| arw- | |
|--------|---|
| Do Sr. | |
| - | |
| : | DIC - 2 2011 |
| : | CEPARITY OF REALESTATE |
| ·. ·. | in KUllerhold |
| | |
| | |
| . 8 | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE |
| · · · | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | * * * |
| . 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-33957 LA |
| 12 | LAURA P. ČISNEROS, |
| 13 | Respondent. |
| 14 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| . 15 | ORDER SUSPENDING REAL ESTATE LICENSE |
| 16 | 15292 Crimson St. |
| 18 | Effective January 2, 2008, you entered into a |
| 19 | Stipulation and Agreement with the Department in Case No. H-33957 |
| 20 | LA. Certain conditions of the Agreement included the following: |
| 21 | 1. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and |
| 22 | Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's |
| 23 | reasonable cost for (a) the audits which led to the disciplinary |
| . 24 | action and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondent is |
| . 25 | in compliance with the Real Estate Law. |
| 26 | 2. The cost of the audits which led to the |
| 27 | disciplinary action is \$18,538.04. |
| | - 1 - |
| | |

3. The cost of the follow-up audit is pending.

4. Respondent was to pay such costs within 60 days of
receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the
activities performed during the audits and the amount of time
spent performing those activities.

1

5. If payment is not timely made as provided, or as
provided in a subsequent agreement, the Commissioner may suspend
Respondent's license.

9 6. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment
10 is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement to
11 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is
12 adopted following a hearing.

The Commissioner has determined that as of this date, taking into account payments made and credited through March 6, 2010, Respondent has failed to satisfy the above conditions, and still owes the Department the sum of \$13,646.36. As such, Respondent is in violation of the terms and conditions as described above.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the real estate broker license of Respondent LAURA P CISNEROS is suspended until such time as Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Department of compliance with the conditions referred to above, or pending final determination made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set forth below).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all license certificates and
 identification cards issued by the Department which are in the
 possession of Respondent be immediately surrendered by personal

- 2

delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope

lto:

1

2

3

4

5

Department of Real Estate Attn: Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Hearing Rights: You have the right to a hearing to 6 contest the Commissioner's determination that you are in 7 violation of the Stipulation and Agreement. If you desire a 8 hearing, you must submit a written request. The request may be 9 in any form, as long as it is in writing and indicates that you 10 want a hearing. Unless a written request for a hearing, signed 11 by or on behalf of you, is delivered or mailed to the Department 12 at 320 W. Fourth St., Room 350, Los Angeles, CA. 90013, within 20 13 days after the date that this Order was mailed to or served on 14 you, the Department will not be required to furnish you a 15 hearing. 16

This Order shall be effective immediately.

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED:

17

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

_, 2011.

| j. Pro- | |
|---------|---|
| popur | FILED |
| .1 | NOV ~9 2011 |
| 2 | DEPARTMENT OF REALTEST ATE |
| 3 | BY: KINO Weillary |
| 5 | |
| . 6 | |
| . 7 | |
| 8 | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE |
| . 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | * * * |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-33957 LA |
| 12 | JORGE OCHOA, |
| . 13 | Respondent. |
| 14 | j j |
| 15 | ORDER SUSPENDING REAL ESTATE LICENSE |
| 16 | 324 S. Diamond Bar Blvd. #227 |
| 18 | Effective January 2, 2008, you entered into a |
| . 19 | Stipulation and Agreement with the Department in Case No. H-33957 |
| 20 | LA. Certain conditions of the Agreement included the following: |
| 21 | 1. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and |
| 22 | Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's |
| 23 | reasonable cost for (a) the audits which led to the disciplinary |
| . 24 | action and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondent is |
| 25 | in compliance with the Real Estate Law. |
| 26 | 2. The cost of the audits which led to the |
| 27 | disciplinary action is \$18,538.04. |
| | - 1 - |

3. The cost of the follow-up audit is pending.

4. Respondent was to pay such costs within 60 days of
receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the
activities performed during the audits and the amount of time
spent performing those activities.

1

5. If payment is not timely made as provided, or as
provided in a subsequent agreement, the Commissioner may suspend
Respondent's license.

9 6. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment 10 is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement to 11 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is 12 adopted following a hearing.

The Commissioner has determined that as of this date, taking into account payments made and credited through March 6, 2010, Respondent has failed to satisfy the above conditions, and still owes the Department the sum of \$13,646.36. As such, Respondent is in violation of the terms and conditions as described above.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the real estate broker license of Respondent JORGE OCHOA is suspended until such time as Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Department of compliance with the conditions referred to above, or pending final determination made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set forth below).

