1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

///

27

FILED

JAN 06 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of DAVID H. CHUNG,

Respondent.

No. H-35934 LA

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE AND GRANTING RIGHT TO A RESTRICTED LICENSE

On June 23, 2009, a Decision was rendered revoking Respondent's real estate broker license.

On September 7, 2012, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license, in that:

I

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (*Feinstein v. State Bar* (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (*Tardiff v. State Bar* (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911, Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:

Regulation 2911(j)—Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging monetary obligations toward others

Respondent has unpaid civil judgment from 2011 in favor of Canon Financial Services, Inc., unpaid civil judgment from 2009 in favor of California Commerce Club, Inc., unpaid civil judgments from 2008 in favor of Edennis Property, and Ma Ha Ho, unpaid small claims judgment from 2008,

Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that Respondent has complied with Regulation 2911(j), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate broker license.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license is denied.

I am satisfied, however, that it will not be against the public interest to issue a restricted real estate salesperson license to Respondent.

A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Code Section 10156.5 if Respondent within twelve (12) months from the date hereof providing Respondent:

- (a) takes, passes, and qualifies for the real estate salesperson license examination.
- (b) submits a completed application and pays the appropriate fee for a real estate salesperson license within the 12 month period following the date of this Order.

25

26

27

///

///

///

5. Respondent shall notify the Commissioner in writing within 72 hours of any arrest be sending a certified letter to the Commissioner at the Bureau of Real Estate, Post Office Box 137003, Sacramento, CA 95813-7013. The letter shall set forth the date of Respondent's arrest, the crime for which Respondent was arrested and the name and address of the arresting law enforcement agency. Respondent's failure to timely file written notice shall constitute an independent violation of the terms of the restricted license and shall be grounds for the suspension or revocation of that license.

JAN 27 2014 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

IT IS SO ORDERED _______ JAN 03 2014

By: JEFFREY MASON Chief Deputy Commissioner