

### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \* \* \*

Respondent.

#### DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated January 22, 2007, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

The Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on grounds of the conviction of a crime.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license or to the reduction of a suspension is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's <u>Criteria of Rehabilitation</u> are attached hereto for the information of respondent.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on May 22, 2007.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN.

, 200

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the	Matter	of the	Accusation	n of
ш	ivialici	OI LIIC	Accusation	I UII.

DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN,

Case No. H - 32782 LA

OAH No. L 2006090726

Respondent.

#### PROPOSED DECISION

Administrative Law Judge N. Gregory Taylor, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter in Los Angeles, California on December 15, 2006.

James R. Peel, Staff Counsel, represented Maria Suarez (Complainant), a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner in the Department of Real Estate (Department), State of California.

David Alfonso Magarin (Respondent) was present throughout the hearing and represented himself.

Oral and documentary evidence was received, and the matter was argued. The case was submitted for decision on December 15, 2006.

#### FACTUAL FINDINGS

- 1. Complainant filed the Accusation in this proceeding in her official capacity.
- 2. Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter referred to as the "Code").
  - 3. Respondent was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker from June 8, 1994 through November 15, 2004. Respondent's license was restricted, effective

November 15, 2000, as a result of the Decision in case No. H-28521 LA. Respondent's restricted license expired in 2004.<sup>1</sup>

- 4. The Department's 2000 disciplinary action against Respondent concerned Respondent's failure to maintain his books and records in the manner prescribed by the Department. Respondent has satisfied the conditions set forth in the Department's 2000 restricted license.
- 5. On July 9, 2004, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Respondent pled guilty and was convicted of a violating 18 USC 1343, subdivision 2 (b), wire fraud. The court placed Respondent on formal probation for a period of three years, upon certain terms and conditions, including his serving three months of home confinement and paying \$27,000 in restitution. Respondent has completed his home confinement and has paid the restitution in full, but remains on probation until November 2007.
- 6. The facts and circumstances concerning Respondent's 2004 criminal conviction are as follows: Respondent was involved in a real estate transaction where the purchaser of the property needed a W-2 form showing sufficient income to qualify for the loan to finance the sale. Respondent prepared a false W-2 form and a "paste up" so that the purchaser had documentation to falsely show sufficient income to qualify. Respondent received \$75 for preparing the documents. The real estate transaction closed and the purchaser subsequently defaulted on the loan. The federal agency which financed the sale initiated the criminal action against Respondent and others involved in the transaction.
- 7. Respondent came to the United States from El Salvador in 1979. After establishing himself in Los Angeles, he saved enough money to bring his wife to this country, as well. They have two children who are now grown.
- 8. Respondent is active in his church. He regularly attends services. In the past, he has been an instructor in English as a second language.
- 9. In 1989 Respondent obtained a real estate salesperson license from the Department and, subsequently, a broker license. Thereafter, Respondent opened his own real estate office. During pre trial interviews with the Department representatives, Respondent disclosed having been involved in two lawsuits where his agents had been accused of mishandling funds and negligence.
- 10. As a condition of his probation, Respondent presently must have his probation officer's permission to conduct real estate transactions. For this reason,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board retains jurisdiction over lapsed licenses. Business and Professions Code section 10103.

Respondent did not renew his broker license when its term ended. Respondent is interested in renewing his real estate broker license in the future.

11. At the present time, Respondent is employed as a truck driver.

#### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. These proceedings are brought under the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 10100 et seq. and Government Code sections 11500 through 11528.
- 2. Respondent's 2004 federal criminal conviction for wire fraud involved moral turpitude.
- 3. Respondent's 2004 federal criminal conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensee of the Department, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2910, subdivision (a) (8), in that it involved doing an unlawful act with the intent of conferring a financial or economic benefit upon the perpetrator.
- 4. Cause exists, under the provisions of Business and Profession Code sections 490 and/or 10177, subdivision (b), to revoke or suspend Respondent's real estate broker license by virtue of his criminal conviction.
- 5. The Department's Criteria of Rehabilitation, set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2912, provides factors to be considered in evaluating the rehabilitation of a licensee against whom an administrative disciplinary proceeding for revocatin or suspension of the license has been initiated on account of a crime committed by the Licensee. It has been more than two years since Respondent's criminal conviction. However, he remains on probation until later this year. Given the seriousness of the crime of which Respondent has been convicted and the prior administrative action against him by the Department, more time is needed to evaluate Respondent's rehabilitation.

#### ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent David Alfonso Magarin derived from the Department are revoked.

DATED: January 22, 2007.

N. GREGORY TAYLOR

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

5N/01

JAMES R. PEEL, Counsel (SBN 47055) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

-or-

(213) 576-6913 (Direct)

MAY - 2 2006

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By

## DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

her official capacity.

No. H-32782 LA

DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN,

<u>ACCUSATION</u>

Respondent.

14

15

16

17

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation

against DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN, alleges as follows:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

II

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate

DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter referred to as the "Code").

III

Respondent was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California as a real estate broker from June 8, 1994, through November 15, 2004. Respondent had his license restricted effective November 16, 2000, as a result of the Decision in case no. H-28521 LA.

IV

On or about July 9, 2004, in the US District Court for the Central District of California, in case no. SACR 02-149-GLT, Respondent was convicted of the offenses of Wire Fraud, Causing an Act to be Done, in violation of 18 USC 1343, 2(b), crimes involving moral turpitude.

V

The matter referred to in Paragraph IV is substantially related to the duties, functions, and responsibilities of a real estate licensee.

VI

The above referenced matter is cause to suspend or revoke the license rights of Respondent under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Business and Professions Code.

22 | ///

23 | ///

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 || ///

25 | | / / /

26 ///

<sup>27</sup> ||///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent DAVID ALFONSO MAGARIN under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated	at	Los	Angeles,	California,
	011	<i>II</i>		/ <b>17</b> / •

this My day of Millerall 2005.

MARIA SUAREZ

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: David Alfonso Magarin Maria Suarez

Sacto.