Con Roos

FILED

JUN 14 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY: Maralmolaline

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-36450 LA

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually, and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal Wholesale Financial Inc.,

Respondents.

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on May 17, 2011 and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondents' express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

On January 26, 2010, Robin Trujillo made the Accusation against MORTGAGE USA INC ("MUSA") and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR ("HAZAR") in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, to MUSA's and HAZAR's last known mailing addresses on file with the Department on February 2, 2010. On April 5, 2010, MUSA and

HAZAR filed a Notice of Defense. MUSA and HAZAR were duly notified of the hearing, which was scheduled for May 9, 2011.

7

On February 14, 2011, Robin Trujillo made the First Amended Accusation, which added UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC.("UWFI") as a Respondent. All Respondents were served with the First Amended Accusation by certified and regular mail, to their last known mailing addresses on February 14, 2011. The packages sent to UWFI were returned to sender, with no forwarding address.

On March 21, 2011, Robin Trujillo made the Second Amended Accusation. All Respondents were served with the Second Amended Accusation by certified and regular mail, to their last known mailing addresses on March 21, 2011. The packages sent to UWFI were returned to sender, with no forwarding address.

No Notice of Defense was filed by UWFI within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code. All Respondents failed to appear at the May 9, 2011 hearing. On May 11, 2011, Respondents' defaults were entered herein

2.

MUSA's real estate corporation license expired on October 18, 2008. Pursuant to Code Section 10201, MUSA had a two year right of renewal. MUSA no longer has licensing rights under the Real Estate Law. However, the Department of Real Estate ("Department") retains jurisdiction under Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 10103.

3.

UWFI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate corporation. From May 31, 2007 to the present, UWFI has the d.b.a. "Wholesale Financial" licensed with the Department.

4.

HAZAR presently has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker. On December 14, 2010, HAZAR's license expired. However, the Department holds jurisdiction over the lapsed license, pursuant to Code Section 10103. HAZAR was the designated officer of MUSA until its real estate corporation license expired on October 18, 2008. HAZAR

was the designated officer of UWFI from May 31, 2007 to March 8, 2010.

5.

On or about March 21, 2007, HAZAR and MUSA employed Omar Masood, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on the real property located at 19001 Schoolcraft Street, Reseda, CA, for borrowers Mark and Susan Newton.

6.

On or about July 30, 2007, HAZAR and MUSA employed Michael Elachkar, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on the real property located at 665 Rancho Prieta Road, Los Gatos, CA, for borrowers Peter and Barbara Hartson.

7.

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property.

8.

On October 29, 2010, the Department completed audit examinations of the books and records of UWFI pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 5 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from September 1, 2007 to March 31, 2010. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 090226 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

9.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 7 above and during the examination period described in Paragraph 8, Respondents UWFI and HAZAR acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) UWFI, using the d.b.a. "Modification Center" entered into advance fee agreements and collected advance fees for loan modification services. The loan modification agreements used by UWFI were not submitted to the Real Estate Commissioner 10 days before they were used, in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970.
- (b) After collecting advance fees, UWFI and HAZAR did not furnish the principals with verified copies of accounting content, including identification of the trust account into which the advance fees were deposited, description of services rendered, the amount allocated from the advance fees at the end of each quarter, and when the contract was completely performed. This violated Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972.
- (c) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain columnar records for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.
- (d) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1.
- (e) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain a monthly trust fund reconciliation of all separate records to the columnar records of trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.
- (f) Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements ("MLDS") were not always contained in loan files. MLDS were not always signed and/or dated by borrowers or loan agents. In addition, UWFI did not always disclose rebates received from lenders as additional compensation for services rendered on the MLDS. These acts were in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840.
- (g) The MLDS reviewed did not include UWFI's license number, in violation of Code Section 10236.4(b).
- (h) UWFI conducted mortgage loan activity using the fictitious business names "Universal Wholesale Financial" and "Universal Whoelsale Financial" without first obtaining a license from the Department bearing such fictitious business names, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731.
- (i) UWFI employed and/or compensated an unlicensed individual, Jose Rodriguez, who solicited and arranged a loan for UWFI without first obtaining a license from the Department, in violation of Code Section 10137.
- (j) UWFI failed to retain records of bank accounts, columnar records, and separate beneficiary records related to advance fees collected for loan modification services, in violation of Code Section 10148.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Glendale, County of Los Angeles, UWFI and HAZAR acted as real estate brokers conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d): collecting payments or performing services for borrowers as a broker escrow. In addition, UWFI and HAZAR conducted broker-controlled escrows through its escrow division under the exemption set for in California Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate license is required.