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all license certificates and 26 identification cards issued by the Department which are in the 27 possession of Respondent be immediately surrendered by personal

- 2 -

1 delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope
2 to:

Department of Real Estate Attn: Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

3

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Hearing Rights: You have the right to a hearing to 6 contest the Commissioner's determination that you are in 7 violation of the Stipulation and Agreement. If you desire a . 8 hearing, you must submit a written request. The request may be 9 in any form, as long as it is in writing and indicates that you 10 want a hearing. Unless a written request for a hearing, signed 11 by or on behalf of you, is delivered or mailed to the Department 12 at 320 W. Fourth St., Room 350, Los Angeles, CA. 90013, within 20 13 days after the date that this Order was mailed to or served on 14 you, the Department will not be required to furnish you a 15 hearing. 16

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED: _____, 2011.

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

| <u>%</u> | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| т., г., , | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | Department of Real Estate | | | |
| 2 | 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 | | | |
| 3 | Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (office) DEC - 3 2007 | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY: | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | \mathcal{O} | | | |
| · 7 | | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE | | | |
| - - 9 | | | | |
| | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | * * * | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-33957 LA | | | |
| 12 | MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. doing) <u>STIPULATION</u> | | | |
| 13 | business as 1 st Family Realty;) LAURA P. CISNEROS, individually) <u>AND</u> | | | |
| 14 | and as designated officer; and JORGE OCHOA, individually and as | | | |
| | former designated officer of | | | |
| 15 | Money Plus Financial Inc., | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | Respondents. | | | |
| 18 | It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents | | | |
| 19 | MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. dba 1st Family Realty; LAURA P. | | | |
| 20 | CISNEROS, individually and as designated officer; and JORGE | | | |
| 21 | OCHOA, individually and as former designated officer of Money | | | |
| 22 | Plus Financial Inc., (sometimes collectively referred to as | | | |
| 23 | "Respondents"), and the Complainant, acting by and through | | | |
| 24 | Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as | | | |
| 25 | follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| . 27 | Accusation ("Accusation") filed on May 4, 2007, in this matter: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | - 1 - | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

%

All issues which were to be contested and all
 evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents
 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
 held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
 Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be
 submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
 Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

Respondents have received, read and understand the
 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
 proceeding.

12 Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense 3. 13 pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose 14 of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. 15 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of 16 Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by 17 withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right 18 to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 19 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the 20 provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights 21 22 afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense the right to cross-examine 23 24 witnesses.

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual
 allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of

- 2'-

expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

8 This Stipulation and Respondents' decision not to 5. 9 contest the Accusation is made for the purpose of reaching an 10 agreed disposition of this proceeding and is expressly limited to 11' this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the 12 Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the state or federal 13 government, or any agency of this state, another state or federal 14 government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in 15 any other criminal or civil proceedings. 16

It is understood by the parties that the Real 6. 17 Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in 18 this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on 19 Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth 20 in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner 21 in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be 22 23 void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a 24 hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of 25 the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made 26 herein.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 3

The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real 7. Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations actually contained in the Accusations against Respondents herein.

9 Respondents understand that by agreeing to this 8. 10 Stipulation, Respondents agree to pay, pursuant to Business and 11 Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of audit which led to 12 this disciplinary action. The amount of said cost for the audit 13 is \$18,538.04 (Audit Reports LA 040334, LA 050174, LA 050175, LA 14 050142 and LA 050143).

Respondents have received, read, and understand the 9. 16 "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit". Respondents 17 further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the 18 findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become 19 final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost 20 of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and -21 Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations 22 have been corrected. The maximum cost of the subsequent audit 23 24 will not exceed \$18,538.04

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

26

27

111

///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1 By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed 2 that the following determination of issues shall be made: 3 I. 4 The conduct, acts or omissions of MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 5 INC. and JORGE OCHOA (LA 050175), as described in Paragraph 4, 6 above, is in violation of Section 10145 of the Business and 7 8 Professions Code ("Code") and Sections 2831, 2831.1, 2832(a), 9 <u>2834</u>, <u>2950(d)</u>, <u>2950(g</u>), <u>2950(h)</u> and <u>2951 of</u> Title 10, Chapter 6 10 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") and is a 11 basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license 12 and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant 13 to Code Section 10177(d). 14 II. 15 The conduct, acts or omissions of MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 16 INC. and JORGE OCHOA (LA 050174), as described in Paragraph 4, 17 above, is in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and 18 Regulations 2831, 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951, and is a basis for 19 the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license and license 20 rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code 21 Section 10177(d). 22 III. 23 24 The conduct, acts or omissions of MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 25 INC. and LAURA P. CISNEROS (LA 050143), as described in Paragraph 26 4, above, is in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10163 and

