1 ch	
Lain	
/	
	Department of Real Estate FILED
2	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (office) JUN / 7 2009
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ASTATE
4	BY: Anarty
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
. / 11	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-35387 LA
12	NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC.
13	and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF,) individually and as designated
14	officer of New Haven Financial) <u>STIPULATION</u> Inc., <u>AND</u>
15	Respondents.
16)
17	It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents
18	NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF, individually
19.	and as designated officer of New Haven Financial Inc., (sometimes
20	collectively referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Steven
_ 21	Morris, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott
22	Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows
23	for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation
24	("Accusation") filed on October 16, 2008, in this matter:
25	(ACCUBACION / LITER ON OCCODER 10, 2000, IN CHIS MACCEL.
26	111
. 27	
	- 1 -

All issues which were to be contested and all
 evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents
 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
 held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
 Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be
 submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
 Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

8 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the
 9 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
 10 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
 11 proceeding.

Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to
Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of
requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation.
Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw the Notice of
Defense.

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations.

17

27

5. This Stipulation is made for the purpose of
reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is
expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or
case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the
state or federal government, or any agency of this state, another

- 2 -

state or federal government is involved.

It is understood by the parties that the Real 6. 2 Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in 3 this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on 4 Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth 5 in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner 6 in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be 7 void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a R hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of 9 the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made 10 11 herein.

12 The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real 7. 13 Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not 14 constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further 15 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real 16 Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically 17 alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do 18 constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations 19 actually contained in the Accusations against Respondent herein. 20

8. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this
Stipulation, Respondents agree to pay, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of the audit. The
amount of said cost for the audit is \$4,958.40.

3 –

25 ///

111

26

1

Respondents have received, read, and understand the 9. "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit". Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations have been corrected. The maximum cost of the subsequent audit will not exceed \$4,958.40. DETERMINATION OF ISSUES By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made: The conduct, acts or omissions of <u>NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL</u> INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF, as described in Paragraph 4, herein above, are in violation of Section 10145 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and is a basis for discipline of Respondents' license and license rights as violations of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d).

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

I.

The license and licensing rights of Respondents NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF, under the Real 5 Estate Law, are suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from 6 the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that 7 thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed for two (2) 8 9 years upon the following terms and conditions:

10 Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the Α. 11 initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion_ 12 thereof) shall be stayed for two (2) years upon condition that:

1. Each Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to 14 Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate 15 of \$83.33 per day for each day of the suspension for a monetary 16 penalty of \$2,500, or \$5,000 total. 17

2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 18 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 19 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 20 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 21 matter. 22

3. No further cause for disciplinary action against 23 24 the real estate license of Respondents occur within two (2) years 25 from the effective date of the Decision in this matter. 26 ///

5

27

1

2

3

4

4. If Respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondents shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

16

27

5. If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and if no 8 9 further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate 10 license of Respondents occurs within two (2) years from the 11 effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall 12 become permanent.

13 The remaining thirty (30) days of the sixty (60) в. day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the 15 following terms and conditions:

(a) Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and 17 regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of 18 a real estate licensee in the State of California; and 19

(b) That no final subsequent determination be made 20 after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary 21 action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of 22 this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the 23 24 Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the 25 stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed 26 suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay

6 -

imposed herein shall become permanent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

16

II.

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondents NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for (a) the audit which led to this disciplinary action (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondents are now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action is \$4,958.40. In calculating the 10 amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons. performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an 13 allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of 14 Said amount for the prior and subsequent audits shall not work. 15 exceed \$9,916.80.

Respondents shall pay such cost within 60 days of 17 receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the 18 activities performed during the audit and the amount of time 19 spent performing those activities. 20

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondents 21 pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., 22 of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided 23 for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between 24 25 the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain 26 in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondents 27

enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to 1 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is 2 adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition. 3 4 5 417109 DATED: 6 ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate 7 8 9 EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION 10 We have read the Stipulation and discussed it with our 11 Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and counsel. 12 acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given 13 to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including 14 but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 15 Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 16 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 17 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 18 hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine 19 witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and 20 mitigation of the charges. 21 MAILING AND FACSIMILE 22 Respondents (1) shall mail the original signed 23 signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: 24 25 Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. 26 Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. 27

.

- 8 -

Respondents shall also (2) <u>facsimile</u> a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan.

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed stipulation.

DATED: 41-21-9

.

