• •	
patr	
3	Department of Real Estate
	2. 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105
	3 Telephone: (213) 576-6982
	₄ JAN 2 6 2011
	5 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	6 BY:
	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1	* * *
1	In the Matter of the Accusation of λ NO H-36630 LA
1	
1	
1	Chase Mortgage Group and La)
1	Jolla Lending & Real Estate,) Inc.; and ROUBIN DIARIAN ,
1	Respondents.)
1	
2	Tt is hereby stipulated by and between poupty pragram
2.	
2.	
24	and the completion of the theory of the theory is theory is the theory is the theory is the theory is the theory i
2!	The Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose
20	of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on May 10,
2'	2010, in this matter.
	1

1 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the 10 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and 11 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate 12 ("Department") in this proceeding. 13

3. On June 17, 2010, Respondent filed a Notice of 14 Defense, pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for 15 16 the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 17 Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws 18 said Notice of Defense requesting a hearing on the Accusation. 19 Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing 20 his request for a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation 21 he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to 22 23 prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing 24 held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he 25 will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the 26 hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 27

allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

1

2

3

4. This Stipulation and Agreement is based on the 4 factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this 5 proceeding. In the interest of expedience and economy, 6 Respondent chooses not to litigate these allegations at a formal 7 administrative hearing, but to remain silent and understand 8 9 that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without 10 being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for 11 the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. This Stipulation 12 and Agreement and Respondent's decision not to contest the 13 Accusation are hereby expressly limited to this proceeding and 14 made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of 15 16 this proceeding. Respondent's decision not to contest the 17 factual allegations at a formal administrative hearing is made 18 solely for the purpose of effectuating this Stipulation and 19 Agreement and is intended to be non-binding upon Respondent in 20 any actions against him by third parties. The Real Estate 21 Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence 22 23 to prove said factual allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license

¹ rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that ² the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the ³ Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, ⁴ and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and ⁵ proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the ⁷ APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made ⁸ herein.

⁹ 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
¹⁰ Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and
¹¹ Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any
¹² further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of
¹³ Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not
¹⁵ specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
¹⁶ proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

17

18

The conduct of Respondent, as described in the The conduct of Respondent, as described in the Accusation, is in violation of Business and Professions Code Section <u>10130</u>, and is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all the real estate licenses and license rights of

1	Respondent under the provisions of Business & Professions Code
2	sections <u>10177(g)</u> .
3	ORDER
4	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
5	
6	
7	ROUBIN DIARIAN under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a
8	period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this
9	Decision;
10	A. Provided, however, that if Respondent requests, the
11 12	initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion
13	thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that:
14	1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to
15	Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$50.00 per day for
16	each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of
17	\$1,500.
18	2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's
19	check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of
20	the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the
21	Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this
22	matter.
23	3. No further cause for disciplinary action against
24	the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2)
25	years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
26	4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in
27	accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may,
	without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any
	5

II

¹ part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent ² shall not be entitled to any repayment or credit, prorated or ³ otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of ⁴ this Decision.

5 <u>5. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no</u> further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

¹⁰ <u>B. The remaining thirty (30) days of the sixty (60)</u> ¹¹ day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the ¹² following terms and conditions:

13 <u>1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and</u> 14 regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of 15 a real estate licensee in the State of California; and

16

24

2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

II. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If

Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

DATED: December 13,2010 6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

'n. Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its 9 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to 10 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the me. 11 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 12 13 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 14 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and 15 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of 16 requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 17 Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to 18 cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 19 20 defense and mitigation of the charges.

21 Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the 22 terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by 23 faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually signed by 24 Respondent, to the Department at the following telephone/fax 25 number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges, and 26 27 understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the

1 Stipulation and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by 2 the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the 3 Department had received the original signed Stipulation and 4 Agreement. 5 6 12-9-10 DATED: ROUBIN DIARIAN 7 Respondent 8 I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to 9 form and content and have advised my clients accordingly. 10 12 9/10 DATED: 11 Michael Rabban 12 Attorney for Respondent 13 14 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 15 16 adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become 17 FEB 1 5 2011 effective at 12 o'clock noon on 2011. 18 IT IS SO ORDERED 2011. 19 20 21 HГ DĂVI Real Estate Commissioner 22 23 24 25 26 27 8

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JAN 2 6 2011

UTAKEAL ESTATE DEPAR BY:

No. H-36630 LA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP; LA) JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC.;) AREYO DADAR, individually, and as) designated officer for Chase) Mortgage Credit Group and La Jolla) Lending & Real Estate, Inc.; and) ROUBIN DIARIAN,) Respondents.)

