

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-29568 LA )

CAROL CHUA-VIGUE, )

Respondent. )

### ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On September 26, 2002, a Decision was rendered herein revoking Respondent's real estate salesperson license, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on October 23, 2002, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

On March 27, 2006, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson license be issued to Respondent, if Respondent satisfies the following condition within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate salesperson license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, in the last four (4) years, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

25 | | / / /

26 | ///

27 | ///

///

•	
2	
3	
4	! <b>[</b>
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

26

27

This Order shall be effective immediately.

Dated: 2-14-07.

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Carol Chua-Vigue 388 South Lake Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101

Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, #350 Los Angeles, California 90013

(213) 576-6982 (213) 576-6914





### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

DRE No. H-29568 LA

CAROL CHUA-VIGUE,

OAH No. L-2002070354

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondent.

It is hereby stipulated by and between CAROL CHUA-VIGUE (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent CHUA-VIGUE"), acting on her own behalf, and the Complainant, acting by and through Martha J. Rosett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on June 26, 2002 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the

- 1 -

Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. In order to effectuate this settlement, Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, she will thereby waive her right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order." In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.
- 7. The Stipulation is entered into by each party with the express understanding and agreement that it is to be used for the purposes of settling these proceedings only and that this stipulation shall not be deemed, used, or accepted as an acknowledgment or stipulation in any other civil or administrative proceeding to which this Department is not a party. Said stipulation is expressly limited to these proceedings and to any further proceeding initiated by or brought before the Department of Real Estate, and shall have no

- 3 -

collateral estoppel or res judicata effect in any proceeding other than a proceeding brought by the Department of Real Estate.

Я

1.0

### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

The conduct, acts or omissions of Respondent CHUA-VIGUE as set forth in the Accusation, constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Business and Professions Code Sections 10177(a), 10177(b), 490 and 498.

### ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent CAROL CHUA-VIGUE under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

1 The restricted license issued to Respondent may 2 be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate 3 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee. 5 6 The restricted license issued to Respondent may 7 be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate 8 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that 9 Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real 10 Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted 11 12 license. 13 Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 14 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the 15 removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from 16 the effective date of this Decision. 17 18 Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for 19 20 transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the 21 prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by 22 the Department of Real Estate which shall certify: 23 (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of 24 the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted 25 license; and 26 (b) That the employing broker will exercise close 27 supervision over the performance by the restricted - 5 -

licensee relating to the activities for which a real estate license is required.

2 Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the 11 suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent 12 presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. // // 11 // 11 // // //

24 11

11

1

3

4

5

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 //

26 11

6. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

DATED:

9/18/02

MARTHA J. PROSETT

Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following fax number (213) 576-6917.

Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of her actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement. DATED: 9-16-02 Respondent The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby

adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become

effective at 12 o'clock noon on October 23, 2002

IT IS SO ORDERED

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

SAV

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In	the	Matter of	the	Accusation	of

Case No. H-29568 LA

CAROL CHUA-VIGUE,

OAH No. L-2002070354

Respondent(s)

JUL 2 6 2002
DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

### NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 on MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2002, at the hour of 1:30 P.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated:

July 26, 2002

DOLLA T DOCEDO

cc:

Carol Chua-Vigue

Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage Company

✓ Sacto. OAH V.

MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St. #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

(213) 576-6982 (213) 576-6914

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25





## BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-29568 LA

CAROL CHUA-VIGUE, ) A C C U S A T I O N

Respondent. )

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate
Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
against CAROL CHUA-VIGUE, aka Carolina Siewchua, Carolina SiewKim, and Carol Kim Chua ("Respondent") alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

Respondent is presently licensed and has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code") as

a real estate salesperson. Respondent was originally licensed by the Department of Real Estate as a real estate salesperson on January 17, 1986.

3.

On or about November 9, 2001, Respondent made application to the Department of Real Estate for renewal of her salesperson application. In response to Question No. 3, to wit, "Within the past four year period, have you been convicted of any violation of law?", Respondent answered, "No." No convictions were detailed in Section 15 of that application, as required.

On or about October 25, 2000, in the Los Angeles County Municipal Court, Alhambra Judicial District, State of California, in Case No. 0AL02783, Respondent was convicted of one count of violating Penal Code Section 602(L) (trespass: occupy property without consent). The circumstances leading to Respondent's conviction were that she placed merchandise in her bag and exited the store without paying for it. By its circumstances, the crime of which Respondent convicted was one of moral turpitude which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee. Respondent was placed on one year summary probation, including 10 days of community service, restitution and an order to stay away from Costco.

5.

Respondent's conviction as set forth in Paragraph 4

А

above constitutes grounds to discipline Respondent's license and license rights pursuant to Code Sections 490 and 10177(b).

Respondent's failure to reveal the conviction set forth in Paragraph 4 in her Salesperson Renewal Application constitutes an attempt to procure a real estate license by misrepresentation or by making a material misstatement of fact in an application for a real estate license, and is grounds to discipline Respondent's real estate license pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 498 and 10177(a).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondent CAROL CHUA-VIGUE under the Real Estate Law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper underapplicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

day of

20

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

26

27

CW

Carol Chua-Vigue cc:

Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage Company

Sacto.

Maria Suarez