1

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

111

DATED:

NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC., a corporate real estate broker, BY: LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF D.O., Respondent

and as designated officer of New Haven Figure Inc., Bespondent

LAWRENCE S. RABINOFE, individually

DATED:

STEVEN MÓRRIS, ESQ. Attorney for Respondents Approved as to form and content

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondents NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF, individually and as designated officer of New Haven Financial Inc. and shall become effective at 12 Ju<u>ly 7</u>, 2009. o'clock noon on 2009. IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF DAVI Commissioner Real Estat e - 10

80.00

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	
5 (
1	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 Department of Real Estate
2	320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct)
4	-or- (213) 576-6982 (office) OCT 16 2008
5	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
6	BY:
7	
· 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * * *
11	
. 12	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H- 35387 LA
13	NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC.; $A \subseteq C \sqcup S \land T \sqcup O \square$ and, LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF,
14	individually and as designated) officer of New Haven Financial Inc.,
15)
16	Respondents,
17	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate
18	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
19	against NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF,
20	individually and as designated officer of New Haven Financial
21 22	Inc., alleges as follows:
22	///
23	
24	
25	
27	111
2.	
	- 1 -
]]

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

2.

LICENSE HISTORY

3.

A. NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. At all times mentioned, NEW HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. ("NHF") was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate broker. On July 28, 1994, NHF was originally licensed as a real estate broker by LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF as the designated officer.

B. LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF. At all times mentioned, LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF ("RABINOFF") was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department as a real estate broker. On September 16, 1989, RABINOFF was originally licensed as a real estate broker; and

C. At all times material herein, NHF was licensed by
 the Department as a corporate real estate broker by and through
 RABINOFF, as the designated officer and broker responsible,
 pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 of the Business and Professions

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 2 -

Code for supervising the activities requiring a real estate license conducted on behalf NHF of by NHF's officers, agents and employees, including RABINOFF.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

19

BROKERAGE AND LICENSED ACTIVITIES

4.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Calabasas, County of Los Angeles, NHF acted as a real estate broker and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of:

A. Code Section 10131(d). NHF operated a mortgage and
 10 loan brokerage; and

¹¹ B. In addition, NHF conducted broker-controlled ¹² escrows through its escrow division under the exemption set forth ¹³ in California Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate ¹⁴ brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate ¹⁵ transaction where the broker is a party and where the broker is ¹⁶ performing acts for which a real estate license is required.

MORTGAGE LOAN AND BROKER ESCROW AUDIT

5.

On September 24, 2008, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of NHF pertaining to the mortgage loan and broker-escrow activities described in Paragraph 4 that require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on March 1, 2005, 2006 to January 31, 2008. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the

- 3 -

following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 070290 and 070295 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

1

2

. 3

4

TRUST ACCOUNTS

6.

5	6.			
6	At all times mentioned, in connection with the			
7	activities described in Paragraph 4, NHF accepted or received			
8	funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of buyers,			
9	sellers, borrowers and escrow holders. Thereafter NHF made			
10	disposition of such funds. From time to time herein mentioned			
11	during the audit period, said trust funds were deposited and/or			
12	maintained by NHF in the bank accounts as follows:			
13				
14	"New Haven Financial Inc. Account No. 7601002673"			
15	Union Bank of California P.O. Box 512380			
16	Los Angeles, CA 90051-0380 (escrow trust account)			
17	"New Haven Financial Inc.			
18	Account No. 1290067479" Union Bank of California			
19 20	P.O. Box 512380 Los Angeles, CA 90051-0380 (loan servicing trust account)			
20	///			
22				
23				
24	111			
25	111			
26				
27				

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW MORTGAGE LOAN AND BROKER ESCROW AUDIT

7.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 6, above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph 5, Respondents NHF and RABINOFF, acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that they:

8 (a) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of 9 trust funds from the loan servicing trust account where the 10 disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in 11 escrow trust account, to an amount which, on January 31, 2008, 12 was \$1,939.65, less than the existing aggregate trust fund 13 liability of NHF to every principal who was an owner of said 14 funds, without first obtaining the prior written consent of the 15 owners of said funds, as required by Code Section 10145 and 16 Regulations 2832.1, 2950(g) and 2951. 17

(b) Failed to notify investors Sherman and Symonds that borrower Yniguez had defaulted on her loan, in violation of Code Section 10233(c)(1).

(c) The bank accounts for the broker escrow and loan servicing were not in the name of the broker as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, nor designated as trust accounts, in violation of Code Section 10145 of the Code and Regulations 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951

5 -

27

26

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

(d) No separate trust fund beneficiary records were maintained for the unidentified and unaccounted for trust funds in the loan servicing trust account in the amount of \$2,000, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951.

1

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

16

27

(e) Charged financed points and fees in excess of 6 \$1,000 or 6% of the original principal balance, exclusive of 7 8 points and fees in the three (3) covered loan transactions for 9 borrowers Yniguez, Goeckner and Ray, in violation of Financial 10 Code Section 4979.6 of the Predatory Lending Act.

