Department of Real Estate 320 W. 4TH Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982



OCT 2 6 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTAT

6 7

8

1.

3

4

5

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

1.1

In the Matter of the Accusation of

12

ACP INVESTMENTS INC. doing Business as ERA Promerica Realtors; 13 and ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP Investments 14

Inc.,

15

16

17

1.8

19 20

21

22

23 24

26

1//

27

) No. H-36541 LA L-2010041515

> STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondents.

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents ACP INVESTMENTS INC. and ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP Investments Inc. (sometimes collectively referred to as "Respondents"), and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on March 24, 2010, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation.

 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense the right to cross-examine witnesses.

| ///

26 ///

1.0

1.3

2.1

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation is made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.
- Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation

21.

or waiver made herein.

1

2

4

8

9

1.0

12

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I.

The conduct of <u>ACP INVESTMENTS INC.</u>, as described in Paragraph 4, above, is in violation of Section <u>10142</u> and <u>10148</u> of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and is a basis for discipline of Respondent ACP INVESTMENTS INC.'s license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Sections <u>10177(d)</u> and <u>10177(g)</u>.

II.

The conduct of ANDRES CORTEZ, as described in Paragraph 4, above, is a basis for discipline of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

24 ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

. I.

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent ACP INVESTMENTS INC. under the Real Estate Law are revoked.

II.

- I. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent

 ANDRES CORTEZ, under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a

 period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this

 Decision.
- A. Provided, however, that if Respondent requests, the initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed for two (2) years upon condition that:
- 1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$83.33 per day for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$2,500.
- 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

- 5. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- B. The remaining thirty (30) days of the sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
- 1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and
- 2. That no final subsequent determination be made after hearing or upon stipulation, which cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay

imposed herein shall become permanent.

1.

•

_

ь

1.1

2.3

III.

Respondent ANDRES CORTEZ shall within six (6) months

from the effective date of the Decision herein, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

TV.

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent ANDRES

CORTEZ are indefinitely suspended upon the effective date of this Decision and thereafter unless and until Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of payment to Lidia Chavez, or on her behalf for her property 1927 E. Lomita Ave., Orange, California, of the \$20,000 disbursed to Respondent from RMB escrow.

DATED: 9-9-10 E7

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate

* * *

-i

1.2

1.4

1.8

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

MAILING AND FACSIMILE

Respondents (1) shall mail the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. Respondents shall also (2) facsimile a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan.

///

///

///

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the 1 terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree, 2 acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the 3 Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as 4 it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy 5 by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed stipulation. 7 9 1.0 DATED: ACP INVESTMENTS INC., 11 BY: ANDRES CORTEZ, Respondent 12 13 1.4 15 DATED: ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as 16 designated officer of ACP 17 Investments Inc., Respondent 18 111 19 /// 20 111 21 111 22 23 111 24 111 25 26 111 27

- 9 -

Ш

_

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

MAILING AND FACSIMILE

Respondents (1) shall <u>mail</u> the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. Respondents shall also (2) <u>facsimile</u> a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan.

terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed stipulation. DATED: 9/09/2010

ANDRES CORTEZ, BY:

Respondent

DATED: 09/09/2010

ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP Investments Inc., Respondent

///

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondents ACP INVESTMENTS INC. and ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP Investments Inc. and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on NOV 1 5 2010 ___, 2010. IT IS SO ORDERED /0/14 , 2010. JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner BY: Barbara J. Bigby Chief Deputy Commissioner 1.6

27

1

JULIE L. TO, SBN 219482 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6916 (direct) (213) 576-6917 (fax)

FILED

MAR 2 4-2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY:

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ACP INVESTMENTS INC., doing

business as ERA Promerica Realtors;)
and ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and a)
designated officer of ACP Investments)
Inc.

Respondents.

No. H-36541 LA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation

against ACP INVESTMENTS INC., dba ERA Promerica Realtors and

ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP

Investments Inc., alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against ACP INVESTMENTS INC. and ANDRES ORTIZ.

2.

4 5

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code.

License History

3.

A. At all times mentioned, ACP INVESTMENTS INC.

("ACP") was licensed or had license rights issued by the

Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate broker.

On January 2, 1998, ACP was originally licensed as a real estate broker.