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on January 3, 2011, and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondents' express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

This Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on the ground of the violation of the Real Estate Law (commencing with Section 10000 of the Business and Professions Code (Code)) or Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000 of the Code) of Part 2 or the rules and regulations of the commissioner for the administration and enforcement of the Real Estate Law and Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 11000 of the Code) of Part 2.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

On May 10, 2010, Robin Trujillo made the Accusation in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed, by certified mail, to Respondents' last known mailing address on file with the Department on May 10, 2010.

Respondents CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP ("CHASE"), LA JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC. ("LA JOLLA") and AREYO DADAR ("DADAR"), individually, and as designated officer of CHASE and LA JOLLA, failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code. Respondents' defaults were entered herein on January 3, 2011.

II

Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as corporate real estate brokers.

III

Respondent DADAR is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate law as a real estate broker.

ΙV

During the period April, 2007, through and including December, 2008, CHASE, LA JOLLA and DADAR engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property.

V

In engaging in the activities alleged in Paragraph IV, above, Respondents, among other things, misrepresented the terms of loans with respect to the interest rate, whether the interest rate was fixed or adjustable, the period of the loan, the amount of the payment, the amount of net proceeds the borrower would receive through refinancing and the existence of a pre-payment penalty, failed to provide borrowers with Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements ("Statements") and failed to disclose in the Statements that were provided numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrower including but not limited to prepayment penalty, loan origination fee, processing fee, and notary fees. In engaging in the activities alleged in Paragraph IV, above, Respondents employed and/or compensated individuals who were not licensed as a real estate salesperson or as a broker to perform activities requiring a license.

VII

Respondent DADAR allowed Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA to violate the Real Estate law, which constitutes a failure by DADAR as the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee to exercise supervision and control over the activities of the corporate licensee.

VIII

The evidence established that the conduct of Respondents alleged in Paragraph V, above, violates Section 10176(a), 10176(b), and 10176(i) of the Code.

IX

The evidence established that the conduct of Respondents alleged in Paragraph VI, above, violates Section 10137 of the Code.

Х

The evidence established that the conduct of Respondent DADAR alleged in Paragraph VII, above, violates Section 10159.2 of the Code.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Ι

Cause for disciplinary action against Respondents CHASE, LA JOLLA and DADAR exists pursuant to Code Sections 10137, 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(i) and 10177(d) for violation of Code Section 10137.

II

Respondent DADAR is in violation of Code Section <u>10159.2</u>. Cause for disciplinary action exists against Respondent DADAR pursuant to Code Section <u>10177(h)</u>.

-3-

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

The licenses and license rights of Respondents CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP, LA JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC. and AREYO DADAR, under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, are revoked.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock FEB 1 5 2011 noon 1-17.2011

DATED:

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

	· · •
1	Department of Real Estate
2	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 (213) 576-6982 JAN 0 3 2011
4	DEPARTMENT OF REALESTATE
5	BY: TP
6	
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	* * * *
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
11) NO. H-36630 LA
12 13	CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP; LA) JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC.;) DEFAULT ORDER
14	AREYO DADAR,)
15	Respondents.)
16	Respondents, CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP; LA JOLLA LENDING
17	& REAL ESTATE, INC.; AREYO DADAR, having failed to file a Notice of Defense within
18	the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. It is, therefore,
19 20	ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED January 3, 2011
22	JEFF DAVI
23	Real Estate Commissioner
24	All the Co
25	By: PHILLIP IHDE
26	Regional Manager
27	

, ,	
1	CHERYL D. KEILY, Counsel (SBN 94008)
2	Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105
3	MAY 1 0 2010
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6905 (Direct) DEPARIMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	BY: BY:
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) No. H- 36630 LA
12	CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP;) LA JOLLA LENDING & REAL)
13	ESTATE, INC.; AREYO) DADAR, individually, and as) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
14	designated officer for Chase) Mortgage Credit Group)
15	and La Jolla Lending & Real) Estate, Inc.; and ROUBIN)
16	DIARIAN,))
17	Respondents,)
18	
19	The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, a Deputy Real
20	Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of
21	accusation against CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP, LA JOLLA LENDING
22	& REAL ESTATE, INC., AREYO DADAR, individually, and as designated
23	officer of both corporations, and ROUBIN DIARIAN, alleges as
24	follows:
25	///
26	111
27	111
	- 1 -

•

•

۰.