- 5

Regulations 2715, 2831, 2831.1, 2832.1, 2832(a), 2834, 2950(d), 1 2950(g), 2950(h) and 2951, and is a basis for the suspension or 2 revocation of Respondents' license and license rights as a 3 violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Section 4 10177(d). 5 IV. 6 The conduct, acts or omissions of MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 7 INC. and JORGE OCHOA (LA 050142), as described in Paragraph 4, 8 above, is in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and 9 Regulations 2831, 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951, and is a basis for 10 11 the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license and license 12 rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code 13 Section 10177(d). 14 v. 15 The conduct, acts or omissions of MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 16 INC., JORGE OCHOA and LAURA P. CISNEROS (LA 040334), as described 17 in Paragraph 4, above, is in violation of Code Section 10145 and 18 Regulation 2831, and is a basis for the suspension or revocation 19 of Respondents' license and license rights as a violation of the 20 Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Section 10177(d). 21 VI. 22 The conduct, acts or omissions of JORGE OCHOA and LAURA 23 P. CISNEROS, as described in Paragraph 4, constitutes negligence 24 and is a basis the suspension or revocation of Respondents' 25 26 license and license rights pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

6 -

| 1 | VII. |
|------|--|
| 2 | The conduct, acts or omissions of JORGE OCHOA and LAURA |
| . 4 | P. CISNEROS, as described in Paragraph 4, constitutes a failure |
| 5 | to keep MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. in compliance with the Real |
| 6 | Estate Law during the time that they were the officers designated |
| . 7 | by a corporate broker licensee in violation of Section 10159.2 of |
| 8 | the Code. This conduct is a basis for the suspension or |
| 9 | revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to Code Section |
| 10 | <u>10177(h)</u> . |
| . 11 | ORDER |
| 12 | WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: |
| 13 | I. |
| 14 | All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents |
| 15 | MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. and LAURA P. CISNEROS, under the Real |
| 17 | Estate Law are suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from |
| 18 | the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that if |
| 19 | Respondents request, the initial thirty (30) days of said |
| 20 | suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition |
| 21 | that: |
| 22 | A. 1. <u>Respondents each pay a monetary penalty pursuant</u> |
| 23 | to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code of \$5,000 |
| 24 | (at the rate of \$166.66 per day for each day of the suspension) |
| 25 | for a monetary penalty of \$10,000. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| | - 7 - |
| • | |
| | |

2. <u>Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's</u> check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

3. <u>No further cause for disciplinary action against</u> the real estate licenses of Respondents occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

9 4. <u>If Respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in</u> accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondents shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

5. If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent

B. <u>The remaining sixty (60) days of the ninety (90)</u>
day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the
following terms and conditions:

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

| - 、 | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|
| • | | | |
| | | | |
| | 1 | (a) <u>Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and</u> | |
| | 2 | regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of | • |
| | 3 | a real estate licensee in the State of California; and | |
| | 4 | (b) That no final subsequent determination be made | |
| | 5 | after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary | |
| | 6 action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of | | • • |
| | 7 | this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the | |
| | 8 | Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the | |
| | 9 | stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed | |
| | 10 | suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay | |
| | 11 | imposed herein shall become permanent. | |
| | 12 | II. | |
| | 13 | All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent | |
| | 14 | JORGE OCHOA, under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a | |
| | 15 | period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of this | |
| | 16 | Decision; provided, however, that if Respondent requests, the | |
| • | 17 | initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion | |
| | 18 | thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that: | |
| | 19 20 | A. 1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to | |
| | 20 | Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code of \$2,500 | |
| | 22 | (at the rate of \$83.33 per day for each day of the suspension) | |
| | 23 | for a monetary penalty of \$2,500. | |
| | 24 | 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's | |
| | 25 | check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of | |
| 1 | 26 | the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the | |
| | 27 | | |
| | | - 9 - | .* |
| | | | |
| | • | | i ' |
| | | | |

Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

3. <u>No further cause for disciplinary action against</u> the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

5. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent

B. <u>The remaining sixty (60) days of the ninety (90)</u> day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:

(a) <u>Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and</u>
 regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of
 a real estate licensee in the State of California; and

(b) That no final subsequent determination be made after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary

- 10 -

action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

26

27

III.

