posi

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

# FILED

APR 1 3 2012

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BY:

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

A C G FUNDING INC.;

KELVIN K. CHEN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.; and

JEFF P. LIN, individually and Formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.,

Respondents.

No. H-37465 LA OAH No. L-2011090592

## ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC MODIFYING

## STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

It having been called to the attention of the Real Estate Commissioner that there are errors in the Decision dated March 12, 2012, effective April 13, 2012, and good cause appearing therefor, the Decision is amended as follows:

Paragraph B, line 10, page 7 of the Order is amended to read as follows:

"B. The remaining sixty (60) days of the ninety (90) day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:"

This Order, nunc pro tunc to March 12, 2012, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 13, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED



Real Estate Commissioner

By WAYNE S. BELL Chief Counsel di.

Department of Real Estate 320 W. 4<sup>TH</sup> Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 60013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982



MAR 1 5 2012

DEPARTMENT OF PREAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

A C G FUNDING INC.;

KELVIN K. CHEN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.; and

JEFF P. LIN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.

Respondents.

No. H-37465 LA L-2011090592

STIPULATION
AND
AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents

A C G FUNDING INC. ("ACG") and JEFF P. LIN ("LIN"), individually
and as designated officer of A C G Funding Inc. (sometimes

collectively referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Andrew

L. Leff, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Julie

L. To, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for

the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation

("Accusation") filed on August 22, 2011, in this matter:

evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation.

  Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of

expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation is made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.
- Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as her Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

26 | ///

- 23

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

- 8. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, Respondents agree to pay, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action. The amount of said cost is \$4,654.90.
- 9. Respondents have received, read, and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit." Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations have been corrected. The maximum cost of the subsequent audit will not exceed \$4,654.90.

22 | ///

23 | / / /

24 | ///

<sup>25</sup> ||///

26 | ///

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I.

The conduct of <u>A C G FUNDING INC.</u> as described in Paragraph 4, herein above, is in violation of Sections <u>10085</u>, <u>10145</u>, <u>10146</u>, <u>10160</u>, <u>10162</u>, and <u>10236.4</u> of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and Sections <u>2715</u>, <u>2753</u>, <u>2831</u>, <u>2831.2</u>, <u>2832</u>, <u>2835</u>, <u>2970</u> and <u>2972</u> of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") and is a basis for discipline of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Sections 10176(e) and 10177(d).

II.

The conduct of <u>JEFF P. LIN</u>, as described in Paragraph 4, above, constitutes a failure to keep A C G FUNDING INC. in compliance with the Real Estate Law during the time that he was the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, and is a basis for discipline of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h).

23 | ///

24 ///

25 | ///

26 ///

#### ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

I.

Q

The license and licensing rights of Respondents A C G
FUNDING INC. and JEFF P. LIN, under the Real Estate Law, are
suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective
date of this Decision.

- A. Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed for two (2) years upon condition that:
- 1. Each Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$83.33 per day for each day of the suspension for a monetary penalty of \$2,500 for each Respondent, or \$5,000 total.
- 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondents occur within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 4. If Respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any

part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

- 5. If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- B. The remaining sixty (60) days of the sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
- 1. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and
- after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

25 | ///

26 | ///

II.

\_

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and

Professions Code, Respondents A C G FUNDING INC. and JEFF P. LIN shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for (a) the audit which led to this disciplinary action and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondents are now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action is \$4,654.90. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the prior and subsequent audits shall not exceed \$9,309.80.

Respondents A C G FUNDING INC. and JEFF P. LIN, or either of them, shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

The Commissioner may suspend the licenses of
Respondents pending a hearing held in accordance with Section
11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely
made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent
agreement between said Respondents and the Commissioner. The
suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full
or until said Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to

the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

III.

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JEFF P LTN are indefinitely suspended unless or until Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Commissioner, of having taken and successfully completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of this requirement includes evidence that respondent has successfully completed the trust fund account and handling continuing education course within 120 days prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

IV.

Respondent JEFF P. LIN shall, within nine (9) months

from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence
satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has,
since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real
estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing
education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real
Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent
fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the
suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent presents such

. 7

evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

V.

Respondent JEFF P. LIN shall within six (6) months from

the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

DATED: 02-29-12

JULIE L. TO, Counsel for Department of Real Estate

\* \* \*

#### EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation, and have discussed it with our counsel. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in

defense and mitigation of the charges.