The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, acting in her 1. official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP, LA JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC., AREYO DADAR and ROUBIN DIARIAN.

2. CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP ("CHASE"), LA JOLLA 6 LENDING & REAL ESTATE, INC. ("LA JOLLA"), AREYO DADAR ("DADAR"), individually, and as designated officer of CHASE and LA JOLLA 8 (hereinafter referred to as "Respondents"), and ROUBIN DIARIAN ("DIARIAN") are presently licensed and/or have license rights 10 under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter Code). 12

3. At all times relevant herein Respondents CHASE, LA. 13 JOLLA, and DADAR were licensed by the Department of Real Estate 14 ("Department") as real estate brokers. 15

4. At all times relevant herein Respondent DIARIAN was 16 licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson. At no 17 time relevant herein was Respondent DIARIAN working under the 18 broker's license of either Respondent CHASE or Respondent LA 19 JOLLA. 20

21 5. At no time material herein was Pooyan Bakhtiar 22 ("Bakhtiar") licensed by the Department as a real estate broker 23 or salesperson.

24 6. At no time material herein was Andy McDonald 25 ("McDonald") licensed by the Department as a real estate broker 26 or salesperson.

27

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

11

- 2 -

7. At no time material herein was Michael Moohaj ("Moohaj") licensed by the Department as a real estate broker or salesperson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8. Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, Respondent DADAR is responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of CHASE and of LA JOLLA by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, including the supervision of salespersons licensed to the corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Code Sections 10176(a), (b) and (i) and 10177(d) and/or (f))

9. During the period April, 2007, through and including December, 2008, CHASE, LA JOLLA and DADAR engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property, including but not limited to, the following loan activities with respect to loans which were secured by liens on real property:

HORON TRANSACTION

a. Respondents LA JOLLA and DADAR solicited and negotiated a loan on real property located at 118 Legacy Court, Napa, California for borrower Robert Horon on or about May 18, 2007. During the course of the negotiations an individual acting on behalf of CHASE misrepresented the terms of the loan with respect to the interest rate and the existence of a pre-payment

- 3 -

penalty. Respondent LA JOLLA and DADAR violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. Said Respondents violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrower in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrower including but not limited to prepayment penalty, loan origination fee, processing fee, administration fee and lender rebate.

OKADA AND HSIEH TRANSACTION

Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and b. 10 negotiated a loan on real property located at 6004 Pisa Terrace 11 #119, Fremont, California for borrowers Wayne M. Okada and Diana 12 C. Hsieh on or about May 11, 2007. During the course of the 13 negotiations Respondent DIARIAN, acting on behalf of CHASE, 14 misrepresented the terms of the loan with respect to the interest 15 rate and the period of the loan. Respondents CHASE and DADAR 16 violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrowers 17 with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. Said 18 Respondents violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by 19 failing to disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan 20 Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be 21 charged to the borrowers including but not limited to loan 22 origination fee, Broker processing fee, and Broker administrative 23 fee. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

LONGWITH TRANSACTION

c. Respondents CHASE, LA JOLLA and DADAR solicited and negotiated loans on real property located at 3508 Strawberry

- 4

Meadow Court, Bakersfield, California for borrowers Garv and 1 Cheryl Longwith with the first loan involving LA JOLLA taking 2 place on or about May 14, 2007 and the second re-financing involving CHASE taking place on or about July 29, 2007. During 4 the course of the negotiations individuals acting on behalf of 5 Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA misrepresented the terms of the 6 loan including the amount of the payment. Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the 8 borrowers with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement for both loans. Said Respondents violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements numerous fees and expenses 12 that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited to loan origination fee, processing fee, administrative fee, 14 lender rebates, and notary fees. 15