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and

Professions Code, Respondents MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC., LAURA P. 9 CISNEROS, and JORGE OCHOA, shall pay the Commissioner's 10 11 reasonable cost for (a) the audit(s) which led to this 12 disciplinary action (b) a subsequent audit(s) to determine if 13 Respondents are now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. The 14 cost of the audit(s) which led to this disciplinary action is 15 In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's \$18,538.04. 16 reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average 17 hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate 18 brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and 19 from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the prior and 20 subsequent audits shall not exceed \$37,076.08. 21

Respondents shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondents

- 11 -

pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., 1 of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided 2 for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between 3 the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain 4 in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondents 5 enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to б provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is 7 8 adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition. 9 IV. 10 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents LAURA 11 P. CISNEROS and JORGE OCHOA, are indefinitely suspended unless or 12 until each Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the 13 Commissioner, of having taken and successfully completed the 14 continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling 15 specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 16 of the Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of 17 this requirement includes evidence that each respondent has 18 successfully completed the trust fund account and handling 19 continuing education course within 120 days prior to the 20 effective date of the Decision in this matter. 21 22 23 DATED: - 25-01 24 ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate 25 26 27 - 12 -

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation. Its terms are understood 3 by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California 5 Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to. 6 Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), 7 and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those 8 9 rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to 10 prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we 11 would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to 12 present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

MAILING AND FACSIMILE

Respondent (1) shall mail the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. Additionally, Respondent shall also (2) facsimile a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: 20 (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan. 21

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the 22 terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondent agrees, 23 24 acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to 25 the Department a facsimile copy of Respondent's actual signature 26 as it appears on the stipulation, that receipt of the facsimile

27

1

2

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

13 -

copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if 'n the Department had received the original signed stipulation. 2 3 8/17/07 DATED: 4 PLUS FINANCIAL INC., a corporate real estate broker, 5 BY: LAURA P. CISNEROS, D.O., Respondent 6 7 8 DATED: Ρ. CISNEROS individually and 9 as designated officer of Money Plus Financial Inc., Respondent 10 11 DATED: 12 JOI OCHOA individually and as former designated officer of Money 13 Plus Financial Inc., Respondent 14 15 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 16 adopted as my Decision as to Respondents MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 17 INC., LAURA P. CISNEROS and JORGE OCHOA, individually and as 18 designated officer and former designated officers of Money Plus 19 Financial Inc. and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on 20 <u>January 2, 2008.</u> 21 2007. IT IS SO ORDERED 22 23 JEFF DAVI 24 Real Estate Commissioner 25 26 27 14

| ат. С | | |
|----------------|----------|---|
| profes | | ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 |
| | 2 | Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 |
| | 3 4 | Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) -or- (213) 576-6982 (office) |
| | 5 | |
| | 6 . 7 | |
| | 8 | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE |
| | 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| | 10 | * * * |
| | 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-33957LA |
| | 12 | MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. doing) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{C} \sqcup \underline{S} \underline{A} \stackrel{T}{=} \underline{I} \underbrace{O} \underline{N}$ business as 1 st Family Realty;) |
| | 13 | LAURA P. CISNEROS, individually) and as designated officer; and) |
| | 14 15 | JORGE OCHOA, individually and as) former designated officer of |
| · | 16 | Money Plus Financial Inc.,)) |
| | 17 | Respondents.) |
| | 18 | The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate |
| | 19 | Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation |
| , - | 20 | against MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. dba 1 st Family Realty; LAURA P. |
| | 21 | CISNEROS, individually and as designated officer; and JORGE |
| | 22 23 | OCHOA, individually and as former designated officer of Money |
| | 23 | Plus Financial Inc., alleges as follows: |
| | 25 | |
| | 26 | 111 |
| | 27 | /// |
| | | -1- |
| | | |

1. 1 The Complainant, Janice Waddell, acting in her official 2 capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of 3 California, makes this Accusation against MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL Δ INC., LAURA P. CISNEROS and JORGE OCHOA,. 5 2. 6 All references to the "Code" are to the California 7 Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" 8 9 are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations unless 10 specified otherwise. 11 LICENSE HISTORY 12 3. .13 At all times mentioned, MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. Α. 14 ("MPFI") was licensed or had license rights issued by the 15 Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate broker. 16 On June 11, 1999, MPFI was originally licensed as a real estate 17 broker. 18 On September 22, 2000, in Case No. H-28770 LA, an . В. 19 Accusation was filed against Respondent MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL 20 INC., that resulted in discipline as set forth below in Paragraph 21 27. 22 23 C. At all times mentioned, LAURA P. CISNEROS 24 ("CISNEROS") was licensed or had license rights issued by the 25 Department as a real estate broker. On July 19, 1997, CISNEROS 26 was originally licensed as a real estate salesperson. On October 27

- 2 -

15, 1999, CISNEROS was originally licensed as a real estate broker. On December 13, 2004, CISNEROS was licensed as the designated officer of MPFI.

1

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

At all times mentioned, JORGE OCHOA ("OCHOA") was D. 4 licensed or had license rights issued by the Department as a real 5 estate broker. On July 9, 2003, OCHOA was originally licensed as 6 a real estate salesperson. On March 9, 2002, OCHOA was 7 8 originally licensed as a real estate broker. From July 20, 2004 9 to December 13, 2004, OCHOA was licensed as the designated 10 officer of MPFI.

