1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) (213) 576-6982 (office) BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * *			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H- 38732 LA			
13	DARYL ANTHONY SMITH,) doing business as Daryl Smith) <u>ACCUSATION</u>			
14	Team, Smith & Associates, and) Smith & Associates Realty,)			
15	Respondent,			
17	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State			
18	of California acting in her official capacity, for cause of Accusation against DARYL ANTHONY			
19	SMITH doing business as Daryl Smith Team, Smith & Associates, and Smith & Associates			
20				
21	Realty, is informed and alleges as follows:			
22	1.			
23	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State			
24	of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.			
25	2.			
26				
27	All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code			
	- 1 -			
ľ				

1	and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.	
2	License Status	
3	3.	
4	At all times mentioned, DARYL ANTHONY SMITH (SMITH), was licensed or	-
5	had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate (Department) as a real estate broker.	ĺ
6	SMITH was originally licensed as a real estate salesperson on May 28, 2002, and as a real estate	
7	broker on October 15, 2008.	
9	Brokerage	
10	4.	
11	At all times mentioned, in the City of Victorville, County of San Bernardino,	
12	Respondent SMITH engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to	
13	act as a real estate broker, including the operation and conduct of a property management	
14	business within the meaning of Code Section 10131(b), with the public wherein, for or in	
15 16	expectation of compensation, for another or others, Respondent leased or rented or offered to	
17	lease or rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for rent, or solicited for prospective	
18	tenants, or collected rents from real property, or improvements thereon.	
19	Respondent SMITH managed forty-seven (47) residential properties for forty-five	
20		
21	(45) owners and collected \$25,000 monthly in trust funds through his property management	
22	brokerage, charging a management fee of six (6% to eight (8%) percent of the gross rentals	
23	collected.	:
24 25		
26		
27		
	- 2 -	
		-

1		FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION	
2		(Audit Examination)	
3		5.	
4	On May 29, 2	012 the Department completed an audit examination of the books	
6	and records of SMITH, perta	ining to the property management activities described in Paragraph	
7	3, that require a real estate lic	cense. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on	
8	November 1, 2008 and endin	g on October 31, 2011. The audit examination revealed violations	
9	of the Code and the Regulation	ons as set forth below, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA	
10	110055 and the exhibits and	work papers attached.	
11 12	Trust Accounts		
13		6.	
14	At all times m	entioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 4,	
15	above, Respondent SMITH accepted or received funds including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust		
16	funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties to transactions handled by SMITH.		
17		d tenants. SMITH maintained the following bank accounts:	
18	including property owners an	a contrast similar manufacture tono wing out a doounds.	
19 20			
21	1. <u>B/A 1</u>		
22	Account Name:	Daryl Anthony Smith dba Smith & Associates Realty	
23	Account No.:	******6986	
24	Bank Name:	JP Morgan Chase Bank	
25	Bank Address:	Amargosa Road	
26		Victorville,, CA 92392	
27			
		- 3 -	
1			

2. <u>B/A 2</u>

1

2 Account Name: Smith & Associates Realty Inc 3 Account No.: *******9074 4 Bank Name: JP Morgan Chase Bank 5 Bank Address: Amargosa Road 6 Victorville,, CA 92392 7 8 Violations of the Real Estate Law 9 7. 10 In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 6, above, and during the 11 audit examination period described in Paragraph 5, Respondent SMITH, acted in violation of the 12 Code and the Regulations in that Respondent SMITH: 13 (a)(1) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of trust funds from B/A = 11415 where the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in B/A 1, SMITH's 16 property management trust account for the collection of rental from properties under 17 management, to an amount which, on December 31, 2009, was \$8,326.42, less than the existing 18 aggregate trust fund liability to every principal who was an owner of said funds, without first 19 obtaining the prior written consent of the owners of said funds, in violation of Code Section 20 10145 and Regulation 2832.1. 21 22 (a)(2) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of trust funds from B/A 1 23 where the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in B/A 2, the successor-in-24 interest to B/A 1 after B/A 1's closure on December 7, 2010, to an amount which, on December 25 31, 2010, was \$15,395.13, less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability to every principal 26 27

