Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982

(213) 576-6910 (Direct)



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

9

3

4

5

6

7

In the Matter of the Accusation of ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation) and MICHELE CHAN, individually and) OAH No. L-2004020106 as designated officer of ST Investment, Inc.,

DRE No. H-30636 LA

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondents.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

15

It is hereby stipulated by and between ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation (hereinafter "ST") and MICHELE CHAN. individually and as designated officer of ST Investment, Inc. (hereinafter "CHAN") (hereinafter sometimes both referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Frank M. Buda, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Chris Leong, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on January 21, 2004.

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be

held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation.

 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense they will thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these factual allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual statements,

will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation and Respondents' decision not to contest the Accusation are made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and are expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate, or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.
- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.
- 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any conduct which was not specifically

alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding. 1 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 2 By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and 3 waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: The acts and omissions of Respondents, described in the 7 Accusation, is a violation of Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code and is cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under 10 the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Business and 11 Professions Code. 12 ORDER 13 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: 14 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents ST 15 INVESTMENT, INC. and MICHELLE CHAN, individually and as 16 designated officer of St Investment, Inc., under the Real Estate 17 Law are suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the 18 effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that sixty 19 (60) days of said suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years 20 upon the following terms and conditions: 21 Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and 22 regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities 23 of a real estate licensee in the State of California. 24

after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary

action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of

25

26

27

That no final subsequent determination be made,

this Decision. Should such determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

3. Respondent CHAN shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

DATED: WINOY

cities trans

CHRIS LEONG, ESQ.
Counsel for Complainant

* * *

В

.10

We have read the Stipulation and Agreement have discussed it with our counsel and its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we am waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondents can signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by Respondents, to the Department at fax number (213) 576-6917. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of their actual signatures as they appear on the Stipulation and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

DATED:	vo/13/04	Milek Clan ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation, Respondent
DATED:	<u> 12/13/64</u>	Michele Chan, designated officer of ST Investments, Inc., Respondent
DATED:	10-18-07	FRANK M. BUDA, ESQ. Counsel for Respondent (Approved as to content)

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on November 24, 2004.

JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner

He Rhibeaton

48/0

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation and MICHELE CHAN, individually and as designated officer of

St Investment, Inc.,

Respondent(s)

Case No. **H-30636 LA**

OAH No. L-2004020106

MAR 2 2 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

By Co

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 on <u>SEPTEMBER 29 and 30, 2004</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: March 22, 2004

Ву

work

cc: St Investment, Inc.

Michele Chan

Frank M. Buda, Esq.

∕Śacto. OAH CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel State Bar Number 141079 Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982

-or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct)



2v (5)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

ST INVESTMENT, INC., a)

corporation and MICHELE CHAN,)

individually and as designated)

officer of ST Investment, Inc.

Respondents.

NO. H-30636 LA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate
Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
against ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation (hereafter "ST") and
MICHELE CHAN, individually and as designated officer of ST
Investment, Inc. (hereafter "CHAN") (hereafter sometimes both
referred to as "Respondents") is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate
Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation
in her official capacity.

26

21

22

23

24

25

2.

.

б

Respondent ST is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (hereafter "Code") as a corporate real estate broker.

3.

Respondent CHAN is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate broker, individually and as designated officer of ST.

4.

At all times mentioned herein Hoi Yuen, aka Hoi Dong Yuen (hereafter "Yuen") was not licensed under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code.

5.

All further references to "Respondents", unless otherwise specified, include the parties identified in Paragraphs 2 and 3, above, and also include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with said parties, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

6.

At all times mentioned herein, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to

act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d), including the operation of a real estate loan business with the public wherein borrowers and lenders were solicited for loans, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

7.

Yuen was employed by Respondents ST and CHAN to perform acts requiring a license. Respondents knew or should have known that Yuen was not licensed by the Department of Real Estate. Yuen's activities included, but were not limited to, negotiating loans secured by a deed of trust for borrowers including but not necessarily limited to Qinli Yu (hereafter "Borrower").

8.

In or about January, 2001, Yuen, with Respondents' knowledge and proper authorization, processed the mortgage loan application of Borrower on behalf of ST. The loan was to purchase property located at 2519 Delta Ave., Rosemead, CA. As part of this loan application Respondents submitted employment, income and tax information of the Borrower to the lender SCME Mortgage Bankers (hereafter "Lender"). This loan closed on February 8, 2001. Yuen was paid \$4,010.00 commission by Respondents for this transaction. Borrower failed to make any of the loan payments.

9.

In or about September, 2001, Lender started its investigation of this loan. On about November 1, 2001, Lender completed a Quality Assurance Loan Review. Lender discovered that the employment information, income information and W-2's of Borrower were false.

10.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, ST and CHAN as described above in Paragraphs 6 and 7, constitutes violation under Code Section 10137. Said conduct is cause pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and 10137 for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law.

11.

On or about December 9, 2003, Respondents were served with a Subpoena Duces Tecum for the loan logs, Agreement with Yuen, and a complete loan file for the Borrower's loan.

Respondents delivered the requested documents, except for the Agreement with Yuen and the Borrower's loan file.

12.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as described above in Paragraph 11, constitutes a violation of Code Section 10148. Said conduct is cause pursuant to Code Section 10177(d) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law.

///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents, ST INVESTMENT, INC., a corporation and MICHELE CHAN, individually and as designated officer of ST Investment, Inc., under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 20 m

day of

2004.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

law.

cc: ST Investment, Inc.
Michele Chan
Janice Waddell
Sacto.
AS