34. 	* . +	
ų	`•	
•	1	Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 SEP - 7 2004
	3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982
	4	-or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct) By Janna B. Olm
	5	
	6	
	7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	* * * *
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
	12	ALEX LOUIS KIM,) DRE NO. H-30627 LA) OAH NO. L-2001060410
	13	Respondent.)
	14 15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	16	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT
	17	AND
	18	DECISION AFTER REJECTION
	19	The California Department of Real Estate ("Department") filed an Accusation against ALEX LOUIS KIM ("Respondent") on
	20	January 20, 2004.
	21 22	On April 29, 2004, a hearing was held before
	23	Milford A. Maron, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), Office of
	24	Administrative Hearings, at Los Angeles, California. Department
	25	of Real Estate Counsel, Chris Leong, represented the Complainant.
	26	Respondent personally appeared at the hearing and was represented
	27	
,		- 1 -

- 1 -



2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

by Frank M. Buda, Esq. Evidence was received, the record was closed, and the matter was submitted.

On May 19, 2004, the Proposed Decision of the ALJ was issued, and determined, among other things, that the terms and conditions of the restricted real estate salesperson license issued to Respondent should be amended to reflect that Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for an unrestricted license until five years from the issuance of the restricted license.

On June 18, 2004, the Real Estate Commissioner, by his Chief Counsel, rejected the Proposed Decision of May 19, 2004.

The parties wish to settle this matter without further proceedings.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Respondent acting by and through Frank M. Buda and the Department, acting by and through Chris Leong, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed by the Department.

1. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate 20 Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement 21 ("Stipulation") as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing 22 the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license as 23 set forth in the below "Decision and Order". In the event the 24 Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, 25 the Stipulation shall be void and of no effect; the Commissioner 26 will review the transcript and the evidence in the case, and 27

- 2 -

hereby issue this Decision after Rejection as his Decision in this matter.

2. By reason of the foregoing and solely for the purpose of settlement of the Accusation without further administrative proceedings, it is stipulated and agreed that the following shall be adopted as the Commissioner's Decision:

FACTUAL FINDINGS

The Accusation was made and filed by Maria Suarez 1. 8 ("Complainant"), in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate 9 Commissioner, Department of Real Estate, State of California 10 11 ("the Department").

12 On or about June 29, 2001, before the Department, 2. 13 Case No. H-29111 LA, was filed based on violations of Code 14 Sections 480(a), 480(c), 10177(a), and 10177(b). On August 9, 15 2001, a Stipulation and Waiver in that case was filed granting 16 Respondent the right to a restricted real estate salesperson 17 license. The facts and circumstances leading to Respondent's 18 conviction were that he was in possession of marijuana for 19 sale, in violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11359, and 20 the failure to disclose that conviction on his application.

21

26

27

1

2

3

5

6

7

3. On or about August 8, 2001, in the Superior Court 22 of California, County of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 23 FU01NM11202, Respondent was convicted of violating 24 Section 243(e)(1) of the California Penal Code (Battery against 25 spouse, Cohabitant), a crime involving moral turpitude, which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and

3 -



· 1

2

٦

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

26

27



duties of a real estate licensee. The facts and circumstances leading to Respondent's conviction were that Respondent and his wife were engaged in a heated argument that escalated to a physical confrontation. No permanent injury was suffered by the spouse. Respondent and his wife have since separated and Respondent testified that he will file for divorce.

4. As a result of the conviction, respondent was placed on three years summary probation on certain terms and conditions, including performing 8 hours of community service, domestic violence classes and serve 30 days in jail. Respondent is still on probation until August 2004.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

Based on Finding 3, cause exists for revocation of
 Respondent's restricted salesperson license based on Business and
 Professions Code ("Code") Sections 490 and 10177, subdivision
 (b).

17 2. The following factors in mitigation and of
18 rehabilitation were considered by the ALJ. Respondent's wife
19 wrote a letter of recommendation on Respondent's behalf.
20 Respondent has the support of his real estate broker who is a
21 friend of his wife. Respondent supports his two children.
22 Respondent presented letters of recommendation.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent ALEX LOUIS KIM, under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided,

- 4





however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as real estate licensee.

The restricted license issued to Respondent may be
 suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate
 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that
 Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate
 Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate
 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

27

1

2

з

Δ

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 5 -

4. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:

(a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and

(b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.

5. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the. 13 effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to 14 the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most 15 recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, 16 taken and successfully completed the continuing education 17 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law 18 for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to 19 satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension 20 of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such 21 The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the evidence. 22 opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative 23 Procedure Act to present such evidence. 24

6

25 26

DATED:

8/12/04

1

2

3

٨

5

6

7

8

CHUS WONG

CHRIS LEONG, ESQ. Counsel for Complainant

27



2

3

4

5

9

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED

111

///

///

111



I have read the Stipulation, have discussed it with my counsel and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I willingly and voluntarily agree to enter into this Stipulation.

Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the 6 terms and conditions of this Stipulation by faxing a copy of 7 the signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the 8 Department at the following fax number: (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by 10 electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation, that receipt of the fax copy by the Department shall be as binding on him as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation.

