W.W.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

CHERYL D. KEILY SBN# 94008 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-5770

FILED

JAN 2 5 2012

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ASTATE
BY:

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-37518 LA) GREG A. TOMASYAN,) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

This First Amended Accusation amends the Accusation filed on September 12, 2011.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation

against GREG A. TOMASYAN, aka "Greg Tomasyan" (hereinafter

"TOMASYAN"), is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

TOMASYAN is presently licensed and/or has license

.

21

20

22

24

25

20

26

rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a real estate broker.

3.

Unless otherwise specified, at the time of the transactions mentioned herein TOMASYAN was employed as a broker associate for Town Center Realty Inc. ("Town Center"), a licensed real estate corporation, and for its designated officer, Brian De Rosayro ("Rosayro"), a licensed real estate broker. Neither Town Center nor Rosayro had knowledge of the facts set forth below.

The Azarian Transaction

4.

On or about June 11, 2010, during the course of a meeting between TOMASYAN and Alex Azarian and Nga Azarian (the "Azarians"), TOMASYAN made the following representations to the Azarians:

(a) that the Azarians should consider selling their property located at 8033 Jayseel Street, Sunland, California (the "Azarian Property") in a short sale because the appraised value of the Azarian Property was less than the total amount of the encumbrances; and

(b) that in order to obtain the bank's approval for a short sale the Azarians needed to make it appear as though they had no assets by permitting TOMASYAN to record a trust deed against the Azarians Property falsely stating that the Azarians

owed money to TOMASYAN. TOMASYAN further represented to the Azarians that they should give whatever cash they had to him, and that he, TOMASYAN, would return it to them after the Azarian Property was sold at a short sale.

5.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, on or about June 14, 2010, the Azarians executed a promissory note in the amount of \$90,000 made payable to TOMASYAN as well as a trust deed on the Azarian Property as security for the payment of the \$90,000 promissory note given to TOMASYAN. Thereafter, on or about June 16, 2010, the trust deed was recorded as a lien on the Azarian Property.

6.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, and in response to his demand therefor, on or about June 14, 2010, at a Chase Bank in La Crescenta, California, Nga Azarian gave TOMASYAN a \$49,500 cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan."

7.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, and in response to his demand therefor, on or about June 15, 2010, at a Chase Bank in Tujunga, California, Nga Azarian gave TOMASYAN a \$30,000 cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan."

8.

In or around the end of June, 2010, TOMASYAN returned

2.6

\$10,000 of the Azarians' \$79,500 to them.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

25

27

9.

On or about July 7, 2010, TOMASYAN disclosed to the Azarians that he had gambled away the balance of the money he had received from them.

10.

TOMASYAN's representations as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, were false and fraudulent and made with the sole intent of fraudulently inducing the Azarians to turn their property over to him to permit TOMASYAN to convert the Azarian property to his own use and benefit.

11.

Despite the Azarians' demand to TOMASYAN for the return of the \$79,500 the Azarians paid to him, only \$10,000 of that sum has been returned.

Stan Sitnitskiy Transaction

12.

On or about June 21, 2010, Stan Sitnitskiy ("Sitnitskiy") executed an offer to purchase the property located at 6643 Riverton Avenue, North Hollywood (the "Riverton Property"). In connection with the foregoing offer TOMASYAN acted as the agent for both Sitnitskiy and the seller, and represented to Sitnitskiy that he, TOMASYAN, was doing so as a broker associate with Town Center. TOMASYAN further represented to Sitnitskiy that Glen Oaks Escrow would be the escrow holder for the purchase and sale transaction.

On or about June 22, 2010, at the instruction of TOMASYAN, Sitnitskiy gave TOMASYAN two cashier's checks. One check was in the amount of \$10,000 and made payable to Glen Oaks Escrow, and the other was for \$25,000 and made payable to "Greg Tomasyan." TOMASYAN represented to Sitnitskiy that the \$10,000 check would be deposited into escrow, and that the check for \$25,000 would be deposited to the trust account for Town Center to be held for use in Sitnitskiy's purchase of the Riverton Property. An addendum was made to the purchase and sale agreement acknowledging the foregoing agreement.

14.

On or about June 24, 2010, unbeknownst to Sitnitskiy, the check for \$25,000 described in Paragraph 13, above, was endorsed over to the Commerce Casino General Account, and then to "Greg Tomasyan."