11.

On January 21, 2011, the Department completed audit examinations of the books and records of UWFI pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 8 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from November 1, 2007 to March 7, 2010. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 100104 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

12.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 10 above and during the examination period described in Paragraph 11, Respondents UWFI and HAZAR acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) The control record maintained for UWFI's escrow bank account were inaccurate and incomplete. It did not reflect the dates of receipt, deposit and disbursement of trust funds. UWFI did not maintain escrow control records from October 2008 to December 31, 2009. This violated Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2951.
- (b) The separate records maintained for each beneficiary or transaction were inaccurate and incomplete. UWFI did not provide all separate records for the audit, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1 and 2951.
- (c) The bank account used by UWFI for escrow activities was not designated as a trust account, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 and 2951.

- (d) Trust funds were withdrawn from UWFI's bank account without the signature of broker HAZAR, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834 and 2951
- (e) UWFI did not maintain a monthly trust fund reconciliation of all separate records to the control records of trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.2 and 2951.
- (f) UWFI did not disclose to all parties in writing that UWFI had any interest as a stockholder, officer, partner or owner in the escrow operations, in violation of Regulation 2950(h).
- (g) UWFI failed to retain escrow transaction files, bank statements, receipts and disbursements, a bank signature card and separate records of UWFI's broker escrow activities, in violation of Code Section 10148 and Regulation 2950(e).

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of \underline{MUSA} , as set forth above, provide cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of MUSA pursuant to Code Sections $\underline{10137}$, $\underline{10177(d)}$ and $\underline{10177(g)}$.

2.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of <u>UWFI</u>, as set forth above, provide cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of <u>HAZAR</u> pursuant to Code Sections 10085, 10177(d) and 10177(g).

3.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of HAZAR, as set forth above, provide cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of HAZAR pursuant to Code Sections 10085, $\underline{10137}$, 10177(d), $\underline{10177}$ (h) and 10177(g).

4.

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

The license and license rights of MORTGAGE USA INC.,
UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR
under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and
Professions Code are revoked.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on JUL 0.5 2011

DATED: 6/6/11

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013 FILED

MAY 17 2011

BY: ALLASTICA // ALLASTICA

No. H-36450 LA

DEFAULT ORDER

4 5

2

3

(213) 576-6982

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL
WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., ASPED
PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually,
and formerly designated officer
of Mortgage USA, Inc. and
Universal Wholesale Financial
Inc.,

Respondents.

Respondent UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC,

having failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. It is, therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED / NO.

BARBARA J. BIGBY

Acting Real Estate Commissioner

y: DOLORES WEEKS

Regional Manager

FILED

Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013

(213) 576-6982

MAY 17 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY: Duotain Malenu

No. H-36450 LA

DEFAULT ORDER

5

4

1

2

3

_

6 7

.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

--

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually, and formerly designated officer

and formerly designated office of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal Wholesale Financial Inc.

Respondents.

Respondents MORTGAGE USA INC. and ASPED

PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR filed a Notice of Defense within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code. A hearing was set for May 9, 2011. Respondents were duly notified of the hearing but failed to appear. Respondents are now in default. It is therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED

BARBARA J. BIGBY

Acting Real Estate Commissioner

By:

DOLORES WEEKS Regional Manager

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JAMES DEMUS, Counsel (SBN 225005)
Department of Real Estate
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982

-or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct)

FILED

MAR 2 1 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY: Sauce B. Clon

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

*

11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually, and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal

Wholesale Financial Inc.,

Respondents.

No. H-36450 LA L-2010091127

SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION

This Accusation amends the First Amended Accusation filed on February 14, 2011. The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal Wholesale Financial Inc., alleges as follows:

27

The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC. ("MUSA'), UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. ("UWFI") and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR ("HAZAR").

2.

Effective October 18, 2008, MUSA's real estate corporation license has expired. Pursuant to Code Section 10201, MUSA has a two-year right of renewal. MUSA no longer has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code") as a real estate corporation. Pursuant to Code Section 10103, the Department of Real Estate ("Department") retains jurisdiction.

