internet at the following website address www.Craigslist.org.

5.

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent was employed by a licensed real estate broker. From October 23, 2008, through May 22, 2011, and from June 21, 2011, to January 21, 2012, Respondent was employed by Compass Real Estate ("Compass"), a licensed real estate corporation. From January 22, 2012, to the

present time Respondent was employed by Cobut Holding Inc.

("Cobut"), a licensed real estate corporation. Neither Compass
nor Cobut had prior or contemporaneous knowledge of the loan
modification activities engaged in by Respondent.

6.

Respondent's loan modification activities include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. On or about April 21, 2011, Reza A. entered into a written agreement with Respondent, doing business under the fictitious name "MLSCD, Inc." By the terms of the agreement Respondent agreed to perform loan modification services with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 11855 Goshen Avenue, Unit 201, Los Angeles, Ca 90049. On or about January 15, 2012, Reza A. gave Respondent the sum of \$2,000 in payment for the loan modification services Respondent provided to her.
- b. On or about May 31, 2012, Annie N. entered into a written agreement with Respondent, doing business under the fictitious name "MLSCD, Inc." By the terms of the agreement Respondent agreed to perform loan modification services with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 2812 Ridgeville Drive, Canyon Country, Ca 91387 in exchange for payment in the amount of \$3,000.
- c. On or about March 14, 2013, Special Investigator
 Liu made an undercover telephone call to Respondent at the phone
 number listed in the website advertisement described in

Paragraph 4, above. Liu identified herself to Respondent by the fictitious name "Lilan." Liu described herself to Respondent as an individual seeking to refinance the mortgage on her home which was \$250,000. Respondent inquired about Liu's financial circumstances, including the amount of the monthly mortgage payment and the amount of her salary. Liu advised Respondent that she needed to terminate the call, and gave him an e-mail address he could use to provide her with more information about his services. On March 16, 2013, Liu received an e-mail from Respondent in which Respondent provided a list of individuals for whom he had performed loan modifications. Respondent invited Liu to contact these individuals for a reference concerning his business.

d. On or about October 7, 2013, Special Investigator Pak ("Pak") of the Bureau made an undercover telephone call to Respondent at the phone number listed in the website advertisement described in Paragraph 4, above. Pak identified himself to Respondent as "Joe" who was seeking a loan modification with respect to a condominium he owned. During the conversation Respondent advised Pak that he could provide him with loan modification services in exchange for a retainer fee of \$1,500, and another fee of \$2,500 if Respondent obtained the loan modification.

24 1 / / /

25 | ///

26 | | / / /

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Unlicensed Real Estate Conduct - Code Section 10130)

7.

The activities described in Paragraph 6, above, require a real estate broker license under Section 10131(d) of the Code.

8.

Respondent performed and/or participated in loan modification, solicitation, and negotiation activities which require a real estate broker license when Respondent was not licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker nor employed as a real estate salesperson by the broker on whose behalf the activities were performed in violation of Section 10130 of the Code.

9.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent, as set forth, above, violates Code Section 10130, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
(Unlicensed Conduct re Mortgage Loan Activities - Code Section

10.

10166.02(b))

Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 9, above.

′

The activities described in Paragraph 6, above, require a mortgage loan originator endorsement to a real estate license under the provisions of Section 10166.01(b)(1) of the Code.

12.

Respondent performed and/or participated in mortgage loan modifications, negotiating the terms of residential mortgage loans for compensation, and soliciting to perform loan modification negotiations. Respondent conducted these activities without having a mortgage loan originator endorsement to his real estate license in violation of Code Section 10166.02(b).

13.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent, as set forth, above, violates Code Section 10166.02(b), and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent JEFFREY DOUGLAS KRUGER under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by Code Section 10106, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 3 day of Apri Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Jeffrey Douglas Kruger Cobut Holding Inc. Robin Trujillo Sacto.