15 16 17

ALEX LOU'IS ктм

Respondent

FRANK M. BUDA, ESO. Counsel for Respondent (as to content only)



DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement and Decision After Rejection is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order. This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon SEP 2 7 2004 on 2004. AUSUS IT IS SO ORDERED 2004. JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner Rhilent - 8 -

× P					
CP 12					
	日日日日 JUN 2 4 2004				
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
. 4	Lanna B. Oliona				
5	By Jama D. Corres				
6					
. 7					
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
. 10	* * *				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) No. H-30627 LA				
13	ALEX LOUIS KIM,				
. 14	Respondent.				
15					
16	NOTICE				
17	TO: ALEX LOUIS KIM, Respondent, and FRANK BUDA, his Counsel.				
18	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Proposed Decision				
19	herein dated May 19, 2004, of the Administrative Law Judge is not				
20	adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner. A copy				
21	of the Proposed Decision dated May 19, 2004, is attached for your				
22	information.				
. 23	In accordance with Section 11517(c) of the Government				
24	Code of the State of California, the disposition of this case				
25	will be determined by me after consideration of the record herein				
26	including the transcript of the proceedings held on April 29,				
27	///.				
	- 1 -				
. 1	ι · · · · ·				



2004, and any written argument hereafter submitted on behalf of Respondent and Complainant.

Written argument of Respondent to be considered by me must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the transcript of the proceedings of April 29, 2004, at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real Estate unless an extension of the time is granted for good cause shown.

⁸ Written argument of Complainant to be considered by me
⁹ must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the argument of
¹⁰ Respondent at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real
¹¹ Estate unless an extension of the time is granted for good cause
¹² shown.

June 18 DATED: JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner Bn Robin T. Wilson, Oxide COUNSEL wh





BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of:

Case No.: H-30627 LA

ALEX LOUIS KIM,

OAH No.: L2004010685

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

On April 29, 2004, in Los Angeles, California, Milford A. Maron, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter.

Complainant was represented by Chris Leong, Staff Counsel. Respondent, Alex Louis Kim, appeared in person and was represented by his counsel, Frank M. Buda, Esq.* Oral and documentary evidence were received, the record was closed, and the matter was submitted for decision.

1

Maria Suarez, Complainant, is a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California and made the Accusation in her official capacity.

2

Respondent was first issued a salesperson's license on August 22, 2001. A Statement of Issues was filed on June 29, 2001 setting forth the basis for denial of the application due to a conviction for possession of marijuana for sale, a violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11359, and the failure to disclose this conviction in his application. A Stipulation and Waiver was entered into between the parties on June 30, 2001, granting respondent a restricted license effective on August 22, 2001. The effective date for respondent to apply for removal of the restrictions was June 30, 2003; thus respondent is currently eligible to apply for removal of the restrictions.

^{*} Respondent's special notice is deemed to be without merit and thus is denied.



A. On or about August 8, 2001, in the Superior Court of California, in and for the County of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. FU01NM11202, respondent was convicted of violating Section 243(e)(1) of the Penal Code (battery against spouse, cohabitant), a crime involving moral turpitude, which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee.

B. Respondent and his wife were engaged in a heated argument that escalated to a physical confrontation. No permanent injury was suffered by the spouse. Respondent and his wife have since separated and respondent indicates that he will file for divorce.

* * * * *

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following determination of issues:

Cause exists against Alex Louis Kim pursuant to Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Business and Professions Code for the suspension or revocation of his restricted license.

* * * * *

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

Paragraph 2 of the terms and conditions of the restricted license shall be amended to read as follows:

"I shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions attaching to the restricted license until five (5) years have elapsed from the date of issuance of the restricted license to respondent."

All other terms and conditions of the restricted license shall continue in full force and effect.

Dated: May 19, 7004

MILFORD A'. MARON Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

MAM:rfm

2

X	2	
(N)	D	
D	\mathcal{O})

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By Laura B. from

FFB 2 5 2004

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ALEX LOUIS KIM,

Case No.	H-30627 LA
OAH No.	L-200401068

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California on APRIL 29, 2004, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

By

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

CHAS Wow

CHRIS LEONG. Counsel

Dated: February 25, 2004

cc: Alex Louis Kim LSB Inc. Frank M. Buda, Esq.; Sacto; OAH

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

	CHRIS LEONG, Counsel (SBN 141079) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct)			
7				
. 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
ý 10	* * *)			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H- 30627 LA			
12	ALEX LOUIS KIM,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>			
` 13	Respondent.)			
14				
15	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate			
16	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation			
1.7	against ALEX LOUIS KIM (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed			
. 18	and alleges as follows:			
19	. I			
20	Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license			
21	rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the			
22	Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"), as a			
23	restricted real estate salesperson.			
24				
25				
26				
2.7				
	- 1 -			

•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22



ΙI

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in her official capacity.

PRIOR CASE

III

On or about June 29, 2001, before the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), Case No. H-29111 LA, was filed based on violations of Code Sections 480(a), 480(c), 10177(a), and 10177(b). On August 9, 2001, a Stipulation and Waiver in that case was filed granting Respondent the right to a restricted real estate salesperson license.

CONVICTION

ΙV

On or about August 8, 2001, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. FU01NM11202, Respondent was convicted of violating Section 243(e)(1) of the California Penal Code (Battery against spouse, Cohabitant), a crime involving moral turpitude, which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee.

V

The conviction set forth above constitutes cause under Code Sections 490 and 10177(b) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law. ///

2

1 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 2 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 3 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 4 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent, 5 ALEX LOUIS KIM, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 6 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and 7 further relief as may be proper under other applicable 8 provisions of law. 9 Dated at Los Angeles, California 10 this / the day of 2004. 11 12 13 Real Estate Commissioner Depŭ 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Alex Louis Kim cc: 24 LSB, Inc./Susan May Braun Suarez 25 Sacto. \mathbf{LF} 26 27 િ