1 2 3 4	Los Angeles, California 90013	FILED MAR 3 0 2012 RTMENT OF REAL-ESTATE
5 6 7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL	. ESTATE
8 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11		E Case No. H-37361 LA H Case No. L-2011090545
12	AFFORDABLE HOME ASSISTANCE.COM) a corporate real estate broker;) THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI,)	
14	of Affordable Home Assistance.com;) AGI ALI SHAH; and)	<u>PULATION AND</u> REEMENT
16	BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON;) Respondents.	· · · ·
17 18	It is hereby stipulated by and between BRITTAN (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent"), represented in t	
19	Attorney at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through M	artha J. Rosett, Counsel for the
21	Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling Accusation filed on June 28, 2011 in this matter:	and disposing of the
22 23	1. All issues which were to be contested and all oppresented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing o	
24	hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the	Administrative Procedure Act
26	(APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on this Stipulation and Agreement.	the basis of the provisions of
27	- 1 -	

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent,
 the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real
 Estate in this proceeding.

3. On August 31, 2011, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to 4 Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the 5 allegations in the Accusation. In order to effectuate this settlement, Respondent hereby freely 6 and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she 7 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, she will thereby waive her right to 8 require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held 9 in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that she will waive other rights afforded to 10 her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 11 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. 12

4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not
admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations
contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall
not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made
pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to
any further administrative proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any
matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

- 2 -

	7. This Stimulation and Desmandant's desision not to contact the Assuration and
1	7. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation are
2	made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding, and are expressly
3	limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real
4	Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state, or of the federal
5	government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil
6	proceedings.
7	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
8	By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose
9	of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the
10	following Determination of Issues shall be made:
11	The conduct, acts or omissions of Respondent BRITTANY LEANNA
12	WILSON, as set forth in the Accusation pertaining to Business and Professions Code Section
13	10130, constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and licensing rights of
14	Respondent BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON under the provisions of that section.
15	ORDER
16	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
17	All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON
18	under the Real Estate Law are revoked as of the effective date of this Decisiont; provided,
19	however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to
20	Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor
21	and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90.
22	days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be
23	subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to
24	the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6
25	of that Code:
26	1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing
27	by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of
	- 3 -

nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a
 real estate licensee.

2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing
 by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that
 Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands
 Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted
 license.

<u>8</u> <u>3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted</u>
 9 real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a
 10 restricted license until two years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

<u>4. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing</u> broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:

a. That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which
 granted the right to a restricted license; and

b. That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the
performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is
required.

5. Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this Decision, 20 present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the 21 most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully 22 completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate 23 Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the 24 Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents 25 such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing 26 pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 27

- 4

6. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

DATED: 420/12 8 9

Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

25

26

27

///

///

 $\parallel \parallel$

///

///

///

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5 -



Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees. acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

DATED: /19/2012

Respondent

JOSEPH BALZER. Attorney at Law Counsel for Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noor, on

 $\phi < \phi$

IT IS SO ORDERED

BARBARA BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner p.1

•	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement. DATED:
11	Respondent
12 13 14 15	DATED: JOSEPH BALZER, Attorney at Law Counsel for Respondent * * *
16 17 18	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on <u>April 19, 2012.</u>
19 20	IT IS SO ORDERED $3/12/12$. BARBARA BIGBY
21 22	Acting Real Estate Commissioner
23	Carnera HOJAZ
24	
25 26	
27	
	- 6 -

	п
5	
1 2 3	Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, #350 Los Angeles, California 90013 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4 [.]	By_C-
5.	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
. 12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE Case No. H-37361 LA) OAH Case No. L-2011090545
13	AFFORDABLE HOME ASSISTANCE.COM) a corporate real estate broker;) <u>STIPULATION AND</u>
14	<u>THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI</u> ,) <u>AGREEMENT</u> individually and as former designated officer)
15	of Affordable Home Assistance.com;)
16	ALI SHAH; and BRITTANY WILSON;)
17	Respondents)
18	
19	It is hereby stipulated by and between THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI
20	(sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent"), represented by Steven L. Simas, Attorney at
21	Law, in this matter, and the Complainant, acting by and through Martha J. Rosett, Counsel for
22	the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the
23	Accusation filed on June 28, 2011 in this matter:
24	1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be
25	presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which
25 26	hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act
27	

SAC.

(APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

27

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent,
the Discovery Provisions of the APA, the Accusation and the Supplemental Accusation filed
by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

3. On August 9, 2011, Respondent filed a Special Notice of Defense pursuant 6 to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the 7 allegations in the Accusation. In order to effectuate this settlement, Respondent hereby freely 8 and voluntarily withdraws said Special Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he 9 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will thereby waive his right to 10 require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held 11 in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to 12 him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 13 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. 14

4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not
 admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations
 contained in the First Supplemental Accusation and Fourth cause for discipline filed in this
 proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence
 of such allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

- 2 -

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

7. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation are
made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding, and are expressly
limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real
Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state, or of the federal
government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil
proceedings.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

11

27

The conduct, acts or omissions of Respondent THOMAS AARON
SIGNORELLI, as set forth in the First Supplemental Accusation, constitute cause to suspend
or revoke the real estate license and licensing rights of Respondent THOMAS AARON
SIGNORELLI under the provisions of Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section
<u>10178</u>, 10177(g), 10177(d), and <u>10162</u>.

20	ORDER
21	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
22	A. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent THOMAS AARON
23	SIGNORELLI under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from
24	the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that sixty (60) days of said suspension
25	shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
26	

- 3

 1
 1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the

 2
 Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100 per day for each day of the suspension, for a

 3
 total monetary penalty of \$6,000.00.

2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check
made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received
by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

7 3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of
 8 Respondent occurs within two years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

<u>4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms</u>
and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate
execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be
entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department
under the terms of this Decision.

14B. As to the remaining thirty (30) days of the ninety (90) day suspension, said15thirty (30) days shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:

 16
 1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights,

 17
 duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and

2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon
 stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date
 of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his
 discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed
 suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become
 permanent.

<u>C. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this Decision,</u>
 take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department
 including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this

27

condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent
 passes the examination.

<u>D. All licenses and license rights of Respondent SIGNORELLI are indefinitely</u> suspended unless or until Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Commissioner, of having taken and successfully completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of this requirement includes evidence that Respondent has successfully completed the trust fund account and handling continuing education course within 120 days prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

10 DATED: WU 11 12

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MARTHA J. ROSETT Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

DATED: 1/10. 4 2011.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED: 101 4 201

THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI Respondent

Attorney for Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in

this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on ________ January 17, 2012

12/16/11 IT IS SO ORDERED

BARBARA BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

`1 2	MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St. #350
3	Los Angeles, CA 90013
4 5 6 7	(213) 576-6982 (213) 620-6430 DEPARTMENT OF FRAL ESTATE BY:
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * *
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE Case No. H-37361 LA) OAH Case No. L-2011090545
13 14	AFFORDABLE HOME ASSISTANCE.COM)a corporate real estate broker;THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI,A C C U S A T I O N
15	individually and as former designated officer) of Affordable Home Assistance.com;) ALI SHAH; and BRITTANY WILSON;)
16 17	Respondents.
18	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, hereby supplements and amends the Accusation
19	filed herein on June 27, 2011, as follows:
20 21	A Fourth Cause of Accusation, is hereby added to read:
22	44.
23	There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct cause of
24	Accusation, all of the allegations contained in the preamble and the First, Second and Third
25	Causes of Accusation.
26 27	///
	- 1 -
I	

5 AU.

As set forth above, Respondent SIGNROELLI is licensed by the Department as a

2	As set forth above, Respondent SIGNROELLI is licensed by the Department as a
3	real estate broker. He has been licensed by the Department as a broker since April 4, 2004.
4	46.
5	Flex Pay Home Loans Inc. ("Flex Pay") is a California Corporation. Between on
6	or about June 21, 2005 and June 20, 2009, Flex Pay was licensed by the Department as a
7	corporate real estate broker. Respondent SIGNORELLI was the broker-officer for Flex Pay
8	designated pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 to be responsible for supervising the employees
10	and agents of Flex Pay to ensure compliance with the real estate laws.
11	47.
12	Respondent SHAH was an officer of Flex Pay. Beginning on or about June 22,
13	2005 and continuing through on or about December 17, 2008, Flex Pay was Respondent SHAH's
14	employing broker of record. As the designated broker-officer of Flex Pay, Respondent
15	SIGNORELLI was responsible for supervising Respondent SHAH's real estate salesperson
16	activities.
17 18	48.
19	On or before October 1, 2008, Respondent SIGNORELLI discovered that his
20	name was listed in public real estate licensing records as the designated broker-officer for
21	Respondent AHA. SIGNORELLI discussed the matter with SHAH and told SHAH to
22	discontinue using SIGNORELLI's name in association with AHA. At a time prior to December
23	17, 2008, Respondent SIGNORELLI learned that the Department was investigating the real
24	estate activities of AHA. On December 17, 2008, SIGNORELLI submitted a Salesperson
25	Change Application to the Department notifying the Department that Flex Pay's employment of
26 27	Change Appreasion to the Department notifying the Department that they i ay semployment of
,	