- B. At all times mentioned, ANDRES CORTEZ ("CORTEZ") was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate broker. On July 31, 2006, CORTEZ was originally licensed as a real estate broker. On October 5, 2006, CORTEZ was licensed as the designated officer of ACP and Synergy One Investment Corporation ("Synergy"). On October 27, 2008, CORTEZ was cancelled as designated officer of ACP. On February 23, 2007, CORTEZ was cancelled as designated officer of Synergy.
- C. At all times material herein, ACP was licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker by and through CORTEZ, as the designated officer and broker responsible, pursuant to Code Sections 10159.2 and 10211 of the Business and Professions Code for supervising the activities requiring a real

estate license conducted on behalf of ACP by ACP's officers, agents and employees, including CORTEZ.

Brokerage

4.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Tustin, County of Los Orange, ACP and ORTIZ acted as real estate brokers and conducted licensed activities including but not necessarily limited to:

A. Code Section 10131(a). ACP and ORTIZ operated a residential resale brokerage and engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers, including the solicitation for listings of and the negotiation of the sale of real property as the agent of others; and,

B. Code Section 10131(d). ACP and ORTIZ operated a mortgage and loan brokerage and engaged in activities with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected in advance.

Subpoena

5.

On October 20, 2009, the Department received a

complaint from Lidia Chavez ("Chavez") against CORTEZ for misappropriation of \$20,000.00 from a real estate transaction of Chavez's property at 1927 E. Lomita Ave., Orange, CA 92867 ("Lomita property"). At the time of this transaction, CORTEZ was the broker at ERA Promerica Realtors ("ERA"), a d.b.a. of ACP, of which CORTEZ was a designated officer.

Chavez complained that CORTEZ did not provide any documents from the transaction, including documents signed by Chavez, other than the HUD Settlement Statement, dated March 27, 2007, which shows that \$20,000.00 was held by RMB Escrow Company ("RMB") and released on April 13, 2007 to ERA. CORTEZ produced an Amendment to the Escrow Instructions authorizing the release of the \$20,000.00 to ERA. The Amendment purports to bear the signature of Chavez. Chavez denied every signing the Amendment and asserted the signature on the Amendment is not hers.

RMB was served a *subpoena duces tecum* for any and all documents it had on the sale transaction of the Lomita property.

CORTEZ was personally served a subpoena duces tecum for the complete sale transactional records of the Lomita property.

CORTEZ did not produce any records by the March 1, 2010 production date requested by the subpoena. In response to the subpoena, CORTEZ stated that the file had been misplaced or lost. ACP and CORTEZ failed to maintain records for the Lomita property sale transaction.

Violations Of The Real Estate Law

6.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 5 above, Respondents ACP and CORTEZ acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that they:

and the Regulations in that they:

A. In the course of the sale transaction of the Lomita property, failed to provide any documents other than the HUD Settlement Statement to Chavez. ACP and CORTEZ failed to provide a copy of the agreement authorizing or employing him to

perform any acts for which a license is required, and documents

signed by Chavez, in violation of Code Section 10142.

B. Respondents failed to retain all records of activity in the transaction of the Lomita property, in violation of Code Section 10148.

C. In the course of the sale transaction of the Lomita property, an Amendment to the Escrow Instructions was generated, purported to bear a signature by Chavez. Chavez stated that she did not sign the Amendment and that it is not in fact her signature. The false signature for Chavez on the Amendment constitutes an act of fraud or dishonest, in violation of Code Section 10176(i).

///

///

///

Discipline

б

///

7.

The conduct of Respondents ACP and CORTEZ, described in Paragraph 6, above, violated the Real Estate Law as set forth below:

PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
5	Code Section 10142
5	Code Section 10148
5	Code Section 10176(i

The foregoing violation constitutes cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of ACP and CORTEZ under the provisions of Code Sections 10142, 10148, 10176(i) and 10177(d), and/or 10177(g).

///

1//

///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents ACP INVESTMENTS INC., dba ERA Promerica Realtors and ANDRES CORTEZ, individually and as designated officer of ACP Investments Inc., under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 23 rd day of Morch 2010.

Deputy Real Estate Commiss

CC: ACP INVESTMENTS, INC.
ANDRES CORTEZ
Maria Suarez

Sacto.