15.

On or about June 28, 2010, TOMASYAN returned the \$10,000 check described in Paragraph 13, above, to Sitnitskiy with the instruction that Sitnitskiy instead give TOMASYAN a cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan" in the amount of \$41,000. TOMASYAN represented that the \$41,000 check would be deposited to the trust account of Town Center for Sitnitskiy's purchase of the Riverton Property. An addendum was made to the purchase and sale agreement acknowledging the foregoing agreement.

Q

Thereafter, TOMASYAN endorsed the \$41,000 check described in Paragraph 15, above, to The Commerce Casino General Account and then to "Greg Tomasyan."

17.

TOMASYAN's representations as alleged in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, were false and fraudulent in that TOMASYAN had no intention of depositing any portion of Sitnitskiy's funds into escrow or into the trust account of Town Center.

18.

TOMASYAN's representations were made with the intent to fraudulently induce Sitnitskiy to give him the total sum of \$66,000 so as to permit TOMASYAN to convert Sitnitskiy's property to his own use and benefit.

19.

Despite Sitnitskiy's demand to TOMASYAN for the return of the \$66,000 Sitnitskiy gave to TOMASYAN no portion of Sitnitskiy's funds have been returned to him.

Natalia Sarkisian Transaction

20.

On or about January 31, 2011, TOMASYAN filed a fictitious business name statement in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office for the name "G-MAX ESTATES AND FINE PROPERTIES" ("G-MAX"). G-MAX is not a fictitious business name of TOMASYAN, or any other broker, authorized by the Department. Neither is G-MAX licensed by the California Department of

Corporations or by the California Department of Insurance.

21.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

At the time of the events alleged in the Natalia Sarkisian transaction, below, TOMASYAN was not associated with Town Center or Rosayro. Neither Town Center nor Rosayro had knowledge of the events set forth below.

22.

In or around August, 2011, Natalia Sarkisian ("Sarkisian") requested that TOMASYAN locate two residential properties available for her to purchase.

23.

On or about August 7, 2011, TOMASYAN showed Sarkisian the property located at 411 East Fairview Avenue, #203, Glendale, California 91207 ("Fairview Property"). At that time TOMASYAN made the following representations to Sarkisian:

- a. that he, TOMASYAN, was the listing agent for the Fairview Property;
- b. that the list price of the Fairview Property was \$205,000;
- c. that Sarkisian would be required to make a down payment of \$41,000, which is equal to 20% of the purchase price;
- d. that the down payment must be by cashier's check made out to G-MAX and referenced as "Escrow-Joe Barton"; and
 - e. that G-MAX would act as the escrow holder.

26 ///

27 | ///

On or about August 8, 2011, in reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, Sarkisian executed the purchase agreement for the Fairview Property, and at the instruction of TOMASYAN gave TOMASYAN a cashier check in the amount of \$41,000 made payable to G-MAX as the down payment for the purchase of the Fairview Property.

25.

On or about August 13, 2011, TOMASYAN showed Sarkisian the property located at 1801 263rd Street, #122, Lomita, California 90717 ("Lomita Property"), which was listed for sale by a broker other than TOMASYAN. At that time TOMASYAN represented to Sarkisian that she should offer \$300,000 to purchase the Lomita Property. Sarkisian executed a purchase agreement and provided TOMASYAN with her personal check for \$20,000 which was made payable to "Escrow."

26.

On or about August 14, 2011, TOMASYAN represented to Sarkisian that the seller of the Lomita Property counter offered with a price of \$305,000, and that she would be required to make a down payment of \$90,000, or 30% of the sales price. Sarkisian executed an addendum to the purchase agreement which showed the new purchase price of \$305,000.

27.

On or about August 19, 2011, in reliance on TOMASYAN's representations and according to TOMASYAN's instructions,

Sarkisian gave TOMASYAN four separate cashier's checks, each of which was made payable to G-MAX, and when totaled together equaled \$90,000.

28.

On or about September 20, 2011, TOMASYAN made the following representations to Sarkisian:

- a. that Sarkisian would need to pay the additional sum of \$20,500 to bring her down payment on the Fairview Property to 30% of the purchase price in order to enable Sarkisian to obtain the best possible loan; and
- b. that Sarkisian would be required to deposit to escrow closing costs of \$2,713.40 for the Fairview Property, and closing costs of \$2,306.58 for the Lomita Property.