11 (f) Failed to provide Consumer Caution and Home Ownership Counseling Notice no later than three business days prior to signing of the loan documents in the Yniguez, Goeckner and Ray covered loan transactions, in violation of Financial Code 15 Section 4973(k)(1) of the Predatory Lending Act.

(g)(1) Permitted and/or caused the disbursement of 17 trust funds to credit report companies on the representation that 18 these amounts were needed to pay for credit report fees, which 19 payments exceeded the actual costs of these services. 20 Respondents did not disclose to borrowers Yniguez, Rhodes, 21 Balderas-Gallardo, Keshishi, Metcalf, Goeckner and Ray these 22 23 "mark-ups" of said trust funds, did not obtain their consent to 24 these "mark-ups". Respondents retained the difference between 25 the amounts paid and the actual costs of the services, in 26 violation of Code Sections 10176(a) and 10176(g); and

(g)(2) Failed to disclose in writing to all parties including borrowers Anaya, Rhodes, Balderas-Gallardo, Keshishi, Metcalf, Arredondo, Goeckner and Cohen of NHF's financial interest and ownership of its escrow division, as required by Code Section 10176(g) and Regulation 2950(h).

(h) Failed to retain a true and correct copy of a
Department of Real Estate approved Mortgage Loan Disclosure
Statements signed by the broker for borrowers Yniguez, Anaya,
Balderas-Gallardo, Keshishi, Metcalf, Goeckner and Ray, as
required by Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840.

11 (i) Failed to retain a statement wherein the investors 12 indicate whether or not the investment in the multi-investor 13 loans that exceeded ten percent (10%) of the investors adjusted 14 gross income or ten percent (10%) of the investors net worth, as 15 required by each investor of whom meets one or both of the 16 aforesaid suitability standards and qualifications, evidenced by 17 a statement signed by the investor charged, and retained by NHF 18 for four years, in violation of Code Section 10238(f)(1) with 19 respect to borrowers Yniguez, Rhodes, Balderas-Gallardo, 20 Keshishi, Metcalf and Arredondo. 21

22 ////

1

2

3

4

5

- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///

///

26 27

- 7

· · ·				
•				
· 1	DISCIPLINARY STATUES AND REGULATIONS			
2	MORTGAGE LOAN AND BROKER ESCROW AUDIT			
3	8.			
4	The conduct of Respondents NHF and RABINOFF described			
5	5 in Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulati			
6	set forth below:			
. 7	PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED		
8	7(a)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations		
9		2832.1, 2950(g) and 2951		
10				
11	7 (1)			
12	7 (b)	Code Section 10233(c)(1)		
13				
14				
15	7 (c)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations		
16		2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951		
17				
18				
19	7 (d)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations		
20 21		2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951		
21		· .		
23				
24	7(e)	Financial Code Section 4979.6		
25				
26				
27	7(f)	Financial Code Section 4973(k)(1)		
		- 8 -		
}	· ·			

•

Code Sections 10176(a) and 10176(g) and Regulation 2950(h)

Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840

7(i) Code Section 10238(f)(1)

7(g)

7(h)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

10 The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or 11 revocation of the real estate license and license rights of NHF 12 and RABINOFF, under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(a) for 13 substantial misrepresentation, 10176(c) for a continued and 14 flagrant course of misrepresentations or making of false promises 15 through real estate agents or salespersons, 10176(g) for 16 undisclosed compensation, 10177(d) for violations of the Real 17 Estate Law, 10177(g) for negligence or incompetence.

MISREPRESENTATION

9.

Respondents NHF and RABINOFF intentionally engaged in the conduct above set forth in Paragraph 7. Alternatively, Respondents NHF and RABINOFF engaged in deceit by way of negligent misrepresentation, in violation of and Code Sections 10176(a) for misrepresentation, 10176(i) for fraud and dishonest dealing and/or 10177(g) for negligence or incompetence.

- 9 -

The overall conduct of Respondents NHF and RABINOFF constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents NHF and RABINOFF pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

11.

The overall conduct of Respondent RABINOFF constitutes a failure on his part, as officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of NHF as required by Code Section 10159.2, and to keep NHF in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of RABINOFF pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(h). ///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 1 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 2 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 3 action against the license and license rights of Respondents NEW 4 HAVEN FINANCIAL INC. and LAWRENCE S. RABINOFF, under the Real 5 Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions 6 Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper 7 8 under other applicable provisions of law. 9 Dated at Los Angeles, California October 2008 this | D day of 10 11 Deputy Real Commi ate 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 New Haven Financial Inc. cc: 24 c/o Lawrence S. Rabinoff D.O. 25 Robin Trujillo Sacto 26 Audits - Darryl M. Thomas Kimberly Wessler 27