	FILED
1	ELLIOTT MACLENNAN, SBN 66674
2	MAD = 5 2044
2	320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350
3	Br:
4	(213) 370-0982 (0110c)
5	(213) 576-6911 (telephone) (213) 576-6917 (facsimile)
6	
7	
8	
9	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12) LPF HOMES INC.; and) No. H- H-39353 LA
13	LPF HOMES INC.; and) No. H- H-39353 LA
14	ALFREDO JOSE SOUSA, individually and)
15	as designated officer of LPF Homes Inc.;) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
16	Respondents.
17	
18	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State
19	of California, for cause of Accusation against Respondents LPF HOMES INC. and ALFREDO
	JOSE SOUSA, individually and as designated officer of LPF Homes Inc., alleges as follows:
20	1.
21	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real
22	Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation.
23	2.
24	
25	All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code
26	and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.
27	
<u> </u>	
	- 1 -

License Status

1

2

3 A. LPF HOMES INC. ("LPFHI.") At all	A. LPF HOMES INC. ("LPFHI.") At all times mentioned, Respondent LPFHI					
4 was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau of	was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") as a corporate					
real estate broker. On April 2, 2008, LPFHI was originally licensed as a corporate real estate						
⁶ broker. Respondent LPFHI was authorized to act by and	broker. Respondent LPFHI was authorized to act by and through Respondent ALFREDO JOSE					
SOUSA as LPFHI's designated officer pursuant to Business and Professions Code (hereinafter						
⁸ Code) Sections 10159.2 and 10211 to be responsible for	Code) Sections 10159.2 and 10211 to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real					
9 Estate Law. LPFHI is owned by Rosa E. Pardo-Figueroa	Estate Law. LPFHI is owned by Rosa E. Pardo-Figueroa Ruiz who is LPFHI's president.					
10 B. ALFREDO JOSE SOUSA ("SOUSA"	B. ALFREDO JOSE SOUSA ("SOUSA"). At all times mentioned, Respondent					
11 SOUSA was licensed or had license rights issued by the	SOUSA was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau as a real estate broker. On					
¹² January 13, 1993, SOUSA was originally licensed as a re	January 13, 1993, SOUSA was originally licensed as a real estate broker. On February 27, 2009,					
13 SOUSA became the designated officer of LPFHI until its	SOUSA became the designated officer of LPFHI until its expiration on March 4, 2013.					
14 Table: Management Structure of	Table: Management Structure of LPF Homes Inc.					
	Танина					
16 Name Intre License	Tenure					
¹⁷ Fredrick David Wenzara Former D.O. Broker	02/09/10 to 04/11/12					
¹⁸ Alfredo Jose Sousa D.O. Broker	04/02/12 to date					
¹⁹ Rosa E. Pardo-Ruiz Owner Salespers	on N/A					
20						
21						
22 Brokerage	Brokerage					
23 4.						
At all times mentioned, in the City of Granada Hills, County of Los Angeles,						
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was licensed or had license rights issued by the Bureau or real estate broker. On April 2, 2008, LPFHI was original broker. Respondent LPFHI was authorized to act by and SOUSA as LPFHI's designated officer pursuant to Busin Code) Sections 10159.2 and 10211 to be responsible for Estate Law. LPFHI is owned by Rosa E. Pardo-Figueroa B. ALFREDO JOSE SOUSA ("SOUSA" SOUSA was licensed or had license rights issued by the January 13, 1993, SOUSA was originally licensed as a re SOUSA became the designated officer of LPFHI until its Table: Management Structure of Name Title License Fredrick David Wenzara Former D.O. Broker Alfredo Jose Sousa D.O. Broker Rosa E. Pardo-Ruiz Owner Salespers Brokerage 4.					

Respondents LPFHI and SOUSA acted as real estate brokers conducting licensed activities
within the meaning of:

- 2 -

27

3.

: /*	
•	
	A. Code Section 10131(a). Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the
1	capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers, including the solicitation for
2	
3	listings of and the negotiation of the sale of real property as the agent of others;
4	B. Code Section 10131(d). Respondents engaged in activities with the public
5	wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on
6 7	real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed and consummated on
8	behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected
9	in advance; and
10	C. Code Section 10131(d) and 10131.2. Respondents engaged in activities and
11	advertised, solicited and offered to provide loss mitigation and loan modification services to
12	economically distressed homeowners seeking adjustments to the terms and conditions of their
13	home loans including, but not limited to, repayment plans, forbearance plans, partial claims, and
15	reduction in principal or interest, extenuations, foreclosure prevention and short sales.
16	FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
17	(Audit) 5.
18	On April 9, 2013, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the books and
19	records of LPFHI limited to the real estate sales, mortgage loan brokerage, and advanced fee and
20	loan modification activities, as described in Paragraph 4, which require a real estate license. The
21	audit examination covered a period of time beginning on February 9, 2010 and ending on
22	December 31, 2012. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations
23	as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 120164
24	and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.
25	
26	///
27	
•	- 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

During the audit period LPFHI did not maintain a trust account.

Audit Violations

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 6, above, and during the audit examination period described in Paragraph 5, Respondents LPFHI and SOUSA acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in which Respondents:

7.