29.

On or about September 21, 2011, in reliance on TOMASYAN's representations as set forth in Paragraph 28, above, Sarkisian gave TOMASYAN three separate cashier's checks made payable to G-MAX and in the following amounts: \$20,500 [Fairview Property]; \$2,713.40 [Fairview Property]; and \$2,306.58 [Lomita Property].

30.

On or about October 3, 2011, Sarkisian learned that TOMASYAN had failed to communicate to her lender that she had entered into agreements for the purchase of the Fairview and the Lomita Properties.

///

31. 1 On or about October 18, 2011, Sarkisian told TOMASYAN 2 that she wished to cancel both purchase transactions. In 3 response TOMASYAN represented to Sarkisian that her deposits totaling \$156,519.98 had been deposited to an escrow trust 5 account of G-MAX, and that her funds would be returned to her. 6 32. 7 On or about October 27, 2011, TOMASYAN admitted to 8 Sarkisian that he no longer had her funds, and that he had lost 9 all of Sarkisian's money gambling in Las Vegas. Sarkisian 10 subsequently learned that TOMASYAN had personally cashed each of 11 the checks she had given to him to be deposited into escrow to 12 carry out Sarkisian's purchases of the Fairview and Lomita 13 14 Properties. 15 33. 16 TOMASYAN's representations as alleged in Paragraphs 17 23, 26, 28 and 31, above, were false and fraudulent in that 18 19

TOMASYAN had no intention of depositing any portion of Sarkisian's funds into an escrow account.

34.

TOMASYAN's representations were made with the intent to fraudulently induce Sarkisian to give him the total sum of \$156,519.98 so as to permit TOMASYAN to convert Sarkisian's property to his own use and benefit.

35.

Despite Sarkisian's demand to TOMASYAN for the return

26 27

20

21

22

23

24

of the \$156,519.98 Sarkisian entrusted to TOMASYAN no portion of Sarkisian's funds have been returned to her.

36.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of TOMASYAN as described herein above, constitute making a substantial misrepresentation, the making of false promise(s) of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce, and/or fraud or dishonest dealing, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(j), 10176(j), and/or 10177(j).

12 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13 | | ///

14 1///

15 || ///

16 1///

17 11//

18 | | ///

///|| وا

20 1///

21 ///

22 1///

23 1///

24 ///

25 ///

26 | ///

27 1 ///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent GREG A. TOMASYAN under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 24 day of January

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

GREG A. TOMASYAN cc: Robin Trujillo Sacto.

CHERYL D. KEILY SBN# 94008 Department of Real Estate FILED 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 SEP 1 2 2011 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-5770 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H- 37518 LA 12 GREG A. TOMASYAN, ACCUSATION 13 Respondent: 14 15 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate 16 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 17 against GREG A. TOMASYAN, aka "Greg Tomasyan" (hereinafter "TOMASYAN"), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 1. 20 21 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate 22 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation 23 in her official capacity. 24 2. 25 TOMASYAN is presently licensed and/or has license

1

27

rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the

Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a real

estate broker.

3.

At the times mentioned herein TOMASYAN was employed as a broker associate for Town Center Realty Inc. ("Town Center"), a licensed real estate corporation, and for its designated officer, Brian De Rosayro ("Rosayro"), a licensed real estate broker. Neither Town Center nor Rosayro had knowledge of the facts set forth below.

The Azarian Transaction

4.

On or about June 11, 2010, during the course of a meeting between TOMASYAN and Alex Azarian and Nga Azarian (the "Azarians"), TOMASYAN made the following representations to the Azarians:

- (a) that the Azarians should consider selling their property located at 8033 Jayseel Street, Sunland, California (the "Azarian Property") in a short sale because the appraised value of the Azarian Property was less than the total amount of the encumbrances; and
- short sale the Azarians needed to make it appear as though they had no assets by permitting TOMASYAN to record a trust deed against the Azarians Property falsely stating that the Azarians owed money to TOMASYAN. TOMASYAN further represented to the Azarians that they should give whatever cash they had to him, and that he, TOMASYAN, would return it to them after the Azarian

- 2 -

Property was sold at a short sale.