- 2 -

Respondent SHAH was discontinued as of that date. Respondent SIGNORELLI did not notify 1 the Department, pursuant to a certified statement of facts, of the reasons for SHAH's termination 2 from Flex Pay. Nor did Respondent SIGNORELLI submit a certified statement of facts to the 3 4 Department detailing the circumstances pursuant to which he believed his name had been 5 fraudulently associated with AHA. 6 49. 7 As designated broker-officer of Flex Pay, Respondent SIGNORELLI was 8 responsible for employing salespersons, maintaining proper broker-salesperson agreements with 9 them, and providing the Department with records of employment. SIGNORELLI was required 10 to notify the Department on appropriate forms upon hiring new salespersons, and upon 11 12 termination of salespersons from employment. In addition, pursuant to Code Section 10178, 13 SIGNORELLI was required to notify the Department in writing of the details anytime a licensed 14 salesperson was terminated for misconduct. 15 50. 16 On or about April 1, 2011, representatives of the Department went to the location 17 listed as Respondent SIGNORELLI's main office address of record, 383 Diablo Rd. #100, 18 Danville, CA 94562. The representatives discovered that Respondent's office was no longer 19 20 located at that address. As of the date of filing this Supplemental Accusation, Respondent has 21 not updated his licensing records with the Department, and has not provided the Department with 22 the location and address of his main office out of which he conducts real estate business in this 23 State. 24 51. 25 The conduct, acts, and/or omissions of Respondent SIGNORELLI, in failing to 26 27 3

notify the Department in a certified, written statement of facts, the circumstances under which SHAH was terminated from the employ of Flex Pay, as set forth above, constitutes grounds to revoke or suspend the real estate license and license rights of Respondent SIGNORELLI pursuant to Code Sections 10178, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

52.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent SIGNORELLI, in failing to notify the Department of the definite place of business where his license is displayed for conducting real estate transactions constitutes grounds to revoke or suspend the real estate license of Respondent SIGNORELLI pursuant to Regulation 2715, and Code Sections 10162, 10165, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents AFFORDABLE HOME ASSISTANCE.COM, THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI, ALI SHAH and BRITTANY WILSON under the Real Estate Law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

20 this <u>4</u> day of <u>November</u>, 2011. 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Robin Trujillo (/ Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

- 4

	l		
1		· · -	
2	cc:	Affordable Home Assistance.com Thomas Aaron Signorelli	
3		Thomas Aaron Signorelli Ali Shah Britterer Wilcor	
4		Brittany Wilson Robin Trujillo	
5		Sacto. Audits	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
		- 5	_
	11		

Į

, AL .	
1 2 3 4	MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St. #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 (213) 576-6982 (213) 620-6430
5	
6	
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-37361 LA
12)
13	a corporate real estate broker;
14	THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI,) individually and as former designated officer)
15	of Affordable Home Assistance.com;) ALI SHAH; and BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON,)
16) Respondents.)
17)
18	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the
19	
20	State of California, for cause of Accusation against AFFORDABLE HOME
21	ASSISTANCE.COM; THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI, individually and as former designated
22	officer of Affordable Home Assistance.com; ALI SHAH, and BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON
23	(also collectively referred to herein as "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:
24	1.
25	Complainant makes this Accusation in her official capacity.
26	///
27	- 1 -