11 Ε. At all times material herein, MPFI was licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker by and through CISNEROS and OCHOA, as the designated officers and brokers responsible, pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 of the Business and Professions Code for supervising the activities requiring a real estate license conducted on behalf MPFI of by MPFI's officers, agents and employees, including CISNEROS and OCHOA.

LICENSED ACTIVITIES AND BROKERAGE

4.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Rancho 21 Cucamonga, County of Los Angeles, MPFI acted as a real estate 22 broker and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of: 23 24 Code Section 10131(a). MPFI operated a residential Α. 25 resale brokerage dba 1st Family Realty. 26

B. Code Section 10131(d). MPFI operated a mortgage 1 and loan brokerage dba 1st Family Realty; and 2 C. In addition, MPFI conducted broker-controlled 3 escrows through its escrow division for 1st Family Realty, under 4 the exemption set forth in California Financial Code Section 5 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing escrows incidental 6 7 to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and 8 where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate 9 license is required. 10 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 11 BROKER ESCROW AUDIT 12 LA 050175 13 FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA 14 5. 15 On January 20, 2006, the Department completed an audit 16 examination of the books and records of MPFI pertaining to the 17 broker-escrow activities described in Paragraph 4, that require a 18 real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of 19 time beginning on December 13, 2004 to October 17, 2005. The 20 audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the 21 Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more 22 23 fully set forth in Audit Report LA 050175 and the exhibits and -24 workpapers attached thereto. 25 111 26 /// 27

TRUST ACCOUNTS

| 1 | | |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | 6. | |
| 3 | At all times mentioned, in connection with the | |
| 4 | activities described in Paragraph 4, above, MPFI accepted or | |
| 5 | received funds including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust | |
| 6 | funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties to | |
| 7 | transactions including buyers, sellers, borrowers and | ļ |
| 8 | escrowholders handled by MPFI and thereafter made deposits and or | |
| 9 | disbursements of such funds. From time to time herein mentioned | |
| 10 | during the audit period, said trust funds were deposited and/or | |
| 11 | maintained by MPFI in the bank accounts as follows: | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | "Money Plus Financial Inc. 1 st Family Realty Division 07508-07683" | |
| 14 | Bank of America | |
| 15 | 1211 W. Foothill Blvd Upland, CA 91784 ("T/A #1") | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | "Money Plus Financial Inc. 1 st Family Realty Division | |
| 18 | 07508-07683" Bank of America | |
| 19 | 1211 W. Foothill Blvd | |
| 20 | Upland, CA 91784 ("T/A #2") /// | |
| 21 | 111 | |
| 22 | 111 | |
| 23 | 111 | 1 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | 111 | |
| 26 | 111 | |
| 27 | | |
| | - 5 - | |
| | | |
| - 11 | | |

BROKER ESCROW AUDIT

LA 050175

FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

7.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4
and 6, above, and during the examination period described in
Paragraph 5, Respondents MPFI and OCHOA, acted in violation of
the Code and the Regulations in that they:

(a) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of 11 trust funds from the escrow trust accounts set forth below where 12 the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in 13 the escrow trust accounts, to an amount which, on October 17, 14 2005, was less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability 15 16 of MPFI to every principal who was an owner of said funds, 17 without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owners 18 of said funds, as required by Code Section 10145 and Regulations 19 2832.1, 2950(d), 2950(g) and 2951:

T/A #1: \$4,242,80 (shortage)

T/A #2: \$ 394.80 (shortage)

²⁴ for a total shortage of \$5,637.60.

25 26

27

111

111

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

б

(b) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, deposited and disbursed by the escrow trust accounts, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d) and 2951.

(c) Failed to maintain a accurate and complete separate
 record for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to
 account for all trust funds received, deposited into and
 disbursed from the escrow trust accounts, as required by Code
 Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951.

(d) The escrow trust accounts were not designated as trust accounts, in violation of Code Section 10145 of the Code and Regulations 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951.

(e) Permitted an unlicensed and unbonded person, Jennifer Carmean, Chief Financial Officer of MPFI, to be an authorized signatory on the escrow trust accounts, without proper bonding, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834, 2950(d) and 2951; and

(f) Failed to disclose in writing to all parties of MPFI's financial interest and ownership of its escrow division for 1st Family Realty, as required by Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2950(h).

24 /// 25 /// 26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

| | 8. |
|----------|--|
| 1 2 | The conduct of Respondents MPFI and OCHOA, described in |
| 3 | Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set |
| 4 | forth below: |
| 5 | PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED |
| 6 | 7(a) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 7 | 2832.1, 2950(d), 2950(g) and 2951 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | 7(b) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 10 | 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | 7(c) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 13 | 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 7(d) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 16 | 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | 7(e) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 19 | 2834, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | 7(f) Code Section 10145 and Regulation |
| 22 23 | 2950(h) |
| 24 | The foregoing violations constitutes cause for the suspension or |
| 25 | revocation of the real estate license and license rights of MPFI |
| 26 | and OCHOA under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) and/or |
| 27 | 10177(g). |
| | |
| | - 8 - |

.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

MORTGAGE AND LOAN BROKERAGE AUDIT

LA 050174

FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA

9.

On January 24, 2006, the Department completed an audit 6 7 examination of the books and records of MPFI pertaining to the 8 mortgage and loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 4, 9 that require a real estate license. The audit examination 10 covered a period of time beginning on December 13, 2004 to 11 October 25, 2005. The audit examination revealed violations of 12 the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following 13 paragraphs, and more fully set forth in Audit Report LA 050174 14 and the exhibits and workpapers attached thereto. 15 TRUST ACCOUNT 16 10. 17 MPFI accepted or received funds including funds in 18 trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or 19 prospective parties to transactions including buyers, sellers, 20 borrowers and escrowholders handled by MPFI, including credit 21 22 report and appraisal fees. During the audit period, MPFI did not 23 maintain a trust account for its mortgage and loan brokerage. 24 111

q

25

111

111

1

2

3

4

5

26

MORTGAGE AND LOAN BROKERAGE AUDIT

1

2

3

4

5

12

14

15

16

17

27

LA 050174

FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

11.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 6 and 10, above, and during the examination period described in 7 8 Paragraph 9, Respondents MPFI and OCHOA, acted in violation of 9 the Code and the Regulations in that they:

10 (a) Mixed and commingled trust funds and personal funds 11 by depositing appraisal and credit report fees received at the close of escrow into MPFI's general operating account and thereby 13 issued checks from said account to the appraisers or credit companies after the escrow checks were deposited, in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulations 2950(d) and 2951.

(b) Failed to maintain a control record in the form of 18 a columnar record in chronological order of all "Trust Funds 19 Received, Not Placed Broker's Trust Account", including failure 20 to record appraisal and credit report fees, in violation of Code 21 22 Section 10145 and Regulation 2831, 2950(d) and 2951; and

23 (c) Failed to maintain a separate record for each 24 beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all 25 trust funds received, deposited into and disbursed from all trust 26

10 -

| • | |
|-----|---|
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951. |
| . 3 | 12. |
| . 4 | The conduct of Respondents MPFI and OCHOA, described in |
| 5 | Paragraph 11, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set |
| 6 | forth below: |
| . 7 | PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED |
| 8 | 11(a) Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) |
| . 9 | and Regulations 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | - 11(b) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 12 | 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | 11(c) Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | The foregoing violations constitutes cause for the suspension or |
| 18 | revocation of the real estate license and license rights of MPFI |
| 19 | and OCHOA under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(e), |
| 20 | 10177(d) and/or 10177(g). |
| 21 | |
| 22 | 111 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | 111 |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| | - 11 - |
| | |
| | |

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

BROKER ESCROW AUDIT

LA 050143

DESIGNATED OFFICER LAURA P. CISNEROS

13.

On January 20, 2006, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of MPFI pertaining to the broker-escrow activities described in Paragraph 4, that require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on December 13, 2004 to October 17, 2005. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully set forth in Audit Report LA 050143 and the exhibits and workpapers attached thereto. TRUST ACCOUNTS 14. The trust accounts are the same as set forth in Paragraph 6.

BROKER ESCROW AUDIT

LA 050143

DESIGNATED OFFICER LAURA P. CISNEROS

VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW

15.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4
and 5, above, and during the examination period described in
Paragraph 13, Respondents MPFI and CISNEROS, acted in violation
of the Code and the Regulations in that they:

10 (a) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of 11 trust funds from the escrow trust accounts set forth below where 12 the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in 13 said escrow trust accounts, to an amount which, on October 17, 14 2005, was less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability 15 of MPFI to every principal who was an owner of said funds, 16 without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owners 17 of said funds, as required by Code Section 10145 and Regulations 18 2832.1, 2950(d), 2950(g) and 2951: 19

T/A #1: \$4,242,80 (shortage)

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

T/A #2: \$ 394.80 (shortage)

²³ for a total shortage of \$5,637.60.

24 25 111

111

111

- 26
- 27

13 -

(b) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, deposited and disbursed by the escrow trust accounts, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d) and 2951.