3

7

9

10

11

13

16

MAHONEY TRANSACTION

d. Respondents CHASE, LA JOLLA, and DADAR solicited 17 and negotiated loans on real property located at 294 Paseo 18 Marquerita, Vista, California for borrower Marion Mahoney with 19 the first loan involving CHASE taking place on or about July 30, 20 2007 and the second re-financing involving LA JOLLA taking place 21 on or about September 10, 2007. During the course of the 22 negotiations Bakhtiar, acting on behalf of CHASE, misrepresented 23 the terms of the loan, including the interest rate, the cost of 24 the loan, and the amount of cash the borrower would receive 25 through the refinancing transaction. Respondents CHASE and LA 26 JOLLA violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the 27

- 5

borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement for both loans. The same Respondents violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrower in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrower including but not limited to pre-payment penalty, loan origination fee, processing fee, administrative fee, lender rebates, and notary fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

SMITH TRANSACTION

e. Respondents LA JOLLA and DADAR solicited and 9 negotiated a loan on real property located at 8955 Giant Panda 10 Drive, Sacramento, California for borrower David G. Smith on or 11 about December 10, 2007. During the course of negotiations 12 Bakhtiar, acting on behalf of CHASE, misrepresented the terms of 13 the loan, including the interest rate and the amount of cash the 14 borrower would receive as a result of the refinancing 15 transaction. Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by 16 failing to provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan 17 Disclosure Statement. The same Respondent violated Sections 18 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the 19 borrower in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees 20 and expenses that would be charged to the borrower including but 21 not limited to loan origination fee, brokerage fee, 22 administrative fee, processing fee, lender rebate, notary fees. 23 AKINSETE TRANSACTION 24 f. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and negotiated

²⁵ a loan on real property located at 4564 Pacific Rim Way, San ²⁷ Jose, California for borrower Adrienne Akinsete on or about

- 6 -

December 11, 2007. During the course of negotiations Bakhtiar, acting on behalf of CHASE, misrepresented the terms of the loan, including the interest rate, the payment amount and the cost of the loan. Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. Said Respondent violated Code Sections 10240 and 10241 by failing to disclose to the borrower in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrower including but not limited to prepayment penalty, loan origination fee, processing fee, and notary fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

JORDAN TRANSACTION

g. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and negotiated 13 a loan on real property located at 8815 S. Third Ave., Inglewood, 14 California for borrower Clemmieteen Jordan on or about March 14, 15 2008. During the course of negotiations an individual acting on 16 behalf of CHASE misrepresented the terms of the loan, including 17 the interest rate and the amount of the payment. Respondent 18 CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the 19 borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. 20 Said Respondent violated Code Sections 10240 and 10241 by failing 21 to disclose to the borrower in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure 22 Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the 23 borrower including but not limited to prepayment penalty, loan 24 origination fee, processing fee, and notary fees. 25 111 26 /// 27

- 7 -

SANCHEZ_TRANSACTION

1

15

h. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and 2 negotiated a loan on real property located at 264 Alta Vista Way, 3 Daly City, California for borrowers Pablo A. and Yolanda D. 4 Sanchez on or about March 17, 2008. During the course of 5 negotiations Respondent DADAR misrepresented the terms of the 6 loan, including the cost of the loan. Respondent CHASE violated 7 Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrowers with the 8 required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. Said Respondent 9 violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to 10 disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure 11 Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the 12 borrower including but not limited to prepayment penalty, loan 13 origination fee and notary fees. 14

SEVIER TRANSACTIONS

Respondents CHASE, and DADAR solicited and i.. 16 negotiated loans on real properties located at 7283 Garden 17 highway, Sacramento, California and 6225 Mc Kinney Dr., Homewood, 18 California for borrowers Bruce J. and Gayle L. Sevier. 19 Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to 20 provide the borrowers with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure 21 Statement for both loans. During the course of negotiations 22 Respondent DADAR misrepresented the terms of the loans, including 23 the amount of the net proceeds to be realized by the borrower 24 from the refinancing transaction. Respondent CHASE violated Code 25 Sections 10240 and 10241 by failing to disclose to the borrowers 26 in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements numerous fees and . 27

- 8 -

expenses that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited to loan origination fee, processing fee, administrative fee and lender rebate.