### MAILING AND FACSIMILE

Respondents (1) shall mail the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Julie L. To: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 60013-1105. Respondents shall also (2) facsimile a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Julie L. To.

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed stipulation.

DATED: Feb 22, 2012

KENT CHAO CHOW SHEN, as

designated officer of A C G Funding

LIN, as former designated

Inc., Respondent

Respondent

DATED: Forzary

23 24

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

DATED:

Attorney for Respondents

Approved as to form and content

officer of A C G Funding Inc.,

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby

adopted as my Decision as to Respondents A C G FUNDING INC. and

JEFF P. LIN, individually and as designated officer of A C G

FUNDING INC. and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

APR 13 2012

, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED 3/12, 2012.

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

- 12 -

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

FEB 0 6 2012

DEPARTM

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

A C G FUNDING INC.;

KELVIN K. CHEN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.; and

JEFF P. LIN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc.,

Respondents.

No. H-37465 LA

L-2011090592

### DISMISSAL

The Accusation herein filed on August 22, 2011 against Respondent, KELVIN K. CHEN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G Funding Inc., is DISMISSED.

> St day of January, 2012. IT IS SO ORDERED this

> > Barbara J. Bigby Acting Real Estate Commissioner

JULIE L. TO, SBN 219482 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 AUG 2 2 2011 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 3 DEPARTMENT OF MEAL ESTATE (213) 576-6916 (direct) Telephone: 4 (213) 576-6982 (office) -or-6 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-37465 LA 11 12 <u>ACCUSATION</u> A C G FUNDING INC.; 13 KELVIN K. CHEN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G 14 Funding Inc.; and 15 JEFF P. LIN, individually and formerly designated officer of A C G 16 Funding Inc. 17 Respondents. 18 The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate 19 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 20 against A C G FUNDING INC. and KELVIN K. CHEN and JEFF P. LIN, 21 both individually and as former designated officers of A C G 22

Funding Inc., alleges as follows:

23

24

25

26

27

111

111

111

\_ 1 \_

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to the California

Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations"

are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

## LICENSE HISTORY

3.

A. At all times mentioned, A C G FUNDING INC. (hereinafter "ACG" or "Respondent ACG"), KELVIN K. CHEN (hereinafter "CHEN" or "Respondent CHEN"), and JEFF P. LIN (hereinafter "LIN" or "Respondent LIN") were licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "Department").

B. ACG is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) as a corporate real estate broker.

Respondent ACG was originally licensed as a corporate real estate broker by the Department on or about August 15, 2007. At all times relevant herein, Respondent ACG was authorized to act by and through Respondent CHEN and/or Respondent LIN as its designated broker pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 10211 and 10159.2 to supervise the activities requiring a real estate license conducted on behalf of ACG and to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.

LIN expired as ACG's designated officer on or about May 11, 2009. CHEN expired as ACG's designated officer on or about July 6, 2010.

- B. Respondent CHEN is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a real estate broker. Respondent CHEN was originally licensed as a real estate broker by the Department on or about June 9, 2009. From June 9, 2009 to the July 6, 2010 CHEN was the designated officer for ACG pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.
- C. Respondent LIN is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a real estate broker. Respondent LIN was originally licensed as a real estate broker by the Department on or about March 20, 2003. LIN was the designated officer for ACG pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law, from August 15, 2007 to May 11, 2009.

#### BROKERAGE

At all times mentioned, in City of Industry, County of Los Angeles, Respondents ACG, CHEN, and LIN acted as real estate brokers and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of:

A. Code Section 10131(d): ACG operated a mortgage and loan brokerage engaging in activities with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly

- 3 -

or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected in advance.

B. Code Section 10131(d) and 10131.2. ACG advertised,

B. Code Section 10131(d) and 10131.2. ACG advertised, solicited and offered to provide loan modification services to economically distressed homeowners seeking adjustments to the terms and conditions of their home loans including, but not limited to, repayment plans, forbearance plans, partial claims, and reduction in principal or interest, extenuations, foreclosure prevention and short sales.

5.

All further references to "Respondents" herein include the parties identified in Paragraphs 3 and 4, above, and also include the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licenses employed by or associated with said parties and who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

Respondent CHEN and/or Respondent LIN ordered, caused, authorized or participated in the conduct of Respondent ACG as is alleged in this Accusation.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION .

(Audit of A C G FUNDING INC.)

7.

On March 10, 2010, the Department completed an audit

examination of the books and records of ACG pertaining to the mortgage loan brokerage and loan modification activities described in Paragraph 4, above, which require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 090046 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

8.

At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 4, above, ACG accepted or received funds including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties, economically distressed homeowner-borrowers for credit and appraisal report fees, advance fees and loan modifications and mortgage loans handled by ACG, for the borrowers set forth below. Thereafter ACG made deposits and/or disbursements of such trust funds. From time to time herein mentioned during the audit period, said credit and appraisal fees were deposited and/or maintained by ACG in this account, as ACG did not utilize a separate trust account during the audit period:

A C G Funding Inc.

24 Account No. \*\*\*\*-\*83-9

25 | American Continental Bank

|| 17700 Castleton Street, Suite 100

27 | City of Industry, CA 91748

("AC #1" - ACG's general business account was used for deposit of all fees collected pertaining to its real estate activities, including advance fees collected from borrowers for loan modification transactions, during the audit period. A separate trust account was opened on October 30, 2009, but no trust funds have been deposited into the account.

Borrower	Date Received	Date Deposited	Check No	\$Amount
Wendong Wang	03/16/09	03/17/09	159	\$1,000
Robert Moy	01/10/09	unknown	2145	500
Robert Moy	03/24/09	03/28/09	2094	1,500
John H. Chang	11/24/08	unknown	1737	1,000
Allen Wu Zephyr	12/16/08	unknown	353	1,000
Tai Hsin Liu	12/08/08	unknown	096	1,000

1.0

#### Violations

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 8, above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph 7, Respondents ACG, CHEN and/or LIN acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that Respondents:

(a) Permitted, allowed or caused the mixing and commingling of advance fees collected from homeowner-borrowers seeking modifications to their existing home loans, with ACG's general bank account, AC #1, without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owners of said funds, in violation of Code Sections 10145(a) and 10176(e) and Regulation 2835 (Respondent LIN was the broker responsible for these acts.);

(b) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record in chronological order for each deposit of advance fees to 2 the general business account, AC#1, for loan modification services, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831 (Respondent LIN was the broker responsible for these acts.); (c) Failed to place advance fees received for loan 6 modification services and for mortgage loan brokerage into a trust fund in the name of the broker, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832(a) ((Respondent LIN was the 10 broker responsible for these acts.); 11 (d) Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the balance of all receipts and disbursements with the total balance 12 of the separate records maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all trust funds received and disbursed by AC #1 in the form of advance fees for loan modification services and for mortgage loan brokerage services, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2 (Respondent LIN was the 17 18 broker responsible for these acts.); (e) Collected advance fees within the meaning of Code 19 20 Section 10026 from homeowner-borrowers seeking loan modification services wherein ACG failed to provide homeowner-borrowers a pre-21 approved advance fee agreement by the Commissioner, in violation 22 of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970 (Respondent LIN was the 23 .24 broker responsible for these acts.); 25 (f) Collected advance fees within the meaning of Code 26 Section 10026 from homeowner-borrowers seeking loan modification 27 services and deposited the funds into its general business

account, AC #1, which was not in broker LIN or CHEN's name as trustee and was not designated as a trust account, in violation of Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972 (Respondent LIN was the broker responsible for these acts.);

- (g) Collected advance fees within the meaning of Code
  Section 10026 from homeowner-borrowers seeking loan modification
  services and failed to maintain an accounting with: a description
  of services to be rendered, to which trust accounts the funds
  were to be deposited, or a description of the allocation and
  disbursement of the amount collected as the advance fee for each
  loan modification, in violation of Code Section 10146 and
  Regulation 2972 (Respondent LIN was the broker responsible for
  these acts.);
- (h) With reference to the mortgage loan disclosure statements required by Code Section 10232.4, failed to disclose the corporation name and the license number on the disclosure statements, in violation of Code Section 10236.4 (Respondent LIN and Respondent CHEN were both responsible for these acts.);
- (i) Failed to make available for examination and copying the original license certificates of salespersons under its employ, in violation of Code Section 10160 and Regulation 2753 (Respondent LIN and Respondent CHEN were both responsible for these acts.);
- (j) Failed to notify the Department of the change of its main office address, in violation of Code Section 10162 and Regulation 2715 (Respondent LIN and Respondent CHEN were both responsible for these acts.); and

- 8 -

(k) CHEN and LIN Failed to exercise reasonable control and supervision over the activities conducted on behalf of ACG and its employees to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law, in violation of Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725 (Respondent LIN and Respondent CHEN were both responsible for these acts.).