1	2.
2	Respondent AFFORDABLE HOME ASSISTANCE.COM ("AHA") is licensed
3	and/or has license rights as a corporate real estate broker under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of
4	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"). AHA was originally
5	licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California ("Department") on or about
6	August 28, 2008. Respondent THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI is the broker-officer
7	designated pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 to be responsible for ensuring AHA's compliance
8 9	with the Real Estate Law.
10	. 3.
11	Respondent AHA is a California corporation, incorporated on or about
12	July 7, 2008. At all times relevant herein, Respondent ALI SHAH was a 75% owner of AHA,
13	Respondent BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON was treasurer, and Chris Howder was the
14	president, CEO and 25% owner of AHA. At all times, AHA's address of record with the
15	Department and with the Secretary of State was, and now is, 3158 Redhill Ave., Suite 200B,
16 17	Costa Mesa, CA 92626.
18	4.
19	Respondent THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI ("SIGNORELLI") was and now
20	is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker. Respondent SIGNORELLI was first
21	licensed as a broker on or about April 27, 2004. Respondent SIGNORELLI is, and at all times
22	relevant, was the designated broker of record for Respondent AHA, as well as of several other
23 24	real estate corporations, including Flex Pay Home Loans Inc.
24	///
26	///
27	///
1	- 2 -
I	

	5.
1	Respondent ALI SHAH, formerly known as Ali Shahvelayati ("SHAH") was
3	licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson. SHAH's license expired on
4	December 11, 2010. The Department retains jurisdiction pursuant to Code Section 10103.
5	At all times, SHAH's address of record with the Department is and was 3158 Red Hill Ave.,
6	Suite 200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.
7	a. Respondent SHAH was first licensed by the Department on or about
8	December 12, 2002, in the employ of Sadak Inc.
9	b. From June 22, 2005 to December 16, 2008, SHAH was employed as a
10	salesperson by Flex Pay Home Loans Inc., a corporate real estate broker.
12	SHAH was an officer with an ownership interest in Flex Pay Home Loans Inc.
13	During this same period of time, SIGNORELLI was the designated broker-
14	officer of Flex Pay Home Loans Inc.
15	c. SHAH is not currently employed by a supervising broker.
16	6.
17	BRITTANY LEANNA WILSON ("WILSON") is licensed by the Department as
18 19	a real estate salesperson. WILSON was first licensed by the Department as a real estate
20	salesperson on or about October 20, 2008.
21	7.
22	
23	Christopher Howder ("Howder") is not now and has never been licensed by the
24	Department in any capacity. At times relevant herein, Howder was a 25% owner and manager of
25	AHA.
26	///
27	///
	- 3 -

,

•

1 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 2 omission of one or more of the individuals or entities listed in Paragraphs 1 through 6 above. 3 4 such allegation includes the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees 5 employed by or associated with those individuals or entities while acting within the course and 6 scope of their employment. 7 9. 8 At all times mentioned herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in 9 the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the meaning of 10 Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2 of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation. Respondents 11 12 offered to provide borrowers with loan modification services in exchange for payment of 13 advance fees within the meaning of Code Sections 10026 and 10085. 14 Desist and Refrain Order (H-35619 LA) 15 10. 16 On or about January 15, 2009, in Department of Real Estate Case No. 17 H-35619 LA, the Commissioner issued a Desist and Refrain Order against Respondents AHA 18 and SIGNORELLI, ordering them to desist and refrain from collecting advance fees unless and 19 20 until they do so pursuant to written agreements that comply with Code Section 10085 and 21 Regulation 2970. In addition, Mark B. Russell and Alex Sadak, unlicensed agents of AHA, were 22 ordered to desist and refrain from engaging in activities requiring a real estate broker license 23 unless and until they obtained real estate broker licenses, or were licensed as salespersons and 24 employed by a supervising real estate broker. 25 //// 26 27 ///

8.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 1 (Unlicensed Loan Modification Activities and Advance Fee Violations) 2 11. 3 Beginning on or before July 7, 2008, and continuing through on or after 4 January 31, 2009, Respondents engaged in the business of representing borrowers in negotiating 5 and modifying terms of loans and in obtaining mortgage loans. Respondents also collected 6 advance fees within the meaning of Code Sections 10026 and 10131.2, pursuant to written 7 8 agreements which constituted advance fee agreements within the meaning of Code Section 9 10085. 10 12. 11 Respondents did not, at any time prior to collecting advance fees from clients, 12 submit an advance fee agreement to the Commissioner for review, and have never received a "no 13 objection" letter from the Department regarding the use of such an agreement. 14 13. 15 16 Between on or before July 7, 2008 and January 31, 2009, Respondents collected 17 in excess of \$46,511.00 in advance fees from clients. These funds were not placed into a trust 18 account, and trust accounting records were not maintained properly as required under the Real 19 Estate Law. In many cases, Respondents did not perform services as promised and refused to 20 refund advance fees collected. 21 Diane B. 22 23 14. 24 In September, 2008, Respondents solicited consumer Diane B. ("Borrower DB") 25 through advertising on the internet, and offered to provide loan modification services in 26 exchange for the payment of an up front fee. On or about September 21, 2008, Mark B. Russell, 27 5 -