3.

UWFI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate corporation. It was first licensed as a corporation on May 31, 2007. From April 1, 2009 to the present, UWFI has had the d.b.a. "Modification Center" licensed with the Department. From May 31, 2007 to the present UWFI has had the d.b.a. "Wholesale Financial" licensed with the department.

4.

HAZAR presently has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker. On December 14, 2010,

HAZAR's license expired. Pursuant to Code Section 10201, HAZAR has a two-year right of renewal. Pursuant to Code Section 10103, the Department retains jurisdiction. HAZAR was the designated officer of MUSA until its real estate corporation license expired on October 18, 2008. HAZAR was the designated officer of UWFI from May 31, 2007 to March 8, 2010

5.

Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, Respondent HAZAR was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the Respondents MUSA and UWFI and by their officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, including the supervision of salespersons licensed to the corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required.

6.

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Unlicensed Activity - HAZAR and MUSA)

7.

In connection with HAZAR and MUSA's activities as real estate brokers, as described above, HAZAR and MUSA violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about March 21, 2007, HAZAR and MUSA employed Omar Masood, who was not licensed as a

real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 19001 Schoolcraft Street, Reseda, California, for borrowers Mark and Susan Newton.

8.

In connection with HAZAR and MUSA's activities as a real estate broker, as described above, HAZAR and MUSA's violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about July 30, 2007, they employed Michael Elachkar, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 665 Rancho Prieta Road, Los Gatos, California, for borrowers Peter and Barbara Hartson.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents MUSA and HAZAR, as alleged above, subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10137, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

10.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent HAZAR in failing to ensure full compliance with the Real Estate Law is in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code and subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h) of the Code.

24 ///

25 | / / /

26 | ///

27 | /// .

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(AUDIT INVESTIGATION OF LOAN ACTIVITIES - UWFI and HAZAR)

11.

On October 29, 2010, the Department completed audit examinations of the books and records of UWFI pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 6 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from September 1, 2007 to March 31, 2010. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 090226 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

12.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 6
above and during the examination period described in Paragraph
11, Respondents UWFI and HAZAR acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) UWFI, using the d.b.a. "Modification Center" entered into advance fee agreements and collected advance fees for loan modification services. The loan modification agreements used by UWFI were not submitted to the Real Estate Commissioner 10 days before they were used, in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970.
- (b) After collecting advance fees, UWFI and HAZAR did not furnish the principals with verified copies of accounting content, including identification of the trust account into which

- 5 -

the advance fees were deposited, description of services rendered, the amount allocated from the advance fees at the end of each quarter, and when the contract was completely performed. This violated Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972.

- (c) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain columnar records for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.
- (d) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1.
- (e) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain a monthly trust fund reconciliation of all separate records to the columnar records of trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.
- (f) Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements ("MLDS") were not always contained in loan files. MLDS were not always signed and/or dated by borrowers or loan agents. In addition, UWFI did not always disclose rebates received from lenders as additional compensation for services rendered on the MLDS. These acts were in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840.
- (g) The MLDS reviewed did not include UWFI's license number, in violation of Code Section 10236.4(b).
- (h) UWFI conducted mortgage loan activity using the fictitious business names "Universal Wholesale Financial" and "Universal Whoelsale Financial" without first obtaining a license

from the Department bearing such fictitious business names, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731. 2 3 (i)UWFI employed and/or compensated an unlicensed 4 individual, Jose Rodriguez, who solicited and arranged a loan for UWFI without first obtaining a license from the Department, in 5 6 violation of Code Section 10137. 7 (j) UWFI failed to retain records of bank accounts, 8 columnar records, and separate beneficiary records related to advance fees collected for loan modification services, in 9 10 violation of Code Section 10148. 11 13. 12 The conduct of Respondents UWFI and HAZAR, described in 13 Paragraph 12, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set 14 forth below: 15 PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED 16 12(a) Code Section 10085 and Regulation 17 2970 ¹ 18 19 12 (b) Code Section 10146 and Regulation 20 2972 21 22 23 12(c) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 24 2831 25 26 12 (d) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 27 2831.1 - 7 -

12(e) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2 12(f) Code Section 10240 and Regulation б 12(g) Code Section 10236.4(b) 12(h) Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 12(i) Code Section 10137 12(j) Code Section 10148 The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of UWFI and HAZAR, under the provisions of Code Sections 10085, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(AUDIT INVESTIGATION OF ESCROW ACTIVITIES - UWFI and HAZAR)

14.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Glendale, County of Los Angeles, UWFI and HAZAR acted as real estate brokers conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d): collecting payments or performing services for borrowers as a broker escrow. In addition, UWFI and HAZAR conducted broker-controlled escrows through its escrow division under the exemption set for in California Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate license is required.

