

By Jame B. Olm

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * * In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-38374 LA <u>K2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC</u>, and LAUREN) KERR LAYTON, individually, and as) former designated officer of K2) Capital Management Inc,) Respondents.)

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on January 7, 2013, and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondent's express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

On August 23, 2012, Maria Surez made the Accusation in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail to K2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC's ("K2") last known mailing address on file with the California Department of Real Estate ("Department") on August 24, 2011. Both mailings were returned to sender with no forwarding address.

-1-

On November 6, 2012, Veronica Kilpatrick made the First Amended Accusation in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The First Amended Accusation was mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail to K2's last known mailing address on file with the Department on November 8, 2012. Both mailings were returned to sender with no forwarding address.

On January 7, 2013, no Notice of Defense having been filed herein within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, K2's default was entered herein.

2.

K2 is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a corporate real estate broker. K2 was initially licensed by the Department on May 1, 2009.

3.

George T. Transaction

On or about September 2, 2009, George T. entered into an agreement with K2 in which K2 agreed to negotiate a modification of a loan secured by George T's real property, in exchange for George T's payment of advance fees to K2. \$2,500 in advance fees was received by K2 on September 2, 2009.

4.

Edward M. Transaction

On or about October 5, 2009, Edward M. entered into an agreement with K2 in which K2 agreed to negotiate a modification of a loan secured by Edward M's real property, in exchange for Edward M's payment of advance fees to K2. \$3,000 in advance fees was received by K2 on October 10, 2009.

5.

In aggravation, between March 17, 2009 and August 19, 2009, K2 entered into the following advance fee agreements, which were not approved by the Department:

Consumer(s)	Agreement Date	Advance Fee
Michael M.	3/17/09	\$3,490

Virginia G.	4/24/09	\$3,495
Clarence & Amy C.	8/3/09	\$2,995
Barbara R.	8/5/09	\$2,995
David E.	8/17/09	\$2,995
Frances B. & Veda M.	8/19/09	\$3,000

6.

On or about February 1, 2012, the Franchise Tax Board of the State of California forfeited K2's powers, rights and privileges pursuant to the provisions of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, and the entity's powers, rights and privileges remain forfeited to date.

7.

In aggravation, on or about May 18, 2012, the State of Washington, Department of Financial Services, Division of Consumer Affairs, in Case No: C-11-0589-12-F001, issued a Final Order in which K2 was ordered to cease and desist from engaging in the business of mortgage brokers or loan originators in the State of Washington. K2 was also prohibited from participating in the conduct of the affairs of a mortgage broker in the State of Washington for five (5) years. As more fully set forth in the Statement of Charges, the basis for the Order was that K2 conducted business as a mortgage loan broker in the State of Washington without being licensed to do so, and failed to maintain records, in violation of RCW 19.146.0202(1), (2), and (3), RCW 19.146.200(1) and WAC 208-660-155, RCW 19.146.060 and WAC 208-660-450.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1.

Neither the agreements described in Finding 3 and 4 above, nor any other advance fee agreements used by K2 were approved by the Department. The use of the advance fee agreements, referred to in Findings 3 and 4 above, was in violation of Code Sections <u>10085</u> and <u>10085.5</u> and Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"),

-3-

Section <u>2970</u>. This provides cause for the suspension or revocation of the license and license rights of K2, pursuant to Code Sections 10085, <u>10177(d)</u> and <u>10177(g)</u>.

2.

The conduct of K2, as alleged In Finding 6 above, is in violation of Regulation $\underline{2742}$ and subjects its real estate license and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Code Section 10177(d), 10177(g) and $\underline{10177(f)}$.

3.

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

All licenses and license rights of K2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code are revoked.

	This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock	
noon on	MAR 1 3 2013	
	DATED: //3//13.	

Real Estate Commissioner

By:

By AWET P. KIDANE Chief Deputy Commissioner

FILED · . . JAN - 7 2013 Department of Real Estate 1 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 DEPARTMENT OF REALESTATE 2 Los Angeles, CA 90013 (213) 576-6982 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 No. H-38374 LA In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 12 DEFAULT ORDER K2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC, and 13 LAUREN KERR LAYTON, individually, and as former designated officer of 14 K2 Capital Management Inc, 15 Respondents. 16 Respondent K2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC, having 17 failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required 18 19 by Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. 20 It is, therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the 21 record in this matter. 22 <u> Anuary 3, 20</u>13 IT IS SO ORDERED 23 Real Estate Commissioner 24 2 Weeks 25 By: DOLORES WEEKS 26 Regional Manager 27