1 2 3	acting as an agent of AHA, solicited an advance fee of \$1,995.00 from Borrower DB, which she paid. Borrower DB subsequently received loan modification documents, including an agreement
2	paid. Borrower DB subsequently received loan modification documents, including an agreement
3	
4	that set forth terms of loan modification services to be provided by AHA, including payment of
	additional monthly fees. The agreement identified Respondent WILSON as AHA's
5	representative.
6	15.
7	On or about September 23, 2008, Borrower DB contacted Respondents by phone
8	and by fax notifying them that she wished to cancel that transaction, and requesting a refund of
9	the money paid. Borrower DB subsequently spoke several times to representatives of AHA,
	including to an agent who identified himself as Alex Sadak. Respondents never performed any
	services for Borrower DB and never refunded or accounted for any of the money paid.
13	16.
14	Alex Sadak was not, and has never been, licensed by the Department in any
15	
16	capacity. In September, 2008, Mark B. Russell was licensed as a real estate salesperson, and his
-	employing broker of record was 20 th Century Real Estate Inc. Russell was, therefore, not
18	licensed to conduct activities requiring a real estate license under the employ of AHA or
• 19	SIGNORELLI. Respondent WILSON was not licensed as a salesperson or broker in September,
	2008.
21	17.
22	The written agreement between Respondents and Borrower DB was not submitted
23 24	to or reviewed by the Department prior to use, and did not set forth a specific date for full
	performance of the services promised.
27	///
	- 6 -

•

•

•

Francisco G.

1	<u>174//060000.</u>
2	18.
3	In September, 2008, Respondents, through AHA unlicensed agent Alex Sadak,
4	solicited borrower Francisco G. ("Borrower FG"), and offered to assist him in modifying the
5	terms of existing mortgage loan debt. On or about September 8, 2008, Borrower FG paid
6	Respondents an advance fee of \$2,495.00. Respondents emailed Borrower FG a contract, which
7	contained a signature line for Respondent WILSON as representative of AHA.
8 9	19.
10	On September 11, 2008, Borrower FG faxed Respondents a signed cancellation
11	form. Respondents acknowledged receipt of the cancellation form, but did not perform any
12	services for Borrower FG, and did not refund or account for the advance fee paid.
13	20.
14	At the time he handled Borrower FG's loan modification transaction, Alex Sadak
15	was not, and has never been, licensed by the Department in any capacity. In September, 2008,
16 17	Respondent WILSON was not licensed by the Department in any capacity. The written
18	agreement between Respondents and Borrower FG was not submitted to or reviewed by the
19	Department prior to use, and did not set forth a specific date for full performance of the services
20	promised under the agreement.
21	21.
22	Additional examples of borrowers from whom Respondents collected advance
23	fees for loan modification services pursuant to agreements which were not submitted to the
24 25	Department for review include:
25	///
27	.///

- 7 -

1	Borrower	Agreement Date	Date Fee Received	Amount
2	Diane B.	9/23/08	9/23/08	\$2,000.00
3	Francisco G.	9/8/08	9/9/08	\$2,495.00
4	Ana V.	7/10/08	7/14-8/15/08	\$3,500.00
5	Nickolas A.	6/30/08	6/30/08	\$1,995.00
6	Willie D.	8/2/08	8/2/08	\$1,996.00
7	Peter & Maura L.	9/4/08	9/4/08	\$1,000.00
9	Chris S.	8/13/08	8/13/08	\$1,995.00
10	Bruce B.	10/24/08	11/22/08	\$3,000.00
11	Joan W.	9/30/08	9/30/08	\$ 995.00
12	Deborah M.	12/3/08	12/3/08-1/20/09	\$2,500.00
13	Beverly C.	11/6/08	11/5/08	\$1,200.00
14 15	Douglas & Carol P.	11/23/08	12/01/08	\$2,000.00
15	22.			
10	Respondent AHA was not licensed by the Department in any capacity prior to			city prior to
18	August 28, 2008. In relation to its loan modification business, Respondent AHA engaged in			engaged in
19	activities requiring a real estate license prior to August 28; 2008. Examples include, but are not			
20	limited to, collecting advance fees for loan modification services from Nickolas A. on or about			
21	June 30, 2008, collecting advance fees for loan modification services from Willie D. on or about			
22	August 2, 2008, and collecting advance fees for loan modification services from Chris S. on or			Chris S. on or
23 24	about August 13, 2008.			
24		23.		