LEE TRANSACTION

j. Respondents CHASE, and DADAR solicited and 5 negotiated a loan on real property located at 9833 Rancho Caballo 6 Dr., Los Angeles, California for borrowers Johngman and Hae Jin 7 Lee on or about April 14, 2008. During the course of 8 negotiations Respondent DADAR and Bakhtiar misrepresented the 9 terms of the loan, including the interest rate and whether the 10 interest rate was fixed or adjustable. Respondent CHASE violated 11 Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrowers with the 12 required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. Said Respondent 13 violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to 14 disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure 15 Statements numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to 16 the borrower including but not limited to loan origination fee 17 and notary fees. 18

19

1

2

3

4

SHELLER TRANSACTION

k. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and negotiated 20 a first and second loan on real property located at 28900 21 Cumberland Road, Tehachapi, California for borrowers Gary D. and 22 Marvel Sheller. During the course of the negotiations Respondent 23 DADAR misrepresented the terms of the loan, including the 24 existence of a waiver of the pre-payment penalty on the 25 borrowers' existing loan, the interest rate and the cost of the 26 Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to loan. 27

- 9 -

provide the borrowers with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. The same Respondent violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited to pre-payment penalty, coordination fee, processing fees, mortgage broker fee, administrative fee, and loan origination fees.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

25

DE JULIO TRANSACTION

1. Respondents LA JOLLA and DADAR solicited and 10 negotiated a loan on real property located 16655 Porter Avenue, 11 Riverside, California 92504 for borrower Jim De Julio. During 12 the course of the negotiation Bakhtiar acting on behalf of LA. 13 JOLLA misrepresented the amount of the loan, the interest rate of 14 the loan and the amount of his monthly payment on the loan. 15 Respondent LA JOLLA violated Code Section 10240 by failing to 16 provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure 17 The same Respondent violated Sections 10240 and 10241 Statement. 18 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the 19 Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses 20 that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited 21 to pre-payment penalty, coordination fee, processing fees, 22 mortgage broker fee, administrative fee, and loan origination 23 fees. 24

LOPEZ TRANSACTION

m. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and negotiated a loan on real property located 1139 Abrigo Road, Palm

- 10 -

Springs, California for borrower Trini Lopez. During the course 1 of negotiations Respondent DADAR on behalf of CHASE 2 misrepresented the terms of the loan including the interest rate 3 and the net cash proceeds the borrower would receive upon close of the refinancing transaction. Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrower with the 6 required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. The same Respondent violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited to pre-payment penalty, coordination fee, processing fees, mortgage broker fee, administrative fee, and loan origination fees.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

BATTISTONE TRANSACTION

n. Respondents CHASE and DADAR solicited and negotiated 15 a loan on real property located 3156 San Helena Drive, Oceanside, 16 California 92056 for borrowers Robert and Marjori Battistone on 17 or about May 5, 2008. During the course of negotiations an 18 individual acting on behalf of Respondent CHASE represented to 19 the borrowers that the transaction proposed to them was a 20 modification of the terms of their existing loan. Only upon the 21 closing of the transaction did the borrowers learn that the 22 representations were false, and that instead of receiving a loan 23 modification the borrowers discovered they had refinanced their 24 original loan on terms that included both a higher interest rate 25 and loan amount. Additionally, Bakhtiar acting on behalf of 26 Respondent CHASE misrepresented to the borrowers that Respondent 27

- 11 -

CHASE had cancelled the refinance transaction at the direction of the borrowers. Respondent CHASE violated Code Section 10240 by failing to provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement. The same Respondent violated Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited to pre-payment penalty, coordination fee, processing fees, mortgage broker fee, administrative fee, and loan origination fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