15.

On January 21, 2011, the Department completed audit examinations of the books and records of UWFI pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 14 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from November 1, 2007 to March 7, 2010. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 100104 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

16.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 14 above and during the examination period described in Paragraph 15, Respondents UWFI and HAZAR acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) The control record maintained for UWFI's escrow bank account were inaccurate and incomplete. It did not reflect the dates of receipt, deposit and disbursement of trust funds.

 UWFI did not maintain escrow control records from October 2008 to December 31, 2009. This violated Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2951.
- (b) The separate records maintained for each beneficiary or transaction were inaccurate and incomplete. UWFI did not provide all separate records for the audit, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1 and 2951.
- (c) The bank account used by UWFI for escrow activities was not designated as a trust account, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 and 2951.
- (d) Trust funds were withdrawn from UWFI's bank account without the signature of broker HAZAR, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834 and 2951
- (e) UWFI did not maintain a monthly trust fund reconciliation of all separate records to the control records of trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.2 and 2951.

- 1	1!		
1	(f) UWFI did not disclose to all parties in writing		
2	that UWFI had any interest as a stockholder, officer, p	partner or	
3	owner in the escrow operations, in violation of Regulation		
4	2950(h).		
5	(g) UWFI failed to retain escrow transaction	n files,	
6	bank statements, receipts and disbursements, a bank sign	mature	
. 7	card and separate records of UWFI's broker escrow activities, in		
8	violation of Code Section 10148 and Regulation 2950(e).		
9	9		
10	The conduct of Respondents UWFI and HAZAR, described is		
11	Paragraph 16, above, violated the Code and the Regulati	lons as set	
12	forth below:		
13	PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED		
14	16(a) Code Section 10145 and Re	egulations	
15	2831 and 2951		
16	16		
17	17 Code Section 10145 and Re	equlations	
18			
19	11		
20	20		
21	21 16(c) Code Section 10145 and Re	egulations	
22	2832 and 2951		
23	23		
24	24 Code Section 10145 and Re	gulations	
25		<u> </u>	
26			

Code Section 10145 and Regulations 16(e) 1 2831.2 and 2951 2 3 4 Regulation 2950(h) 16(f) 5 6 Code Section 10148 and Regulation 7 16(g) 2950(e) 8 9 The foregoing violations constitute cause for the 10 suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license 11 rights of UWFI and HAZAR, under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g). 13 NEGLIGENCE 14 18. 15 The overall conduct of Respondents UWFI and HAZAR 16 constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and 17 violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real 18 estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to 19 Code Section 10177(g). 20 SUPERVISION AND COMPLIANCE 21 19. 22 23 The overall conduct of Respondent HAZAR constituted a failure on his part, as a former officer designated by a 24 25 corporate broker licensee, to exercise reasonable supervision and 26 control over the licensed activities of UWFI as required by Code 27 Section 10159.2, and to keep UWFI in compliance with the Real

1 real estate license and license rights of HAZAR pursuant to the 2 provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h). 3 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 4 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 5 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 6 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions 11 of law. 12 Dated at Los Angeles, California 13 14 this 21 day of March 2011. 15 16 ROBIN 1 17 TRUJILLO Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Mortgage USA, Inc. cc:

Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the

Universal Wholesale Financial Inc.

Asped Parseghian-Hazar

Robin L. Trujillo

Sacto. OAH

25

26

FILED

FEB 142011

JAMES DEMUS, Counsel (SBN 225005) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY: Yame

Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

-or-

(213) 576-6910 (Direct)

5 6

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27 111

111

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC., ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually, and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal

Wholesale Financial Inc.,

Respondents.