Beginning on or about August 28, 2008, Respondents AHA, acting by and 26 27 through SIGNORELLI as designated broker officer, employed individuals to perform activities

- 8 -

requiring a real estate license when those individuals were not licensed by the Department in any 1 capacity, and/or were salespersons employed by brokers other than AHA or SIGNORELLI. 2 Specifically, Respondents employed Alex Sadak, Amin Anotio Arhami, and Dave Lewis, to 3 4 conduct activities requiring a real estate license when none of these individuals have ever been 5 licensed by the Department in any capacity. Respondents compensated Christopher Howder to 6 manage the office and real estate activities of the corporation, when Christopher Howder has 7 never been licensed by the Department in any capacity. Respondents employed Respondent 8 WILSON to conduct activities requiring a real estate license prior to her licensure as a real estate 9 salesperson on October 28, 2008. 10 24. 11 12 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents AHA and SIGNORELLI, as 13 set forth in Paragraphs 11 through 23, above, in collecting advance fees from prospective 14 borrowers pursuant to a written fee agreement, which agreement was not submitted to the 15 Department for review prior to use, was in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 16 2970, and constitutes grounds to discipline the licenses and license rights of Respondents AHA 17 and SIGNORELLI pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10176(i), 10177(j) and/or 10177(g). 18 25. 19 20 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents AHA and SIGNORELLI, in 21 employing and compensating unlicensed individuals to perform acts requiring a real estate 22 license constitutes grounds to discipline the licenses and license rights of Respondents AHA and 23 SIGNORELLI pursuant to Code Sections 10137, 10177(d), 10176(i), 10177(j) and/or 10177(g). 24 26. 25 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent AHA in engaging in activities 26 27 requiring a real estate broker license when it was not licensed as a real estate broker constitutes - 9 -

1	grounds to discipline the license and license rights of Respondent AHA pursuant to Code
2	Sections 10130 and 10137.
3	27.
4	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent WILSON in engaging in
5	activities requiring a real estate broker license when she was not licensed as a real estate broker
6	or as a salesperson acting under the supervision of her employing broker constitutes grounds to
7	discipline the license and license rights of Respondent WILSON pursuant to Code Sections
8	10130, 10137 and 10177(d).
9	28.
11	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent SIGNORELLI, in failing to
12	exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of the agents and employees of AHA for
13	which a real estate license is required, was in violation of Code Section 10159.2 and constitutes
14	grounds to discipline the license and license rights of Respondent SIGNORELLI pursuant to
15	Code Sections 10177(h), 10177(d), 10176 (i) and/or 10177(g).
16	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
17	(Audit Violations-LA 080156)
18	29.
19	There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct Cause of
20 21	Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23 above, inclusive, with the
21	same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.
23	30.
24	On or about February 19, 2009, the Department completed its examination of
25	Respondent AHA's books and records pertaining to the mortgage lending activities described in
26	Paragraph 9 above, covering a period from approximately June 1, 2008 to January 31, 2009. The
27	r aragraph 9 above, covering a period from approximatery sure 1, 2006 to sandary 51, 2009. The
I	

.

.

.

