

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Order to Desist and Refrain to:

No. H-33002 LA

L-2006090738

BEST FUNDING, INC., and TAMMY KIM, aka Shelly Tammy Kim

#### DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated November 13, 2006, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

|         | This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock |
|---------|--|
| noon on | December 20, 2006                                  |
|         | IT IS SO ORDERED                                   |

| DAVI<br>Esta <b>y</b> e Commissioner |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Lun O                                |  |
| <br>MMh X                            |  |
|                                      |  |

## BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Order to Desist and Refrain to:

Case No. H-330002 LA

BEST FUNDING, INC. and TAMMY KIM aka Shelly Tammy Kim OAH No. L2006090738

#### **PROPOSED DECISION**

This matter was heard on October 12, 2006, at Los Angeles, California, by Chris Ruiz, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California.

Best Funding, Inc. (Best) and Tammy Kim (TK) were represented by Jim Park, Esq. TK was not present.

Jeff Davi, Real Estate Commissioner (Commissioner), was represented by James Peel, Counsel for Department of Real Estate (Department).

Oral and documentary evidence was received and the matter was argued. The record was left open until October 23, 2006, in order for the parties to discuss settlement. The matter was submitted for decision on October 23, 2006. The parties stipulated that this decision would be due by November 22, 2006.

### FACTUAL FINDINGS

1. On June 6, 2006, the Commissioner issued an Order to Desist and Refrain to Best and TK. The Commissioner determined that Best and TK had violated Business and Professions Code (Code)<sup>1</sup> section 10130 by engaging in the business of a real estate broker within the State of California without a real estate broker's license. Best and TK were ordered to desist and refrain from performing any and all acts requiring a real estate license in the State of California until they obtained the required licenses from the Department.

2. Best and TK did not hold a real estate license from July 1, 2002, to September 30, 2006. No evidence was presented that either Best or TK presently hold a valid real estate license.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise stated.

3. In August 2003, Best and TK obtained a loan for Grace Kim for the purchase of real property located at 4568 West First Street, Unit 206, Los Angeles, California.

4. In July 2003, Best and TK obtained a loan for Suk Kim for the purchase of real property located at 1138 South Serrano Ave., Los Angeles, California. In March 2004, Best and TK obtained a loan for Suk Kim for the purchase of real property located at 918 Fifth Ave., Los Angeles, California.

5. As to each of above-described loans, it was established that a loan broker fee was paid to Best, a corporate entity. The manner of incorporation, and who serves as Best's corporate officers, were not established. TK's relationship to the corporate entity Best was also not established. It was also not established that TK directly received a loan broker's fee. However, it was established that both Grace Kim and Suk Kim dealt exclusively with TK in obtaining their loans and that TK was representing Best.

6. The Commissioner did not establish that Best and TK obtained real estate loans concerning property at 1047 and 1049 South Kenmore Ave, Los Angeles, California, and 2532-2532 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> West 18th Street, Los Angeles, California.

## LEGAL CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

1. Best and TK obtained real estate loans without a license. However, Best and TK contended that the Commissioner did not establish that TK obtained the above-described loans "for or in expectation of compensation." As such, Best and TK contended the Order should be overruled as to TK. Best and TK conceded that the Order should be upheld as to Best. Therefore, a discussion of the relevant statutory authority is necessary.

2. Code section 10130 states, in pertinent part:

"It is unlawful for any person to engage in the business, act in the capacity of, advertise or assume to act as a real estate broker or a real estate salesman within this state without first obtaining a real estate license from the department."

3. Code section 10131 states, in pertinent part:

A real estate broker within the meaning of this part is a person who, for a compensation or in expectation of a compensation, regardless of the form or time of payment, does or negotiates to do one or more of the following acts for another or others:

# [¶] · · · [¶]

(d) Solicits borrowers or lenders for or negotiates loans or collects payments or

2

performs services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity. (Italics added.)

4. TK's argument is not persuasive. The evidence established that TK was the only person directly involved in negotiating the loans. This evidence is sufficient to establish that TK was acting as a broker in expectation of compensation as required by Code section 10131. To conclude otherwise would require a finding that TK was voluntarily working, and not expecting any compensation, while transacting loans at Best. TK did not testify and did not offer any such explanation. Such a conclusion is not rational and does not make sense. (Factual Findings 1-6.)

#### ORDER

The Order to Desist and Refrain issued to Best Funding, Inc., and Tammy Kim is upheld. Best Funding, Inc., and Tammy Kim are ordered to desist and refrain from performing any and all acts requiring a real estate license in the State of California until such time as the required licenses are obtained from the Department of Real Estate.

DATED: November <u>[3</u>, 2006.

