1	LISSETE GARCIA, Counsel (SBN 211552)
2	Department of Real Estate FILED 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 FEB ~ 5 2013
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	Direct: (213) 576-6914 BY: Fax: (213) 576-6917
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-38690 LA
12	BANCORP ONE REALTY; ) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
13	LOANS BANCORP; ) STEVEN RICHARD HIGH, individually )
14	and as designated officer of ) Bancorp One Realty and Loans )
15	Bancorp; and )
16	SUBASHBHAI JETHABHAI PATEL, )
17	Respondents. )
18	
19	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate
20	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
21	against BANCORP ONE REALTY; LOANS BANCORP; STEVEN RICHARD HIGH,
22	individually and as designated officer of Bancorp One Realty and
23	Loans Bancorp; and SUBASHBHAI JETHABHAI PATEL ("Respondents"),
24	is informed and alleges as follows:
25	1.
26	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate
27	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation
28	in her official capacity.

XUJ

П

2. 1 From July 27, 2006, through the present, Respondent 2 BANCORP ONE REALTY ("BOR") has been licensed by the Department 3 of Real Estate ("Department") as a corporate real estate broker, 4 5 Department ID 01524385. 3. 6 7 From March 30, 2005, through the present, Respondent LOANS BANCORP ("LB") has been licensed by the Department as a 8 corporate real estate broker, Department ID 01482413. 9 10 4. From July 17, 1999, through the present, Respondent 11 STEVEN RICHARD HIGH ("HIGH") has been licensed by the Department 12 13 as a real estate broker, Department ID 01097322. 14 5. At all times relevant herein, Respondents BOR and LB 15 were licensed to act by and through Respondent HIGH as their 16 designated officer pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 to be 17 responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law. 18 6. 19 Respondent SUBASHBHAI JETHABHAI PATEL, aka Subash 20 Patel ("PATEL") was originally licensed by the Department as a 21 real estate salesperson on February 4, 1992, Department ID 22 01129481. Respondent PATEL's salesperson license was suspended 23 indefinitely on January 4, 2006 for failure to comply with the 24 disciplinary terms in Department Case No. H-30879 LA. 25 111 26 111 27 28 111

2 Respondent BOR is a California corporation formed on or about October 21, 2005. On March 18, 2010, a Statement of 3 4 Information was filed with the California Secretary of State for 5 BOR stating that Respondent PATEL is the CEO and a director of The principal address for BOR is listed as 14708 Pipeline 6 BOR. 7 Ave., Suite #B1, Chino Hills, California 91709. Noi Yung and 8 Lowell D. Sneathen, unlicensed persons, are also officers and/or directors of BOR. BOR's corporate status is currently suspended 9 with the California Secretary of State. 10 11 8. Respondent LB is a California corporation formed on or 12 about October 29, 2004. On March 18, 2010, a Statement of 13 Information was filed with the California Secretary of State for 14LB stating that Respondents PATEL and HIGH were directors and 15 officers of LB. The principal address for LB is listed as 14708 16 Pipeline Ave., Suite #B, Chino Hills, California 91709. Noi 17 Yung and Lowell D. Sneathen, unlicensed persons, are also 18 officers and/or directors of LB. 19

7.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Advance Fee Violations)

9.

Code Section 10132 defines a real estate salesperson as a person who, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, is employed by a licensed real estate broker to do one or more of the acts set forth in Code Sections 10131, 10131.1, 10131.2, 10131.3, 10131.4, and 10131.6.

3

28

20

21

22

Code Section 10131 defines a real estate broker as a 2 person who: (a) sells or offers to sell, buys or offers to buy, 3 4 solicits prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicits or 5 obtains listing of, or negotiates the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or a business opportunity; or (d) solicits 6 borrowers or lenders for or negotiates loans or collects payment 7 or performs services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in 8 connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens 9 on real property or on a business opportunity. 10

11.

Code Section 10131.2 defines a real estate broker as a 12 person who engages in the business of claiming, demanding, 13 charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the 14 collection of an advance fee in connection with any employment 15 undertaken to promote the sale or lease of real property or of a 16 business opportunity by advance fee listing, advertisement or 17 other offering to sell, lease, exchange or rent property or a 18 19 business opportunity, or to obtain a loan or loans thereon.

12.

Code Section 10026, in pertinent part, defines an 21 advance fee as a fee that is claimed, demanded, charged, 22 received, or collected by a licensee for services requiring a 23 license. A person who proposes to collect an advance fee as 24 defined in Code Section 10026 must submit to the Commissioner 25 not less than ten calendar days before publication or other use, 26 all materials to be used in advertising, promoting, soliciting 27 28 and negotiating an agreement calling for the payment of an

4

10.

1

11

advance fee including the form of advance fee agreement proposed 1 2 for use, pursuant to Section 2970, Regulations of the Real 3 Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of 4 Regulations ("Regulations"). Code Section 10085 also allows the 5 Commissioner to require that any and all materials used in obtaining advance fee agreements, including contract forms, be 6 7 submitted at least 10 calendar days before they are used.

13.

8

On October 11, 2009, then Governor Arnold 9 10 Schwarzenegger signed Senate Bill 94 (Calderon), and the 11 legislation took effect immediately upon his signature. Thus, California law prohibited any person, including real estate 12 licensees and attorneys, from demanding or collecting an advance 13 fee from a consumer for loan modification or mortgage loan 14 forbearance services affecting 1 - 4 unit residential dwellings. 15 16

14.