4 -

1	who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owners				
2	of said funds, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1.;				
3	(b) Mixed and commingled trust funds and personal funds, in violation of Code				
4	Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832. Respondent SMITH collected trust funds in				
5	the form of property rentals in connection with properties under management and deposited said				
6	rents into Respondent's general accounts instead of B/A 1 and B/A 2;				
7	(c) Converted trust funds through the instrumentality of making unauthorized				
9	withdrawals of by disbursing trust funds from B/A 1 and B/A 2 for personal use, in violation of				
10	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(i)and/or 10177(j);				
11	(d)(1) Failed to place trust funds from borrowers into a trust account in the name				
12	of the broker as trustee at a bank or other financial institution in connection with Respondent's				
13	property management activities, in violation of Code Section 10145 of the Code and Regulation				
14	2832(a); and,				
15	20 <i>32(a)</i> , and,				
16	(d)(2) Failed to place trust funds in the forms of rents accepted on behalf of				
17	another into the hands of the owner of the funds, a neutral escrow depository or into a trust fund				
18	account in the name of the trustee at a bank or other financial institution not later than three				
19	business days following receipt of the funds by the broker or by the broker's salesperson, in				
20 21	violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832(d);				
22	(e) Failed to maintain an accurate control record in the form of a columnar record				
23	in chronological order of all trust funds including security deposits and rental receipts received,				
24	deposited and disbursed for all properties managed through B/A 1 and B/A 2, in violation of				
25	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831;				
26	///				
27					
	. 5				

1	(f) Fa	ailed to maintain an accurate separate record for each beneficiary or	
2	transaction, thereby	failing to account for all trust funds including security deposits and rental	
3	receipts received, de	eposited and disbursed for each property managed through B/A 1 and B/A 2,	
4	in violation of Code	Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1;	
5	(g) F	ailed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the balance of all separate	
б	beneficiary or transa	action records maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all	
7	trust funds received and disbursed by B/A 1 and B/A 2, in violation of Code Section 10145 and		
8	Regulation 2831.2;		
9 10		sed the fictitious names of " Daryl Smith Team", "Smith & Associates", and	
10			
12	"Smith & Associate	s Realty", to conduct licensed activities including a property management	
12	activities, without fi	rst obtaining from the Department a license bearing said fictitious business	
14	names, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731; and		
15	(i) Failed to retain all records of Respondents SMITH's activity during the audit		
16	period requiring a real estate broker license, in violation of Code Section 10148.		
17			
18	Disciplinary	Statures and Regulations	
19		8.	
20	The	conduct of Respondent SMITH, as alleged and described in Paragraph 7,	
21	above, violated the	Code and the Regulations as set forth below:	
22			
23	PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED	
24	7(a)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1	
25	7(b)	Code Sections 10145, 10176(e) and Regulation 2932(d)	
26	7(c)	Code Sections 10145, 10176(i) and/or 10177(j)	
27	7(d)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832(a)	

- 6 -

. 1	7(e) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831
2	7(f) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1
3	7(g) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2
4	7(h) Code Section10159.5 and Regulation 2731
5	7(i) Code Section 10148
6	The foregoing violations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights
7	of Respondent SMITH, under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(j),
8	10177(d), 10177(g), and 10177(h).
9	
10	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
11	(Negligence)
12	9.
13	The overall conduct of Respondent SMITH. constitutes negligence or
14	incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause for discipline of the real estate license and
15	license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).
16 17	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
18	(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)
19	
20	10.
20	The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondent SMITH constitute a breach of
21	fiduciary duty, of good faith, trust, confidence and candor, within the scope of Respondent's
23	fiduciary relationship owed to real estate consumers and his property management clientele. This
24	conduct and violation are cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of
25	Respondent SMITH pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).
26	///
27	
	- 7 -
	1F

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION	
(Supervision)	
11.	
The overall conduct of Respondent SMITH constitutes a failure on his part to	
exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities his brokerage, in	
violation of Code Section 10177(h) and Regulation 2725. Respondent SMITH failed to keep his	
brokerage in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for discipline of the real estate	
license and license rights of Respondent SMITH. pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections	
10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).	
12.	
Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation	
of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement	
of the case.	
13.	
Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part the Commissioner shall charge	
a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the commissioner has found in a final decision	
following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a regulation	
or rule of the commissioner interpreting said section.	
- 8 -	
	(Supervision) 11. The overall conduct of Respondent SMITH constitutes a failure on his part to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities his brokerage, in violation of Code Section 10177(h) and Regulation 2725. Respondent SMITH failed to keep his brokerage in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent SMITH. pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h). 12. Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 13. Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part the Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the commissioner has found in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing that the broker has violated Code section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the commissioner interpreting said section. /// ///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondent DARYL ANTHONY SMITH, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law including, but not limited to, but not limited to: (1) restorral of trust fund shortage (2) restitution, where applicable (3) costs of audit pursuant to Code Section 10148; and (4) costs of investigation and enforcement pursuant to Code Section 10106, and as pursuant to applicable provisions of the California Administrative Procedure Act. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 22 February 2013. Deputy/Real Estate Commissione cc: Daryl Anthony Smith Maria Suarez Audits - Chona Picayo Sacto q