No. H-36450 LA L-2010091127

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

This Accusation amends the Accusation filed on February 2, 2010. The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc. and Universal Wholesale Financial Inc., alleges as follows:

5

The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC. ("MUSA'), UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. ("UWFI") and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR ("HAZAR").

2.

Effective October 18, 2008, MUSA's real estate

corporation license has expired. Pursuant to Code Section 10201,

MUSA has a two-year right of renewal. MUSA no longer has license

rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the

Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code") as a real

estate corporation. Pursuant to Code Section 10103, the

Department of Real Estate ("Department") retains jurisdiction.

3.

UWFI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate corporation. It was first licensed as a corporation on May 31, 2007. From April 1, 2009 to the present, UWFI has had the d.b.a. "Modification Center" licensed with the Department. From May 31, 2007 to the present UWFI has had the d.b.a. "Wholesale Financial" licensed with the department.

4.

HAZAR presently has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker. On December 14, 2010,

HAZAR's license expired. Pursuant to Code Section 10201, HAZAR has a two-year right of renewal. Pursuant to Code Section 10103, the Department retains jurisdiction. HAZAR was the designated officer of MUSA until its real estate corporation license expired on October 18, 2008. HAZAR was the designated officer of UWFI from May 31, 2007 to March 8, 2010

5.

Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, Respondent HAZAR was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the Respondents MUSA and UWFI and by their officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, including the supervision of salespersons licensed to the corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required.

6.

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Unlicensed Activity - HAZAR and MUSA)

7.

In connection with HAZAR and MUSA's activities as real estate brokers, as described above, HAZAR and MUSA violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about March 21, 2007, HAZAR and MUSA employed Omar Masood, who was not licensed as a

- 3 -

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 19001 Schoolcraft Street, Reseda, California, for borrowers Mark and Susan Newton.

In connection with HAZAR and MUSA's activities as a real estate broker, as described above, HAZAR and MUSA's violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about July 30, 2007, they employed Michael Elachkar, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 665 Rancho Prieta Road, Los Gatos, California, for borrowers Peter and Barbara Hartson.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents MUSA and HAZAR, as alleged above, subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10137, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

10.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent HAZAR in failing to ensure full compliance with the Real Estate Law is in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code and subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h) of the Code.

24 111

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

/// 25

26 ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(AUDIT INVESTIGATION - HAZAR and UWFI)

11.

On October 29, 2010, the Department completed audit examinations of the books and records of UWFI pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 6 which require a real estate license. The audit examinations covered a period of time from September 1, 2007 to March 31, 2010. The audit examinations revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 090226 and the exhibits and workpapers attached to said audit report.

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

12.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 6 above and during the examination period described in Paragraph 11, Respondents UWFI and HAZAR acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) UWFI, using the d.b.a. "Modification Center" entered into advance fee agreements and collected advance fees for loan modification services. The loan modification agreements used by UWFI were not submitted to the Real Estate Commissioner 10 days before they were used, in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970.
- After collecting advance fees, UWFI and HAZAR did not furnish the principals with verified copies of accounting content, including identification of the trust account into which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

22

23

24

25

26

the advance fees were deposited, description of services rendered, the amount allocated from the advance fees at the end of each quarter, and when the contract was completely performed. This violated Code Section 10146 and Regulation 2972.

- (c) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain columnar records for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.
- (d) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for the advance fees collected from borrowers, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1.
- (e) UWFI and HAZAR did not maintain a monthly trust fund reconciliation of all separate records to the columnar records of trust funds received and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.
- (f) Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements ("MLDS") were not always contained in loan files. MLDS were not always signed and/or dated by borrowers or loan agents. In addition, UWFI did not always disclose rebates received from lenders as additional compensation for services rendered on the MLDS. These acts were in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840.
- (g) The MLDS reviewed did not include UWFI's license number, in violation of Code Section 10236.4(b).
- (h) UWFI conducted mortgage loan activity using the fictitious business names "Universal Wholesale Financial" and "Universal Wholesale Financial" without first obtaining a license

from the Department bearing such fictitious business names, in 2 violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731. 3 (i) UWFI employed and/or compensated an unlicensed 4 individual, Jose Rodriguez, who solicited and arranged a loan for 5 UWFI without first obtaining a license from the Department, in 6 violation of Code Section 10137. 7 (i) UWFI failed to retain records of bank accounts, 8 columnar records, and separate beneficiary records related to 9 advance fees collected for loan modification services, in 10 violation of Code Section 10148. 11 13. The conduct of Respondents UWFI and HAZAR, described in 12 13 Paragraph 12, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set 14 forth below: 15 PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED 16 12(a) Code Sections 10085 and Regulation 17 2970 18 19 12(b) Code Section 10146 and Regulation 20 2972 21 22 23 12(c) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 24 2831 25 26 12 (d) Code Section 10145 and Regulation 27 2831.1 - 7 -