.(

1	primary purpose of the examination was to determine Respondent's compliance with the Real		
2	Estate Law. The examination, Audit No. LA 080156, revealed violations of the Code and Title		
3	10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), as set forth below, and as		
4	more specifically set forth in the Audit Report and Exhibits attached thereto.		
5	31.		
6	During the examination period, Respondent AHA, and supervising broker		
7	SIGNORELLI, did not maintain a trust account. Rather, funds, including trust funds, were		
8 9	deposited into and/or disbursed from one of the following three general business accounts:		
10	a. <u>"General Account 1 (G/A 1)"</u> : This business checking account, account		
11	number xxxxxx9173, was named, "Christopher J. Howder," and was		
12	maintained at the Bank of America, South Coast Center Br., P.O. Box 37176,		
13	San Francisco, CA 94137-0001. Advance fees for loan modification services		
14	were deposited into this account. Disbursements were made for general		
15	business and personal expenses of the account holder.		
16 17	b. <u>"General Account 2 (G/A 2)"</u> : This account, account number xxxxxx925-9,		
18	was named "Affordable Home Assistance.com" and was maintained at		
19	Washington Mutual, P.O. Box 660022, Dallas, Texas. Advance fees for loan		
20	modification services were deposited into this account. Disbursements were		
21	made for general business and personal expenses of the account holder.		
22	c. <u>"General Account 3 (G/A 3)"</u> : This account, account number xxxxxx924-1,		
23 24	was named, "Affordable Home Assistance.com.," and was maintained at		
24	Washington Mutual Bank, P.O. Box 660022, Dallas, Texas. Advanced fees		
26	for loan modification services were deposited into this account.		
27	Disbursements were made for general business and personal expenses of the		
	- 11 -		

||

account holder.

1

2

32.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 9 and 31 above, and during the 3 examination period described in Paragraph 29, Respondents acted in violation of the Code and 4 5 the Regulations in that: 6 a) AHA collected up front, advance fees from borrowers for loan modification 7 services. During the audit period, AHA collected advance fees ranging from approximately 8 \$2,490.00 to \$5,370.00 per transaction, with more than \$46,511.00 collected from over ninety-9 three borrowers. Respondents failed to provide borrowers (beneficiaries) with accountings for 10 the advance fees collected (trust funds). The advance fees were deposited into AHA's general 11 business accounts, which were not set up as trust accounts. AHA's handling of the advance fees 12 13 collected, placing them into general accounts without providing accountings to the borrowers, 14 was in violation of Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972. 15 b) The advance fee agreement used by AHA in connection with its loan 16 modification activity during the audit period was not submitted to the Department for approval 17 prior to collecting advance fees, in violation of Code Sections 10026 and 10085 and Regulation 18 2970. 19 20 c) By placing advance fees into general accounts, Respondent AHA commingled 21 trust funds, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e), and Regulation 2832. 22 d) AHA did not maintain a control record for the advance fees collected, in 23 violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831. 24 e) AHA did not maintain a separate record for each beneficiary of trust funds 25 collected (advance fees), in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1. 26 27 ///

- 12 -

1		ne monthly reconciliation of the separate records to the	
2	control record of all trust funds received a	nd disbursed during the audit period, in violation of	
3	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.	2.	
4	g) AHA and SIGNORELL	I allowed Christopher Howder, who was unlicensed, to	
5	be a signatory on the general accounts into	o which trust funds were placed without fidelity bond	
6	coverage. SIGNORELLI was not a signer	r on the accounts. These were violations of Code	
7	Section 10145 and Regulation 2834.		
8	h) AHA did not retain and	make all books and records used for the handling of	
9 10	advance fees during the audit period available for the audit, in violation of Code Section 10148.		
11	i) SIGNORELLI did not exercise adequate supervision over the activities of		
12	AHA to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law, in violation of Code Sections 10159.2 and		
13	10177(h), and Regulation 2725.		
14	j) AHA was engaged in activities requiring a real estate license prior to being		
15	licensed in August, 2008, in violation of Code Section 10130.		
16	33.		
17			
18	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents AHA and SIGNORELLI, as		
19	described in Paragraph 32, above, violated the Code and Regulations in the following ways:		
20	<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED	
21	32(a)	Code Section 10146 and 2972	
22 23	32(b)	Code Sections 10026 and 10085, and Regulation 2970	
24 25	32(c)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832	
25 26	32(d)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831	
27	32(e)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1	
	- 13 -		

