| • · · | n i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
|-------|---|
| | |
| • | |
| • | |
| 1 | |
| T | CHERYL D. KEILY, SBN# 94008 Department of Real Estate |
| 2 | 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350 |
| 3 | hos Angeles, calledinia sools |
| | Telephone: (213) 576-6982 NOV 3 0 2011 |
| 4 | (Direct) (213) 576-6905 DEPARTMENT OF REAL/ESTATE |
| 5 | BY: BY: |
| 6 | $ \qquad $ |
| | V |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | * * * * |
| 12 | |
| | In the Matter of the Accusation No. H- 37700 LA |
| 13 | DOWNEY ON-LINE BROKERS) ACCUSATION |
| 14 | INCORPORATED, doing business) |
| | as Re/Max On Line; FILIBERTO) LIMON, individually and as) |
| | designated officer for Downey) |
| 16 | On-Line Brokers Incorporated,) |
| 17 | Respondents. |
| 18 |) . |
| 10 | |
| 19 | The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate |
| . 20 | Commissioner of the State of Colifornia, for source of Derusation |
| | Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation |
| 21 | against DOWNEY ON-LINE BROKERS INCORPORATED, doing business as |
| 22 | Re/Max On Line ("DOWNEY ON-LINE"); and FILIBERTO LIMON ("LIMON"), |
| 23 | Reymax on bine (Downer ON-LINE); and Filiberio LIMON (LIMON), |
| | individually, and as designated officer for DOWNEY ON-LINE, is |
| 24 | informed and alleges as follows: |
| 25 | |
| . 26 | /// |
| ~= | 111 |
| . 27 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

1. 1 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate 2 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in 3 her official capacity. 4 2. 5 DOWNEY ON-LINE is presently licensed and/or has license 6 7 rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the 8 Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a real 9 estate corporation acting by and through LIMON as its designated 10 broker-officer. 11 3. 12 LIMON is presently licensed and/or has license rights 13 under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker and designated 14 broker-officer of DOWNEY ON-LINE. 15 4 16 All further references to respondents herein includes 17 DOWNEY ON-LINE and LIMON, and also includes officers, directors, 18 employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or 19 associated with DOWNEY ON-LINE and LIMON, and who at all times 20 herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business 21 or operations of DOWNEY ON-LINE and LIMON, and who were acting 22 23 within the course and scope of their authority and employment. 24 5: 25 At all times relevant herein LIMON, as the officer 26 designated by DOWNEY ON-LINE, pursuant to Section 10211 of the 27 Code, was responsible for the supervision and control of the - 2 -

activities conducted on behalf of DOWNEY ON-LINE by its officers 1 and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the 2 Real Estate Law as set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code. 3 6. 4 LIMON ordered, caused, authorized or participated in 5 the conduct of DOWNEY ON-LINE, as is alleged in this Accusation. 6 7. 7 A At all times material herein, Respondents were engaged 9 in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or 10 assumed to act as real estate brokers and/or real estate 11 corporations in the State of California, within the meaning of 12 Code Sections 10131(a) and 10131(d) of the Code. Said activities 13 included soliciting sellers and buyers for the listing, sale and 14 purchase of real property and negotiating the purchase and sale 15 of real property on behalf of buyers and sellers, as well as 16 soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating the terms of 17 loans secured by real property between borrowers and third party 18 lenders for or in expectation of compensation. 19 20 In addition respondents conducted broker-controlled 21 escrows through the escrow division of DOWNEY ON-LINE, doing 22 23 business as Re/Max On Line Escrow Division ("Respondents' Escrow 24 Division"), under the exemption set forth in California Financial 25 Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing 26 escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker 27 is a party and where the broker is performing acts for which a

- 3 -

real estate license is required.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Violation of Code Section 10176(i)

Perez Transaction

9.

In or around January, 2009, Escrow No. SG08-381-LV remained pending at Respondents' Escrow Division. The escrow was opened in connection with the purchase and sale of the real property located at 4231 Walnut Street, Bell, California 90201, in which Respondents acted as broker for the buyer, George A. Perez ("Perez").