1	1			
2	12(e) Cod	e Section 10145 and Regulation		
3	3	1.2		
4		1.2		
5	5			
6	6 12(f) Cod	e Section 10240 and Regulation		
7	7 284	0		
8	8			
9	9	g		
10		e Section 10236.4(b)		
11	1			
12	2 12(h) Cod	e Section 10159.5 and Regulation		
13	3 273	1		
14	4			
15	5			
16	/ 1	e Section 10137		
17	7			
18	12(j) Cod	e Section 10148		
19	9			
20				
21	1 1	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the		
22		suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license		
23	rights of UWFI and HAZAR, under the provisions of Code Sections			
24	1 10085, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).			
25	NEGLIG	ENCE		
26	$\begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$			
27	The overall conduct of Respondents UWFI and HAZAR			
- ·	constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and			

violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

4

5

3

1

2

SUPERVISION AND COMPLIANCE

15.

б

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

1,4

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

failure on his part, as a former officer designated by a

The overall conduct of Respondent HAZAR constituted a

corporate broker licensee, to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of UWFI as required by Code

Section 10159.2, and to keep UWFI in compliance with the Real

Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the

real estate license and license rights of HAZAR pursuant to the

provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).

111

111

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 14 day of February

of law.

2011.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be

conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon

action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents

of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and

MORTGAGE USA INC., UNIVERSAL WHOLESALE FINANCIAL INC. and ASPED

PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4

further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions

proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary

cc: Mortgage USA, Inc.
Universal Wholesale Financial Inc.
Asped Parseghian-Hazar
Robin L. Trujillo
Sacto.
OAH



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

SHARI SVENINGSON, Counsel (SBN 195298) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982

-or- (213) 576-6907 (Direct)



Khedenador

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MORTGAGE USA INC., ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually, and formerly designated officer of Mortgage USA, Inc.

Respondents.

No. H- 36450 LA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC., and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR, individually and as designated officers of Mortgage USA, Inc., alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Robin L. Trujillo, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against MORTGAGE USA, INC. ("MUSA') and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR ("HAZAR").

2

3

4

5

1

9

Я

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MUSA is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"), as a real estate corporation. Effective October 18, 2008, Respondent's real estate corporation license has expired. Pursuant to Code Section 10201, Respondent has a two-year right of renewal. Pursuant to Code Section 10103, the Department of Real Estate retains jurisdiction.

3.

HAZAR is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) as a real estate broker. HAZAR was the designated officer of MUSA until its real estate corporation license expired on October 18, 2008.

4.

Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, Respondent HAZAR was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the Respondent MUSA by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, including the supervision of salespersons licensed to the corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required.

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans on real property.

6.

In connection with Respondents' activities as a real estate broker, as described above, Respondents violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about March 21, 2007, Respondents employed Omar Masood, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 19001 Schoolcraft Street, Reseda, California, for borrowers Mark and Susan Newton.

7.

In connection with Respondents' activities as a real estate broker, as described above, Respondents violated Section 10137 of the Code in that on or about July 30, 2007, Respondents employed Michael Elachkar, who was not licensed as a real estate broker or salesperson, to solicit and negotiate a loan on real property located at 665 Rancho Prieta Road, Los Gatos, California, for borrowers Peter and Barbara Hartson.

8.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents MUSA and HAZAR, as alleged above, subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10137, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent HAZAR in failing to ensure full compliance with the Real Estate Law is in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code and subjects their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents MORTGAGE USA INC. and ASPED PARSEGHIAN-HAZAR under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 24 day of January,

Mortgage USA, Inc.

Robin L. Trujillo

Sacto.

Asped Parseghian-Hazar

ROBIN A TRUJILLO Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

2010.

б

cc: