Phy.

Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, #350 Los Angeles, California 90013

(213) 576-6982

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



FEB 2 7 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

y K. Mar

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-35698 LA L-2009040812

ILEANA RAMIREZ,

STIPULATION AND

) AGREEMENT

Respondent.

It is hereby stipulated by and between ILEANA RAMIREZ (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent"), representing herself in this matter, and the Complainant, acting by and through Martha J. Rosett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on February 13, 2009 in this matter:

- 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.
- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

27

26

- 1 -

3. On February 26, 2009, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to 1 Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. In order to effectuate this settlement, Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, she will thereby waive her right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 8 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. 10

- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.
- 7. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation are made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding, and are expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real

2 3

7

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil 2 proceedings. 3 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 4 By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose 5 6 of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: 7 The conduct, acts or omissions of Respondent ILEANA RAMIREZ, as set forth 8 in the Accusation, constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and licensing 9 rights of Respondent ILEANA RAMIREZ under the provisions of Business and Professions 10 Code ("Code") Section 10176(i). iı **ORDER** 12 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: 13 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent ILEANA RAMIREZ under the 14 Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of this 15 Decision; provided, however, that ninety (90) days of said suspension shall be stayed for two - 16 (2) years upon the following terms and conditions: 17 1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the 18 rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and 19 2. 20 That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date 21 of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his 22 discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed 23 suspensions. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become 24 permanent. 25 3. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this 26

Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the

DEC-03-09 THU 01:02 PM

2

3

В

6

7

Ð

9

10

1

12

73

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

FAX NO.

P. 05/06

Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of her signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of her actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

DATED: 12/8/09

aG

1	Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to
2	satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until
3	Respondent passes the examination.
4 5	DATED: 12/8/09 warth Welt
6	MARTHA MROSETT Counsel for Complainant
7	***
8	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with counsel, and
9	its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am
10	waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not
11	limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly,
12	intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the
13	Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the
14	right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation
15	of the charges.
16	Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of
17	this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of her signature page, as actually signed by
18	Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees
19	acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of he
20	actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Departmen
21	shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed
22	Stipulation and Agreement.
23	
24	DATED:
25	ILEANA RAMIREZ, Respondent
26	
27	

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in

this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on MAR 1 8 2010

IT IS SO ORDERED 2220

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissione

- 5 -

MAG

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Telephone: (213) 576-6982



FER 2 7 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

by K. Mar

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-35698 LA

L-2009040812

JUAN LUIS SUAREZ,

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondent.

It is hereby stipulated by and between JUAN LUIS SUAREZ aka

JOHN CRISTY (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent"), represented by Frank M.

Buda, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Martha J. Rosett, Counsel for the

Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the

Accusation filed on February 13, 2009 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 ///

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

3. On February 26, 2009, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. In order to effectuate this settlement, Respondent hereby freely

and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the

4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

- 2 -

7. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation are 1 made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding, and are expressly 2 limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real 3 Estate ("Department"), or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil 5 proceedings. 6 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 8 By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the 9 following Determination of Issues shall be made: 10 11 The conduct, acts or omissions of Respondent JUAN LUIS SUAREZ, as set 12 forth in the Accusation, constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and licensing rights of Respondent JUAN LUIS SUAREZ under the provisions of Business and 13 Professions Code ("Code") Section 10177(h). 14 15 **ORDER** 16 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JUAN LUIS SUAREZ under the 17 18 Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that ninety (90) days of said suspension shall be stayed for two 19 20 (2) years upon the following terms and conditions: 1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the 21 rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and 22 2. 23 That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date 24 of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his 25

discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed

26

suspensions. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

3. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this

Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the

Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until

Respondent passes the examination.

DATED: 4/9/09 M

Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondent may signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of his signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

26

	if
1	DATED:
. 2	JUAN LUIS SUAREZ, Respondent
3	
4	
5	DATED: Frank M. Buda, Esq.
6	Attorney for Respondent JUAN LUIS SUAREZ
7	* * *
8	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in
9	this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on MAR 1 8 2010
10	
11	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is so ordered $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
12	IT IS SO ORDERED 12 200
13	JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

FILED

FEB 2 7 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

x K. Mat

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-35698 LA L-2009040812

INFINITY LENDING INC ,

Respondent.

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On February 13, 2009, an Accusation was filed in this matter against Respondent INFINITY LENDING INC .

On September 1, 2009, Respondent petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its real estate broker license(s) pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent INFINITY LENDING
INC 's petition for voluntary surrender of its real estate broker
license(s) is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as
set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement
expressed in Respondent's Declaration dated September 1, 2009

(attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondent's license certificate(s), pocket card(s) and any branch office license certificate(s) shall be sent to the below listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Attn: Licensing Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon

MAR 1 8 2010

DATED: 2 2 10

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner EXHIBIT "A"

б

±0

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

INFINITY LENDING INC.,

DRE No.H-35698 LA
OAH No. L-2009040812

Respondent.

DECLARATION

My name is JUAN LUIS SUAREZ and I am the designated broker-officer of INFINITY LENDING INC., which is licensed as a real estate corporation, and/or has license rights with respect to said license. INFINITY LENDING INC. and I are represented by Frank M. Buda, Esq. in this matter. I am authorized and empowered to sign this Declaration on behalf of INFINITY LENDING INC.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Government Code) INFINITY LENDING INC. wishes to voluntarily surrender its real estate

- 1 -

license issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

I understand that INFINITY LENDING INC., by so voluntarily surrendering its license, can only have it reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering its license, INFINITY LENDING INC. agrees to the following:

- 1. The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as its petition for voluntary surrender.
- 2. The filing of this Declaration shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by INFINITY LENDING INC. that it waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 3. I further agree on behalf of INFINITY LENDING INC. that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in Department Case No. H-35698 LA may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the

- 2 -

purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of INFINITY LENDING INC.'s license pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.

4. Respondent INFINITY LENDING INC. freely and voluntarily surrenders all its licenses and license rights under the Real Estate Law.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that I am acting freely and voluntarily on behalf of INFINITY LUNDING INC. to surrender its license and all license rights attached thereto.

High Land California

JUAN LUIS SUAREZ,

Designated broker-officer, on bonalf INFINITY LENDING INC.

7.6

. .

MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) FILED Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St. #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013 FEB 13 2009 3 4 DEPARTMENT OF HEAL ESTATE (213) 576-6982 (213) 620-6430 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H- 35698 LA 12 INFINITY LENDING INC., a ACCUSATION 13 corporate real estate broker; JUAN LUIS SUAREZ, individually 14 and as designated officer of Infinity Lending Inc.; 15 and ILEANA RAMIREZ, Respondents. 16 17 The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate 18 Commissioner, for cause of Accusation against INFINITY LENDING 19 INC.; JUAN LUIS SUAREZ, individually and as designated broker-20 officer of Infinity Lending Inc; and ILEANA RAMIREZ, is informed 21 and alleges as follows: 22 1. 23 The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate 24 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in

26

27

her official capacity.

2.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent INFINITY

LENDING INC., dba Wells Financial Funding, dba Del Mar Lending

and Real Estate, and formerly dba Realty World Mi Casa (sometimes referred to as "INFINITY"), was and still is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) as a corporate real estate broker. Respondent INFINITY was originally licensed by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a corporate real estate broker on or about August 1, 2005. At all times relevant herein, Respondent INFINITY was authorized to act by and through Respondent JUAN LUIS SUAREZ as its broker designated pursuant to Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") Section 10159.2 to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.

3.

At all times herein mentioned, INFINITY is and was a California corporation. JUAN LUIS SUAREZ is the President of the corporation. As set forth more fully below, the corporate status of INFINITY was suspended, effective on or about September 2, 2008.

4.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JUAN LUIS

SUAREZ (sometimes referred to as "SUAREZ") was and is licensed

and/or has license rights under the Code as a real estate broker.

Respondent SUAREZ was first licensed as a real estate broker on or about April 2, 2003. At all times herein mentioned, Respondent SUAREZ was and continues to be the designated broker-officer of Respondent INFINITY.

5.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ILEANA

RAMIREZ (sometimes referred to as "RAMIREZ") was and is licensed and/or has license rights under the Code as a real estate salesperson. Respondent RAMIREZ was first licensed as a real estate salesperson on or about August 28, 1995.

6.

All further references to "Respondents" include the parties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above, as well as the officers, agents and employees of the parties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above.

7.

At all times relevant herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(a) and 10131(d), for or in expectation of compensation. Respondents represented buyers and sellers of real property and solicited and represented borrowers in negotiating and obtaining mortgage loans secured by real property.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Corporate Suspension)

8.

On or about September 2, 2008, pursuant to the provisions of Section 23302 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, the corporate rights and privileges of Respondent INFINITY were suspended by the California Secretary of State.

9.

The suspension of Respondent INFINITY LENDING INC. by the California Secretary of State constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondent INFINITY's corporate real estate broker license pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(f), and/or 10177(g) in conjunction with Regulation 2742.

10.

The suspension of Respondent INFINITY LENDING INC. by the California Secretary of State for failure to pay taxes is grounds to suspend or revoke the license and/or license rights of Respondent SUAREZ for failure to supervise the activities of the corporation, in violation of Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fernwood Avenue Transaction)

11.

Beginning in early 2006, borrower Christopher

Swearingen responded to a solicitation from representatives of

Respondent INFINITY, doing business as "Wells Financial Funding,"

regarding refinance of a loan secured by property located at 8060

Fernwood Ave., California City, California. At that time,
Respondent's agent, Alan Seales, represented that Mr. Swearingen
would be able to reduce his monthly payments and offered to find
a loan for Mr. Swearingen at more a more favorable rate than his
existing loan. Respondent INFINITY's representative, "senior
loan officer" Alan Seales, quoted the borrower loan and interest
rates and terms and assisted him in completing necessary
documents. Seales provided his broker manager, Respondent
SUAREZ, with the loan documents for review and signature.

12.

During the course of the subject loan transaction,
Respondent SUAREZ signed a Uniform Residential Loan Application
as the broker for Respondent INFINITY. Escrow was opened on the
loan transaction, which closed and funded on or about February
17, 2006. Respondent INFINITY received compensation for the
loan.

13.

During the course of the subject transaction,

Respondents made representations which they knew, or should have known, were false or misleading when they made them, with the intent to induce Mr. Swearingen to enter into the loan agreement. As an example, Respondents represented to Mr. Swearingen that his monthly payments would not exceed \$800.00 per month for the first year. Respondents INFINITY and SUAREZ misrepresented material terms of the loan to their client, Mr. Swearingen, and/or mislead

him to believe his monthly payments would be reduced by entering into the subject loan transaction. In truth and fact, Mr.

Swearingen later discovered that his actual payments exceeded the amounts set forth in the Truth-in-Lending Disclosure Statements provided to him by Respondents.

14.

Respondents' agent, Alan Seales, acted as the senior loan officer in the transaction. As Respondents well knew, at all times material herein, Alan Seales was not, and has never been, licensed by the Department as a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson employed by a real estate broker. As set forth above, Respondents INFINITY and SUAREZ employed, or otherwise utilized an unlicensed individual, Alan Searles, to perform acts requiring a real estate license.

15.

Respondent SUAREZ, as designated broker-officer of Respondent INFINITY, failed to adequately supervise the real estate activities of the corporation.

16.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions, as set forth in Paragraphs 11 through 15 above, in employing or compensating representatives for performing activities requiring a real estate license constitutes grounds to revoke the real estate licenses and/or license rights of Respondents INFINITY and SUAREZ pursuant to Code Sections 10137, 10177(d), 10177(g), 10176(i) and/or

1.4

10177(j).

•

17.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent, in making false and/or misleading representations, as set forth in Paragraphs 11 through 15 above, constitutes grounds to discipline the licenses and/or license rights of Respondent INFINITY and Respondent SUAREZ pursuant to Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c), 10176(i) and/or 10177(j).

18.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions set forth above in Paragraphs 11 through 15, in failing to adequately supervise the activities of Respondent INFINITY, constitutes grounds to discipline the license and/or license rights of Respondent SUAREZ pursuant to Code Sections 10159.2, 10177(h), 10177(d), 10177(g), 10176(i) and/or 10177(j).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (17154 Seaforth Street, Hesperia, California)

19.

Beginning in April of 2006, Respondents INFINITY

LENDING, SUAREZ and RAMIREZ represented Maria Chavez in the

purchase of residential property located at 17154 Seaforth

Street, Hesperia, California, and in obtaining loans related to that transaction.

20.

In connection with Maria Chavez' purchase of the

subject property, Respondent SUAREZ completed and signed a
Uniform Residential Loan application on or about May 22, 2006,
which was submitted to a lender or lenders along with additional
documentation. The application submitted to the lender or
lenders contained material information regarding income which was
false and/or misleading, including false representations that the
borrower earned \$7,500.00 per month and owned a house cleaning
business called "Chavez Cleaning."

21.

In reliance on the false information contained in the loan application, two loans were funded on or about July 21, 2006. Respondent received commissions for the sales transaction and for brokering the loans.

22.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of making false and/or misleading representations in order to induce reliance of borrowers, and in otherwise misleading borrowers into accepting loans on less favorable terms to the detriment of the borrowers, as set forth in Paragraphs 19 through 21 above, constitutes grounds to discipline the licenses and/or license rights of Respondent INFINITY, Respondent SUAREZ, and Respondent RAMIREZ pursuant to Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c), 10176(i) and/or 10177(j).

1///

26 | ///

23.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions set forth above in Paragraphs 19 through 21, in failing to adequately supervise the activities of Respondent INFINITY and Respondent RAMIREZ, constitutes grounds to discipline the license and/or license rights of Respondent SUAREZ pursuant to Code Sections 10159.2, 10177(h), 10177(d), 10177(g), 10176(i) and/or 10177(j).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents INFINITY LENDING INC., JUAN LUIS SUAREZ, and ILEANA RAMIREZ under the Real Estate Law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this day of

2009.

Maria Suarez

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Infinity Lending Inc.
Juan Luis Suarez
Ileana Ramirez
Robin Trujillo

Maria Suarez

Sacto.