•

1	32(f)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2	
2	32(g)	10145 and Regulation 2834	
3	32(h)	Code Section 10148	
4 5	32(i)	10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725 (SIGNORELLI)	
6	32(j)	Code Section 10130	
7	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the		
8	real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents AHA and SIGNORELLI under the		
10	provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10176(e), 10176(i), 10177(g), 10177(j), 10165, 10137,		
11	and/or 10085.		
12	34.		
13	The violations set forth above constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of		
14	Respondent SIGNORELLI's real estate license and/or license rights, as the broker-officer of		
15	Respondent AHA, for failing to supervise the activities of the corporation, in violation of Code		
16	Sections 10159.2, in conjunction with 10177(h) and 10177(d).		
17 18	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Dishonest Dealing)		
19	35.		
20	There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate and distinct Cause of		
21 22	Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23, and Paragraphs 29		
23	through 32, above, inclusive, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.		
24	36.		
25	Beginning at a time no b	ater than July 7, 2008, and continuing through on or after	
26		and WILSON, along with unlicensed associate	
27			

•

- 14 -

1	Christopher Howder, conspired in a scheme to defraud distressed homeowners seeking loan		
2	modification and related loan services.		
3	37.		
4	On or about July 7, 2008, Respondents SHAH and WILSON, along with		
5	unlicensed associate Christopher Howder, formed AHA. Articles of Incorporation were filed		
6	with the Secretary of State. Respondents applied to the Department for a real estate broker		
7	license for AHA on or about August 11, 2008, and a corporate real estate license was issued for		
8	AHA on or about August 28, 2008. According to the license application, SIGNORELLI was		
10	listed as the broker to be responsible for supervising the activities of the corporate broker.		
11	38.		
12	On or about September 9, 2008, Respondent SHAH and unlicensed associate		
13	Christopher Howder entered into an agreement pursuant to which Howder purchased a 25%		
14	interest in AHA from SHAH, who retained the remaining 75% interest in the company. Pursuant		
15	to the agreement, Howder agreed to pay SHAH \$94,000.00, in installments of \$10,000.00 per		
16	month beginning on October 1, 2008, through May 2009.		
17	39.		
18 19	Between September 9, 2008 and on or about January 31, 2009, Howder deposited		
20	money collected from loan modification clients of AHA into one of three general bank accounts,		
21			
22	held in the name of AHA. As set forth in the First and Second Cause of Accusation, above,		
23	money collected from AHA's loan modification clients included advance fees for loan services,		
24	and included, in some cases, fees for services which were never in fact rendered. Pursuant to his		
25	agreement with SHAH, Howder made disbursements from AHA's bank accounts to pay SHAH		
26	for Howder's interest in AHA.		
27	///		

.

1	40.	
2	Respondents SHAH and WILSON operated a loan modification business without	
3	proper licensure and/or supervision, in concert with other unlicensed individuals. Respondent	
4	SIGNORELLI failed to supervise the activities of SHAH, who was an owner and licensed	
5	salesperson employee of Flex Pay, another corporation with a real estate broker license for which	
6	SIGNORELLI was licensed as the designated broker-officer. Respondent WILSON was not	
7	licensed in any capacity to conduct real estate activities during the time period in question, but	
8	nonetheless engaged in the loan modification business, through AHA.	
9 10	41.	
11	Respondent SHAH failed to maintain a current mailing address on record with the	;
12	Department and SHAH has avoided contact with the Department and with his business	
13	associates since the Department's audit in 2009.	
14	42.	
15	The conduct, acts, and/or omissions of Respondents SHAH, WILSON and	
16	SIGNORELLI, as set forth above, constitutes dishonest dealing and/or fraud, and is grounds to	
17 18	revoke or suspend the real estate licenses and license rights or Respondent SHAH, WILSON and	
18	SIGNORELLI pursuant to Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(j).	
20	43.	
21	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent SHAH in failing to maintain	
22	on file with the Department his current mailing address, is in violation of Regulation 2715 and is	,
23	grounds to revoke or suspend Respondent SHAH's real estate license pursuant to Code Section	
24		
25	10177(d).	
26		
27		
	- 16 -	

٠

.

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations		
2	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary		
3	action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents AFFORDABLE HOME		
4	ASSISTANCE.COM, THOMAS AARON SIGNORELLI, ALI SHAH and BRITTANY		
5	LEANNA WILSON under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and		
6	Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable		
7	provisions of law.		
8	Dated at Los Angeles, California		
9			
10	this 27 day of, 2011.		
12	\bigcirc		
13	ROBIN TRUJILLO		
14 15	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	cc: Affordable Home Assistance.com Thomas Aaron Signorelli		
24	Ali Shah Brittany Leanna Wilson		
25 26	Robin Trujillo Sacto.		
20	Audits		
	- 17 -		
		I	

۲

•