(c) Failed to maintain a accurate and complete separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all trust funds received, deposited into and disbursed from the escrow trust accounts, as required by Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

26

27

(d) The escrow trust accounts were not designated as trust accounts, in violation of Code Section 10145 of the Code and Regulations 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951.

(e) Permitted an unlicensed and unbonded person,
Jennifer Carmean, Chief Financial Officer of MPFI, to be an
authorized signatory on the escrow trust accounts, in violation
of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834, 2950(d) and 2951.

(f) Conducted escrow activities at 9607 Business Center Drive, Building 31-D and at 9037 Arrow Route, Suite 160, in Rancho Cucamonga, California, prior to obtaining branch office licenses from the Department, in violation of Code Section 10163 and Regulation 2715.

(g) Failed to disclose in writing to all parties of its
 financial interest and ownership of its escrow division for 1st

· 14 -

| | Family Realty, as require | d by Code Section 10145 and Regulation |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2950(h). | - |
| 2 | | 16. |
| 4 | The conduct of | Respondents MPFI and CISNEROS, described |
| 5 | | iolated the Code and the Regulations as |
| . 6 | set forth below: | |
| 7 | PARAGRAPH | PROVISIONS VIOLATED |
| 8 | 15(a) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 9 | | 2832.1, 2950(d), 2950(g) and 2951 |
| . 10 _. | | |
| 11 | 15(b) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 12 | | 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | 15(c) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 15 16 | | 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 10 | | |
| 18 | 15(d) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 19 | | 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 20 | | · · · |
| 21 | 15(e) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations |
| 22 | | 2834, 2950(d) and 2951 |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | 15(f) | Code Section 10163 and Regulation |
| 25 | | 2715 |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| | | - 15 - |
| | | |
| | | |

15(g)

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2950(h)

The foregoing violations constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of MPFI and CISNEROS under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

MORTGAGE AND LOAN BROKERAGE AUDIT

LA 050142

FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA

17.

On January 10, 2006, the Department completed an audit 13 examination of the books and records of MPFI pertaining to the 14 15 mortgage and loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 4, 16 that require a real estate license. The audit examination 17 covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 2004 to December 18 12, 2004. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code 19 and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and 20 more fully set forth in Audit Report LA 050142 and the exhibits 21 and workpapers attached thereto.

TRUST ACCOUNT

18.

²⁵ ²⁶ (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or ²⁷ prospective parties to transactions including buyers, sellers,

- 16 -

| 1 | borrowers and escrowholders handled by MPFI, including credit |
|----------|--|
| 2 | report and appraisal fees. During the audit period, MPFI did not |
| 3 | maintain a trust account for its mortgage and loan brokerage. |
| 4 | MORTGAGE AND LOAN BROKERAGE AUDIT |
| 5 | LA 050142 |
| 6 | FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA |
| 7 | VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW |
| 8 | 19. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 |
| 11 | and 18, above, and during the examination period described in |
| 12 | Paragraph 17, Respondents MPFI and OCHOA, acted in violation of |
| 13 | the Code and the Regulations in that they: |
| 14 | (a) Mixed and commingled trust funds and personal funds |
| 15 | by depositing appraisal and credit report fees received at the |
| 16 | close of escrow into MPFI's general operating account and |
| 17 | thereafter issued checks from said account to the appraisers or |
| 18 | credit companies after the escrow checks were deposited, in |
| 19 | violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulations, |
| 20 | 2950(d) and 2951. |
| 21 | (b) Failed to maintain a control record in the form of |
| 22 | a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds |
| 23 24 | received, deposited and disbursed by the escrow trust accounts, |
| 24 | in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d) |
| 26 | and 2951. |
| 27 | |
| | |
| | - 17 - |
| | |

•

| · | | · · · · | |
|----------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | (a) Poiled to maint | | |
| 1 | | ain a separate record for each | |
| 2 | | hereby failing to account for all | |
| 3 | | ed into and disbursed from the | |
| 4 | escrow trust accounts, as req | uired by Code Section 10145 and | |
| 5 | Regulation 2831.1, 2950(d) an | d 2951. | |
| 6 | | 20. | |
| 7 | The conduct of Resp | ondents MPFI and OCHOA, described in | |
| 8 | Paragraph 19, above, violated | the Code and the Regulations as set | |
| ِ é | forth below: | | |
| 10 | PARAGRAPH | PROVISIONS VIOLATED | |
| 11 | 19(a) | Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) | |
| 12 | | and Regulations 2950(d) and 2951 | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | 19(b) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations | |
| 15 | | 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 18 | 19(c) | Code Section 10145 and Regulations | |
| 18 | | 2831.1, 2950(d) and 2951 | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | The foregoing violations cons | titutes cause for the suspension or | |
| 22 | revocation of the real estate | license and license rights of MPFI | |
| 23 | and OCHOA under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(e), | | |
| 24 | 10177(d) and/or 10177(g). | | |
| 25 | 111 | | |
| 26 | 111 | | |
| 27 | 111 | | |
| | | | |
| | | - 18 - | |
| | | | |

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RESIDENTIAL RESALE BROKERAGE AUDIT

LA 040334

FORMER DESIGNATED OFFICER JORGE OCHOA

21.