10.

On or about January 27, 2009, an escrow cancellation instruction was delivered to Respondents' Escrow Division by virtue of which Perez became entitled to receive back \$4,300 of the \$5,000 earnest money he deposited to Respondents' Escrow Division in Escrow No. SG08-381-LV.

11.

Despite Perez' entitlement to receive back a portion of the earnest money deposit alleged in Paragraph 10, above, Respondents failed and refused to disburse Perez' funds to him. By so doing Respondents converted escrow trust funds in the amount of \$4,700 received from Perez' who was a beneficiary of those funds.

26 ///

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

27 ////

Spruston Transaction

12.

1

| 4 | |
|----------------|---|
| 3 | In or around March, 2009, Escrow No. SG08-411-LV |
| 4 | remained pending at Respondents' Escrow Division. The escrow was |
| 5 | opened in connection with the purchase and sale of the real |
| 6 | property located at 1633 Coban Road, La Habra Heights, California |
| 7 | 90631, in which Respondents acted as broker for the buyer, |
| [.] 8 | William Spruston ("Spruston"). |
| 9 | 13. |
| 10 | On or about March 18, 2009, an escrow cancellation |
| 11 | instruction was delivered to Respondents' Escrow Division by |
| 12 | virtue of which Spruston became entitled to receive back \$19,205 |
| 13 | of the \$40,000 earnest money he deposited to Respondents' Escrow |
| 14 | Division in Escrow No. SG08-411-LV. |
| 15 . | 14. |
| 16 | Despite Spruston's entitlement to receive back a |
| 17 18 | portion of the earnest money deposit alleged in Paragraph 13, |
| 19 | above, Respondents failed and refused to disburse Spruston's |
| 20 | funds to him. By so doing Respondents converted escrow trust |
| 21 | funds in the amount of \$19,205 received from Perez' who was a |
| 22 | beneficiary of those funds. |
| 23 | Maraboto Transaction |
| 24 | 15. |
| 25 | At all times relevant herein Rosa Maraboto ("Maraboto") |
| 26 | was licensed as a real estate broker. |
| 27 | 111 |
| | _ 5 _ |
| | |

On or about January 26, 2009, Respondents acted as the 2 escrow for a loan originated by Maraboto on behalf of Benjamin 3 Diaz ("Diaz"). 4 17. 5 Thereafter, as a result of the funding of the Diaz loan 6 Maraboto became entitled to receive a disbursal from the loan 7 8 proceeds on deposit in the escrow in the amount of \$18,511.18 as 9 a commission for her services. 10 18. 11 On or about January 30, 2009, Respondents gave Maraboto 12 a check in the amount of \$18,511.18 drawn on the bank account of 13 Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE as payment of her commission. 14 19. 15 When Maraboto attempted to negotiate the foregoing 16 commission check Respondents' bank refused to honor the check and 17 returned the check unpaid for insufficient funds on deposit with 18 the bank. 19 20. 20 Despite Maraboto's demand to Respondents that the 21 amount of her commission be paid, Respondents have failed and 22 refused to pay Maraboto the \$18,511.18 sum due to her from the 23 24 funds on deposit in the Diaz loan escrow. 25 21. 26 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as 27 described herein above, constitute conversion, fraud or dishonest

16.

| • | |
|--------|---|
| - | dealing, and are cause for the suspension or revocation of all |
| . 2 | real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the |
| 3 | provisions of Code Sections 10176(i) and 10177(g). |
| 4 5 | SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fraud Judgment) |
| 6 | 22. |
| · 7 | Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the |
| · 8 | allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21, above. |
| . 9 | 23. |
| 10 | On or about November 19, 2009, the final judgment of |
| 11 | the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los |
| 12 | Angeles, Case No. VC054126, was entered against Respondents based |
| 13 | on the ground of fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit with |
| 14 | reference to a transaction for which a real estate license is |
| 15 | required. Punitive damages in the form of treble damages were |
| . 17 | awarded against Respondents pursuant to the provisions of |
| 18 | California Civil Code Section 1719. |
| 19 | 24. |
| 20 | The facts set forth in Paragraph 23, constitute cause |
| 21 | under Section 10177.5 of the Code for the suspension or |
| 22 | revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents |
| 23 | under the Real Estate Law. |
| 24 | 111 |
| 25 | 111 |
| 26 | 111 |
| 27 | 111 |
| | - 7 - |
| | |
| | · · · · · |

•

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Audit Violations)

25.

Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 24, above.

26.

On or about June 28, 2010, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraphs 7 and 8, above, covering a period from July 12, 2007, to March 31, 2010.

27.

12

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

The audit examination revealed violations of the Code by Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE, as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. LA 090203 and the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

In the course of the transaction activities (a) 19 undertaken by Respondent DOWNEY ON LINE during the audit period, 20 Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE failed to retain or provide the auditor 21 with any records of its activities requiring a real estate broker 22 license during the past three years including escrow transaction 23 files for Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE's real estate clients and 24 further including listings, real estate contracts, canceled 25 checks, escrow and trust records, and specifically including 26

27

| • | | |
|----------|---|---|
| | | |
| | documents pertaining to the transactions alleged in the Second | |
| 1 | Cause of Action, above, as is required by Code Section 10148. | |
| 2 | (b) At a time known to Respondents, but unknown to the | |
| 3 | Department, Respondents left and/or abandoned their former | |
| 5 | business and mailing address without informing the Department. | |
| 6 | Respondents are thereby presently maintaining no definite place | |
| 7 | of business in the State of California which shall serve as | |
| 8 | office for the transaction of business requiring a real estate | |
| 9 | license, in violation of Code section 10162 and Section 2715, | |
| 10 | Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations | 1 |
| 11 | ("Regulations"). | |
| 12 | DISCIPLINE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS | |
| 13 | 28. | |
| 14 15 | The conduct of Respondent DOWNY ON-LINE described in | |
| 16 | Paragraph 27, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set | |
| 17 | forth below: | |
| 18 | PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED | |
| 19 | 27(a) Code Section 10148 | |
| 20 | 27(b) Code Sections 10162; | |
| 21 | Section 2715 of the Regulations 29. | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | The foregoing violations, as set forth hereinabove, | |
| | constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real | |
| | estate licenses and license rights of Respondent DOWNEY ON-LINE | |
| 20 | under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) for violation of | |
| - 1 | | |
| | - 9 - | |
| | | |
| | | |

the Real Estate Law and/or 10177(g) for negligence or 1 incompetence. 2 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 3 (Use of Unauthorized Fictitious Business Name) 4 30. 5 Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the 6 allegations contained at Paragraphs 1 through 29, above. 7 31. 8 At a time within the last three years Respondents used 9 a fictitious business name "RE/MAX Online Escrow Division" for 10 activities requiring the issuance of a real estate license 11

without filing an application for the use of such name with the Department as required by the provisions of Code Section 10159.5 and Section 2731(a) of the Regulations.

15

21

22

23

32.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as set forth in Paragraph 31, above, violate Code Section 10159.5 and Section 2731(a) of the Regulations, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

(Failure to Supervise against Respondent LIMON)

33.

10

Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 32, above. ///

1 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of LIMON in allowing 2 DOWNEY ON-LINE to violate the Real Estate Law, as set forth 3 above, constitutes a failure by LIMON, as the officer designated by the corporate broker licensee, to exercise the supervision and 5 control over the activities of DOWNEY ON-LINE as required by Code 6 Section 10159.2, and is cause to suspend or revoke the real 7 estate licenses and license rights of LIMON under Code Sections 8 9 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h). 10 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 11 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 12 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 13 action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondents 14 DOWNY ON-LINE BROKERS INCORPORATED and FILIBERTO LIMON under the 15 Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and 16 Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may 17 be proper under other applicable provisions of law. 18 Dated at Los Angeles, California 19 lovember 2011. this 30 day of _ 20 21 22 23 Robin Nyujillo Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 24 25 DOWNEY ON-LINE BROKERS INCORPORATED cc: 26 FILIBERTO LIMON Robin Trujillo 27 Sacto 11 -

34.