(a) <u>LPFHI and SOUSA</u>. On August 30, 2011, collected \$3,500 in trust funds in
 the form of advanced fees within the meaning of Code Section 10026 received from loan
 modification applicant Jose M. and deposited said sum into LPFHI's general operating account,
 BA2, in violation of Code Section 10145;

(b) <u>LPFHI and SOUSA</u>. Failed to maintain a control record in chronological
 order for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all received and disbursed
 during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831;

(c) <u>LPFHI</u>. Collected advance fees within the meaning of Code Section 10026
 from homeowners seeking loan modification services wherein LPFHI failed to provide loan
 modification applicants with a pre-approved advance fee agreement from the Bureau in the form
 of a no objection letter, in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970;

(d) <u>LPFHI</u>. With reference to the lack of an advance fee agreement, LPFHI failed
 to provide a complete description of services to be rendered to each applicant in 10 point type
 font and, a verified accounting which included an allocation and disbursement of the amount
 collected as the advance fee for each loan modification transaction, in violation of Code Section

6.

10146 and Regulation 2972.

1	10146 and Regulation 2972.	
2	(e) <u>LPFHI</u> . Claimed, charged and collected advance fees after October 10, 2009,	
3	the SAFE ACT Initiation Date, for negotiating, arranging and/or offering to broker mortgage	
4	loans and loan modifications and other forms of mortgage loan forbearance for fees paid by the	
5	applicant-borrower Jose Antonio M. after October 10, 2009. Respondents received and collected	
6	advance fees in the amount of \$1,777.77 on April 28, 2010 and \$3,500 on August 31, 2011,	
7	before LPFHI had fully performed each and every service for which LPFHI had contracted to	
9	perform or represented that would be performed, in violation of Code Sections 10085.6;	
10	(f) <u>LPFHI</u> . Mixed and commingled trust funds and personal funds by depositing	
11	advanced fees collected from loan modification applicants into LPFHI' general operating	
12	accounts, BA1 and BA2, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832;	
13	(g) <u>LPFHI and SOUSA</u> . Converted trust funds by depositing trust funds in the	
14 15	form of advance fees solicited from homeowner-borrowers for loan modification services into	
16	LPFHI's general operating account, BA 2, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(i)	
17	and/or 10177(j). LPFHI reduced the amount in LPFHI's general account BA2 to an amount	
18	\$3,500 less than the amount of the trust funds deposited by Jose M., constituting conversion;	
19	(h) LPFHI and SOUSA. Used the fictitious name of "LPF Homes" and "Lenny	
20	General Services" to conduct licensed activities including a loan modification and advanced fee	
21 22	brokerage, without first obtaining from the Bureau a license bearing said fictitious business	
22	name, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731; and	
24	(i) <u>SOUSA</u> . Failed to disclose SOUSA's real estate license identification number	
25	and Mortgage Loan Originator number(s) on the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System	
26	Registry identification numbers on his Residential Purchase Agreement(s), in violation of Code	
27	Registry identification numbers on his residential rulenase Agreement(s), in violation of code	
		1

- 5 -

	Section 10140.6(b)(1).			
1					
2	Discipiin	Discipline Statutes and Regulations			
3	-	8.			
4		he conduct of Respondents LPFHI and SOUSA, described in Paragraph 7,			
5	above, violated t	he Code and the Regulations as set forth below:			
6	Paragraph	Provisions Violated			
7	7(a)	Code Section 10145			
8	7(b)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831			
9	7(c)	Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970			
. 10	7(d)	Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972			
11	7(e)	Code Section 10085.6			
12	7(f)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832			
13	7(g)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(i)/10177(h)			
14	7(h)	Code Sections 10159.5 and Regulation 2731			
15	7(i)	Code Sections 10140.6(b)			
16	7(j) ·	Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725			
17					
18	The foregoing vi	plations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights			
19	of Respondents L	PFH and SOUSA, as the case may be, under the provisions of Code Sections			
20	10176(e), 10176(i), 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(j).			
21		SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION			
22		(Negligence)			
23		9.			
24	נ ו	he overall conduct of Respondents LPFHI and SOUSA constitutes negligence or			
25	incompetence as	nd is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said			
26	Respondents pu	rsuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).			
27	///				
		- 6 -			

. 1	
-	
1	THE CALLER OF A COLICATION
2	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fiduciary Duty)
3	10.
4	The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents LPFHI and SOUSA constitute a
5	breach of fiduciary duty owed the homeowners, borrowers and loan modification applicants of
6	good faith, trust, confidence and candor, within the scope of their business and brokerage
7	relationship, in violation of Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(g) and constitutes cause for
8	discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the
9	provisions of said Code Sections.
10	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Supervision and Compliance)
11	11.
12	The overall conduct of Respondent SOUSA constitutes a failure on said
13	Respondents' part, as officer and former designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise
14	reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of LPFHI as required by Code
15	Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and to keep LPFHI in compliance with the Real Estate
16	Law, with specific attentivity to trust fund handling for advance fees collected for loan
17	modification services, and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of
18	said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).
19	12.
20	Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
21 22	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may
22	
23	request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of
24	this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of
25	the case.
20_	///
41	
· · · · ·	- 7 -

Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part the Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the commissioner has found in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code Section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the commissioner interpreting said section.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing
disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents LPF HOMES INC. and
ALFREDO JOSE SOUSA, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and
Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable
provisions of law, including but not limited to, restitution, costs of investigation, enforcement,
and audit.

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

б

Dated at Los Angeles, California

16 2014. lay of 17 18

LPF Homes Inc.,

Maria Suarez

Sacto

c/o Alfredo Jose Sousa D.O.

Audits – Benilda Emery

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

24 25

cc:

26

27

- 8 -