CHRK RUTZ

Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

1 JAMES R. PEEL, Counsel (SBN 47055) Department of Real Estate 2 7 2006 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 350 SEP 2 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 3 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 4 -or-(213) 576-6913 (Direct) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 To: NO. H-33002 LA 12 L-2006090738 BEST FUNDING, INC., 13 and TAMMY KIM, aka NOTICE OF HEARING Shelly Tammy Kim. ON ORDER TO 14 DESIST AND REFRAIN 15 On June 7, 2006, the Real Estate Commissioner of the 16 State of California issued his Order directing the above-named 17 parties to desist and refrain from certain alleged activities. 18 On September 15, 2006, the Department of Real Estate 19 ("Department"), Los Angeles Office, received acknowledgment of 20 the Order to Desist and Refrain from Best Funding, Inc., and 21 Tammy Kim. 22 Jim H. Park and Janine A. Soukiasian, Attorneys for 23 24 Best Funding, Inc., and Tammy Kim, advised that they would be representing the parties in a hearing held before the Department 25 pursuant to Section 10086 of the Business and Professions Code 26 27 of the State of California.

-1-

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing on the Order to Desist and Refrain will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on October 12, 2006, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the matters contained in the Order to Desist and Refrain filed June 23, 2006.

You may be present at the hearing and may be represented by counsel, but you are neither required to be present at the hearing, nor are you required to be represented by counsel.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

DATED: September 27, 2006.

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

Bv: JAMES R. THE Counse

24 cc: Best Funding, Inc. Tammy Kim
25 Jim H. Park, Esq. Janine A. Soukiasian, Esq. Sacto. OAH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-2-

| ,    | ur b   |  |
|------|--|--|
| . 1  | Department of Real Estate  |  |
| . 2  | 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350<br>Los Angeles, California 90013  |  |
| 3    | (213) 576-6982 JUN 2 3 2006  |  |
| 4    | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  |  |
| 5    | Bx Ma trajue   |  |
| 6    |  |  |
| 7    |  |  |
| 8    | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  |  |
| 9    | STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |  |
| 10   | * * * *  |  |
| 11   | To: ) No. H-33002 LA   |  |
| 12   | BEST FUNDING, INC.,)ORDER TO DESISTand TAMMY KIM,)AND REFRAIN  |  |
| 13   | aka Shelly Tammy Kim ) (B&P Code § 10086)  |  |
| · 15 | The Reel Tetete Completioner of the State of Gulif   |  |
| . 16 | The Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California<br>(hereinafter "Commissioner") has determined from the findings set |  |
| 17   | forth below that you, BEST FUNDING, INC., and TAMMY KIM, aka   |  |
| 18   | Shelly Tammy Kim, have violated Section 10130 of the Business and  |  |
| 19   | Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") by engaging in the business  |  |
| 20   | of a real estate broker within the State of California without a   |  |
| 21   | real estate broker license.  |  |
| 22   | I  |  |
| 23   | At no time herein mentioned have you, BEST FUNDING,  |  |
| 24   | INC., and TAMMY KIM, been licensed by the Department of Real   |  |
| 25   | Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "Department") as a  |  |
| 26   | real estate broker.  |  |
| 27   |  |  |
|      | - 1 -  |  |

11

- 1 -

- 1

2

In or about August, 2003, for or in expectation of 3 compensation, you, solicited Grace Kim for a loan on real 4 property located at 4568 W. First Street, Unit 206, Los Angeles, 5 California. 6 III 7 In or about July, 2003, for or in expectation of 8 compensation, you, solicited Suk M. Kim for a loan on real 9 property located at 1138 South Serrano Ave., Los Angeles, 10 California. In or about March, 2004, you, solicited Suk M. Kim 11 for a loan on real property located at 918 Fifth Ave., Los 12 Angeles, California. 13 IV 14 In or about October, 2003, for or in expectation of 15 compensation, you, solicited Woo Jong Lim for a loan on real 16 property located at 1047 and 1049 South Kenmore Ave., Los 17 Angeles, California. 18 v 19 In or about April, 2004, for or in expectation of 20 compensation, you, solicited Chang Jin Kim for a loan on real 21 property located at 2532-2532 1/2 West 18th Street, Los Angeles, 22 California. 23 VI 24 Your, BEST FUNDING, INC., and TAMMY KIM, acts as 25 described in Paragraphs II, III, IV, and V, above, are acts 26 requiring a real estate broker license under the provisions of 27 Code Section 10131(d).

- 2 -

1 VII You, and each of you, violated Code Section 10130 by 2 3 engaging in the activities described in Paragraphs II, III, IV, 4 and V, above, without first obtaining a real estate broker 5 license from the Department. 6 7 NOW, THEREFORE, YOU, BEST FUNDING, INC., and YOU, TAMMY 8 KIM, AKA SHELLY TAMMY KIM, ARE ORDERED TO DESIST AND REFRAIN from 9 performing any and all acts requiring a real estate license in 10 the State of California until you obtain the required licenses 11 from the Department. 6 - 7 - , 200%. 12 DATED: 13 JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner 14 15 N 16 17 18 19 20 cc: Tammy Kim 3435 Wilshire Blvd., #2410 21 Los Angeles, California 90010 22 Best Funding, Inc. 3435 Wilshire Blvd., #2410 23 Los Angeles, California 90010 24 JRP:PK(jrp) 25 26 27 3 -