5.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, on or about June 14, 2010, the Azarians executed a promissory note in the amount of \$90,000 made payable to TOMASYAN as well as a trust deed on the Azarian Property as security for the payment of the \$90,000 promissory note given to TOMASYAN. Thereafter, on or about June 16, 2010, the trust deed was recorded as a lien on the Azarian Property.

6.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, and in response to his demand therefor, on or about June 14, 2010, at a Chase Bank in La Crescenta, California, Nga Azarian gave TOMASYAN a \$49,500 cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan."

7.

In reliance on TOMASYAN's representations, as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, and in response to his demand therefor, on or about June 15, 2010, at a Chase Bank in Tujunga, California, Nga Azarian gave TOMASYAN a \$30,000 cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan."

8.

In or around the end of June, 2010, TOMASYAN returned \$10,000 of the Azarians' \$79,500 to them.

26 ///

27 //

///

On or about July 7, 2010; TOMASYAN disclosed to the Azarians that he had gambled away the balance of the money he had received from them.

10.

TOMASYAN's representations as alleged in Paragraph 4, above, were false and fraudulent and made with the sole intent of fraudulently inducing the Azarians to turn their property over to him to permit TOMASYAN to convert the Azarian property to his own use and benefit.

11.

Despite the Azarians' demand to TOMASYAN for the return of the \$79,500 the Azarians paid to him, only \$10,000 of that sum has been returned.

Stan Sitnitskiy Transaction

12.

On or about June 21, 2010, Stan Sitnitskiy

("Sitnitskiy") executed an offer to purchase the property

located at 6643 Riverton Avenue, North Hollywood (the "Riverton

Property"). In connection with the foregoing offer TOMASYAN

acted as the agent for both Sitnitskiy and the seller, and

represented to Sitnitskiy that he, TOMASYAN, was doing so as a

broker associate with Town Center. TOMASYAN further represented

to Sitnitskiy that Glen Oaks Escrow would be the escrow holder

for the purchase and sale transaction.

1

2 3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

On or about June 22, 2010, at the instruction of TOMASYAN, Sitnitskiy gave TOMASYAN two cashier's checks. One check was in the amount of \$10,000 and made payable to Glen Oaks Escrow, and the other was for \$25,000 and made payable to "Greg Tomasyan." TOMASYAN represented to Sitnitskiy that the \$10,000 check would be deposited into escrow, and that the check for \$25,000 would be deposited to the trust account for Town Center to be held for use in Sitnitskiy's purchase of the Riverton Property. An addendum was made to the purchase and sale agreement acknowledging the foregoing agreement.

14.

On or about June 24, 2010, unbeknownst to Sitnitskiy, the check for \$25,000 described in Paragraph 13, above, was endorsed over to the Commerce Casino General Account, and then to "Greg Tomasyan."

15.

On or about June 28, 2010, TOMASYAN returned the \$10,000 check described in Paragraph 13, above, to Sitnitskiy with the instruction that Sitnitskiy instead give TOMASYAN a cashier's check made payable to "Greg Tomasyan" in the amount of \$41,000. TOMASYAN represented that the \$41,000 check would be deposited to the trust account of Town Center for Sitnitskiy's purchase of the Riverton Property. An addendum was made to the purchase and sale agreement acknowledging the foregoing agreement.

Thereafter, TOMASYAN endorsed the \$41,000 check described in Paragraph 15, above, to The Commerce Casino General Account and then to "Greg Tomasyan."

17.

TOMASYAN's representations as alleged in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, were false and fraudulent in that TOMASYAN had no intention of depositing any portion of Sitnitskiy's funds into escrow or into the trust account of Town Center.

18.

TOMASYAN's representations were made with the intent to fraudulently induce Sitnitskiy to give him the total sum of \$66,000 so as to permit TOMASYAN to convert Sitnitskiy's property to his own use and benefit.

19.

Despite Sitnitskiy's demand to TOMASYAN for the return of the \$66,000 Sitnitskiy gave to TOMASYAN no portion of Sitnitskiy's funds have been returned to him.

. 20.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of TOMASYAN as described herein above, constitute making a substantial misrepresentation, the making of false promise(s) of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce, and/or fraud or dishonest dealing, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of of Respondent under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(a),

10176(g), 10176(i), and/or 10177(j).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent GREG A. TOMASYAN under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this a day of september, 2011.

Robin Truiillo

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: GREG A. TOMASYAN
Robin Trujillo
Sacto.