## Disciplinary Statutes

10.

The conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN described in Paragraph 9, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

13	PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED		
14	9(a)	Code Sections 10145(a), and 10176(e) and 2835 (ACG, LIN)	
16 17			
18 19	9 (b)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831 (ACG, LIN)	
20		2031 (ACG, DIN)	
22	9(c)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation	
23 24		2832(a) (ACG, LIN)	
25			
26.		·	

· 1	1		•
1	9(đ)		Code Section 10145 and Regulation
2			2831.2 (ACG, LIN)
3			·
4	9(e)	٠,	Code Section 10085 and Regulation
5			2970 (ACG, LIN)
6		•	
7	9(f)		Code Section 10146 and
8			Regulation 2972 (ACG, LIN)
9			·
10	9 (g)		Code Section 10146 and Regulation
11			2972 (ACG, LIN)
12			
13	9 (h)		Code Section 10236.4 (ACG, CHEN,
14			LIN)
15			
16	9(主)		Code Section 10160 and Regulation
17			2753 (ACG, CHEN, LIN)
18		•	7.7.7.1.4.01.60
19	9 (j)		Code Section 10162 and
20			Regulation 2715 (ACG, CHEN)
21			
22	9 (k)	•	Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation
23	•		2725 (CHEN, LIN)
24			
25	•		titute cause for discipline of the
26	1		se rights of ACG, CHEN and LIN, as
27	aforesaid, under	the provision	ns of Code Sections 10176(e) for
	<i>i</i> I		•

commingling; 10177(d) for violation of the Real Estate Law; 10177(h) for failure to supervise by CHEN and LIN; and/or 10177(g) for negligence.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Loan Modification Services)

11.

At all times mentioned herein, Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN engaged in the business of a loan modification and advance fee brokerage, within the definition of Code Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2.

## General Allegations

12.

During 2008 and continuing thereafter to date, ACG, CHEN and LIN solicited economically distressed homeowners facing foreclosure and eviction from their homes, offered loan modification serviced, and charged and collected advance fees.

## Specific Allegations

13.

Using the name "A C G FUNDING INC." Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN offered loss mitigation and loan modification services to homeowner-borrowers seeking downward adjustments or payment extenuations to their home mortgages. Respondents collected \$20,500.00 in advanced fees from twenty-two (22) homeowner-borrowers without possessing a pre-approved advance fee

27

26

2

3

5

6

7

R

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

agreement from the Department.

## Loan Modification Violations

14.

The conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below with respect to the homeowner-borrowers set forth above:

15.

The overall conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN with respect to homeowner-borrowers seeking loan modification services constitutes a willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(d).

The overall conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN with respect to homeowner-borrowers seeking loan modification services constitutes negligence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).

#### Negligence

The overall conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN constitutes negligence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of

16.

Code Section 10177(g).

2

3

1

## Breach of Fiduciary Duty

4 5

6

7

The overall conduct of Respondents ACG, CHEN and LIN constitutes a breach of fiduciary duty. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(g).

18.

17.

#### Failure to Supervise

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

11

The overall conduct of Respondents CHEN and LIN constitutes a failure on Respondents' part, as officers designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of ACG, as required by Code Sections 10159.2 and 10211, and to keep ACG in compliance with the Real Estate Law, with specific regard to loan modifications services and advance fee handling, requiring a real estate license and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of ACG, CHEN and LIN pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).

24

111 25

111

111 26

///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents A C G FUNDING INC., KELVIN K. CHEN and JEFF P. LIN, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of vision 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law including restitution of advanced fees paid for unearned loan modifications, and for costs of audit.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this / tal day of

2011

MARIA SUAREZ

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

17

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2021

22

\_\_

24

23 || CC:

- 11

\_\_ |

25

26

27

c: A C G FUNDING INC. c/o Kent Chao Chow

c/o Kent Chao Chow Shen, D.O.
Jeff P. Lin

Kelvin K. Chen Maria Suarez Sacto

Alyxander K. Canlas

Audits - Anna Hartoonian