HUFFMAN TRANSACTION

Respondents LA JOLLA and DADAR solicited and ο. 12 negotiated a loan on real property located 9073 Victor Way, Elk 13 Grove, California 95624 for borrowers Franklin and Lorraine 14 Huffman on or about December 12, 2008. During the course of 15 negotiations McDonald and Moorhaj, acting on behalf of Respondent 16 LA JOLLA, represented to the borrowers that they were working for 17 the borrowers' existing lender and offering to negotiate a 18 modification of the terms of their loan from a variable interest 19 rate to a fixed interest rate. The truth was that McDonald and 20 Moorhaj were employed by Respondent LA JOLLA to solicit a 21 refinancing loan to be brokered by Respondent LA JOLLA. McDonald 22 and Moorhaj further misrepresented the terms of the refinance 23 loan, including the loan amount and the interest rate. 24 Respondent LA JOLLA violated Code Section 10240 by failing to 25 provide the borrower with the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure 26 Statement. The same Respondent violated Sections 10240 and 10241 27

- 12 -

of the Code by failing to disclose to the borrowers in the 1 Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement numerous fees and expenses 2 that would be charged to the borrowers including but not limited 3 to pre-payment penalty, coordination fee, processing fees, 4 mortgage broker fee, administrative fee, and loan origination 5 fees. 6 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents 10. 7 CHASE, LA JOLLA, DADAR and DIARIAN, as alleged above, subjects 8 their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or 9 revocation pursuant to Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(i), 10 10177(d), and/or 10177(f) of the Code. 11 SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 12 (Unlicensed Activity Code Section 10137) 13 11. 14 Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the 15 allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 10, above. 16 12. 17 The activities described in Paragraph 9, above, require 18 a real estate license under Section 10131(d) of the Code. 19 13. 20 Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA violated Section 10137 21 22 of the Code by employing and/or compensating individuals who were 23 not licensed as a real estate salesperson or as a broker to 24 perform activities requiring a license in that said Respondents 25 employed Pooyan Bakhtiar, Andy McDonald and Michael Moohaj to 26 perform some or all of the services alleged in Paragraph 7, 27

- 13 -

above, though none were licensed as a real estate salesperson or broker.

14.

1

2

3 Respondent CHASE violated Section 10137 of the Code by 4 employing and/or compensating Respondent DIARIAN to perform 5 activities requiring a real estate license though Respondent 6 DIARIAN was not working under the broker license of Respondent 7 8 CHASE in performing some or all of the activities alleged in 9 Paragraph 7, above. 10 15. 11 The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents CHASE 12 and LA JOLLA violate Code Section 10137, and are cause for the 13 suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of 14 said Respondents pursuant to Code Section 10177(d) and/or 15 10177(q). 16 16. 17 The activities of Respondent DIARIAN described in 18 Paragraph 9b., above, require a real estate broker license under 19 Sections 10131(d). Respondent DIARIAN violated Section 10130 of 20 the Code by performing these activities when he was not licensed 21 by the Department as a real estate broker nor employed as a real 22 23 estate salesperson by the broker on whose behalf the activities 24 were performed. 25 111 26 111 27 /// 14

۰ ه .	
1	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Failure to Supervise)
2	17.
3	Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the
4	allegations contained at Paragraphs 1 through 15, above.
5	18.
6	Respondent DADAR ordered, caused, authorized or
7	participated in the conduct of Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA, as
8	is alleged in this Accusation.
9	19.
10	The conduct, acts and/or omissions, of Respondent
11	DADAR, in allowing Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA to violate the
12	Real Estate Law, as set forth above, constitutes a failure by
14	Respondent DADAR, as the officer designated by a corporate broker
15	licensee to exercise the supervision and control over the
16	activities of Respondents CHASE and LA JOLLA, as is required by
17	Code Section 10159.2, and is cause to suspend or revoke the real
18	estate licenses and license rights of Respondent DADAR under Code
19	Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).
20	///
21	111
22	
23	111
. 24	
25	111
26	
27	
	- 15 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 1 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 2 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 3 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents 4 CHASE MORTGAGE CREDIT GROUP, LA JOLLA LENDING & REAL ESTATE, 5 INC., AREYO DADAR and ROUBIN DIARIAN under the Real Estate Law 6 (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and 7 for such other and further relief as may be proper under other 8 applicable provisions of law. 9 Dated at Los Angeles, California 10 this U day of Val ____, 2010 11 12 13 14 15 TRUJILLO 16 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Chase Mortgage Credit Group cc: La Jolla Lending & Real Estate, Inc. 26 Areyo Dadar Robin L. Trujillo 27 Sacto.

- 16 -