The following notice was prominently featured on the 17 Department's website as of October 11, 2009: 18

19 "IF YOU ARE A REAL ESTATE BROKER, OR THE DESIGNATED 20 OFFICER OF A LICENSED CORPORATION, WHO HAS BEEN ISSUED A "NO OBJECTION" LETTER BY THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE FOR LOAN 21 MODIFICATION OR OTHER MORTGAGE LOAN FORBEARANCE SERVICES, YOU 22 CAN NO LONGER ENTER INTO THESE AGREEMENTS EFFECTIVE AS OF 23 24OCTOBER 11, 2009, NOR CAN YOU COLLECT ANY ADVANCE FEES FOR SUCH 25 SERVICES. Agreements entered into and advance fees collected prior to October 11, 2009 are not affected. Advance fees 26 inadvertently collected after October 11, 2009 must be fully 27 28 refunded. All real estate licensees should become familiar with

the provisions of SB94 as there are substantial administrative 1 2 and criminal penalties for violations."

15.

California Resolution Services has never been licensed 5 in any capacity by the Department.

16.

On or about February 21, 2010, PATEL solicited and 7 offered to assist borrowers Manish and Kriti G. with loan 8 modification and negotiation services. PATEL instructed the 9 10 borrowers to pay an advance fee to both LB and BOR for said services in connection with a loan secured by a lien on real 11 12 property. The borrowers paid an advance fee of \$2,000 to LB for 13 loan modification and negotiation services on February 22, 2010. 14The borrowers paid an advance fee of \$1,500 to BOR for loan modification and negotiation services on March 5, 2010. 15

17.

17 PATEL made misrepresentations to Manish and Kriti G. in order to induce them to pay the advance fees including, among 18 others, that the borrowers would receive a full refund if they 19 were not able to obtain a loan modification within 90 days. 20 The borrowers were asked to sign a letter of authorization to allow 21 California Resolution Services to negotiate a loan modification 22 on their behalf. Respondents failed to perform the loan 23 modification and negotiation services that had been promised to 24 Manish and Kriti G. Respondents failed to provide an accounting 25 of any services done for Manish and Kriti G. or an accounting of 26 the advance fees collected from Manish and Kriti G. Manish and 27

6

28

3

4

6

Kriti G. requested a refund of their advance fee and received a
 check with insufficient funds for \$4,200 from PATEL.

18.

Respondents collected the advance fees described in
Paragraph 16 above, in violation of Code Sections 10085,
10085.5, 10085.6 and 10146 and Regulation Section 2970 which
constitutes grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
licenses and license rights of Respondents BOR, LB, HIGH, and
PATEL pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

19.

Respondents' conduct, acts and/or omissions as set 11 forth in Paragraphs 16 and 17 above, constitute grounds for the 12 suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of 13 Respondents BOR, LB, and PATEL pursuant to Code Sections 1410176(a) (making any substantial misrepresentation), 10176(b) 15 (making any false promises of a character likely to influence, 16 persuade or induce), 10176(i) (conduct...which constitutes fraud 17 or dishonest dealing), 10177(d) (violation of the Real Estate 18 Law), and/or 10177(g) (negligence). 19

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Unlicensed activity/Unlawful Compensation)

20.

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19 above, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

7

27 ///

20

21

22

3

10

28 ////

21. 1 The activities described in Paragraphs 16 and 17 2 above, require a real estate license under Code Sections 3 10131(d) and 10131.2. Respondent PATEL and California 4 Resolution Services violated Code Section 10130 by engaging in 5 activities that require a real estate license when PATEL's б salesperson license was suspended and California Resolution 7 Services was not licensed in any capacity. Respondents BOR, LB, 8 and HIGH violated Code Section 10137 by employing and/or 9 compensating PATEL to perform activities that require a real 10estate license. 11 22. 12 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents as 13 set forth in Paragraph 21 above, violate Code Sections 10130 and 14 10137, and are cause for the suspension or revocation of the 15 licenses and license rights of Respondents BOR, LB, HIGH and 16 PATEL pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g). 17 THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 18 (Failure to Supervise) 19 23. 20 There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate 21 Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in 22 Paragraphs 1 through 22, above, with the same force and effect 23 as if herein fully set forth. 24 24. 25 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent HIGH, 26 in allowing Respondents BOR, LB, and PATEL to violate the Real 27 Estate Law, as set forth above, constitutes a failure by 28

B

Respondent HIGH, as the officer designated by the corporate broker licensee, to exercise the supervision and control over the activities of Respondents BOR, LB, and PATEL, as required by Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondent HIGH under Code Sections 10177(h), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

8

9

10

15

22

28

(Suspended Corporate Status)

## 25.

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 24, above, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

## 26.

The corporate rights and privileges of Respondent BOR are currently suspended with the California Secretary of State. Said suspension is a violation of Code Section 10177(f) in that it would have constituted grounds for the denial of its corporate real estate broker license under Regulation Section 21 2742.

27.

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to

exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement
 of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 3 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 4 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 5 action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents 6 BANCORP ONE REALTY; LOANS BANCORP; STEVEN RICHARD HIGH, 7 individually and as designated officer of Bancorp One Realty and 8 Loans Bancorp; and SUBASHBHAI JETHABHAI PATEL under the Real 9 Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions 10 Code), for the cost of investigation and enforcement as 11permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may 12 be proper under other provisions of law. 13 14 Dated at Los Angeles, California this 574 Selenen day of 🔪 15 2013. 16 17 JAREZ 18 Real Estate Commissioner 19 20 21 22 Bancorp One Realty cc: 23 Loans Bancorp Steven Richard High 24 Subashbhai Jethabhai Patel 25 Maria Suarez Sacto 26 27 28