On November 30, 2005, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of MPFI pertaining to the residential resale brokerage activities described in Paragraph 4, that require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully set forth in Audit Report LA 040334 and the exhibits and workpapers attached thereto.

TRUST ACCOUNT

22.

18 MPFI accepted or received funds including funds in 19 trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or 20 prospective parties to transactions including buyers and sellers, 21 handled by MPFI, including purchase money deposits for the 22 MaryBeth real estate transactions. During the audit, MPFI did 23 not maintain a trust account for its residential resale 24 brokerage. ///

19 -

/// 27

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

| 1 | | | | |
|---|------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | 1 | · · · | | |
| | 1 | RESIDENTIAL RES | ALE BROKERAGE AUDIT | |
| | 2 | LA | 040334 | |
| | 3 | DESIGNATED OFFICERS JORGE | COCHOA AND LAURA P. CISNEROS | |
| | 4 | VIOLATIONS OF T | HE REAL ESTATE LAW | |
| | 5 | | 23. | |
| | 7 | | vities described in Paragraphs 4 | |
| | 8 | and 22, above, and during the e | · . | |
| | 9 | Paragraph 21, Respondents MPFI, | | |
| | 10 | violation of the Code and the R | | |
| | 11 | | n a control record in the form of | |
| | 12 | | | |
| | 13 | | | |
| | 14 | | | |
| | 15 | to record purchase money deposits for the MaryBeth Rojas purchase | | |
| | 16 | | | |
| | 17 | of Code Section 10145 and Regul | 24. | |
| | 18 | | | |
| | 19 | The conduct of Respondents MPFI, OCHOA and CISNEROS, | | |
| | 20 | described in Paragraph 22, above, violated the Code and the | | |
| | 21 | Regulations as set forth below: | | |
| | 22 | | PROVISIONS VIOLATED | |
| | 23 | | code Section 10145 and Regulation | |
| | 24 | . 2 | 831 | |
| | 25 26 | | | |
| | 26 27 | | | |
| | <i>4</i> 1 | | | |
| | | | 20 - | |

The foregoing violations constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of MPFI, OCHOA and CISNEROS under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

NEGLIGENCE

25.

7 The overall conduct of Respondents MPFI, CISNEROS and 8 OCHOA constitute negligence or incompetence. This conduct and 9 violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real 10 estate license and license rights of said pursuant to Code 11 Section 10177(g).

SUPERVISION AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE REAL ESTATE LAW 26.

The overall conduct of CISNEROS and OCHOA constitutes a 15 failure on their part, as officers designated by a corporate 16 broker licensee, responsible for the supervision and control over 17 the activities conducted on behalf of MPFI by its officers, 1.8 managers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance 19 with the provisions of the Real Estate Law including the 20 supervision of the salespersons licensed to the corporation in 21 the performance of acts for which a real estate license is 22 required, including having no system in place for regularly 23 24 monitoring MPFI's compliance with the Real Estate Law especially 25 in regard to establishing policies to review trust fund handling, 26 in violation of Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h).

- 21 -

27

1

2

٦

Δ

5

6

12

13

PRIOR DEPARTMENTAL ACTION

1

27.

| 4 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | On September 22, 2000, in Case No. H-28770 LA, an |
| 4 | Accusation was filed against Respondent MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC. |
| 5 | dba 1 st Family Realty et al, that resulted in stipulated |
| 6 | discipline including a stayed suspension and monetary penalty for |
| 7 | violations of Code Section 10137, effective January 2, 2001. |
| 8 | WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be |
| 9 | conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon |
| 10 | proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary |
| 11 | action against the license and license rights of Respondents |
| 12 | MONEY PLUS FINANCIAL INC., LAURA P. CISNEROS and JORGE OCHOA, |
| 13 | under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business |
| 14 | and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as |
| 15 | may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. |
| 16 | Dated at Los Angeles, California |
| 18 | this 17 april 2007 |
| 19 | Deputy Real Estate Commissioner |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | cc: Money Plus Financial Inc. |
| 24 | c/o Laura P. Cisneros D.O. |
| 25 | Jorge Ochoa Janice Waddell |
| 26 | Sacto Audits - Jennifer Lin |
| 27 | |
| | |

22 -