JAN - 7 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

James B. Church

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS,

Respondent.

)

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On February 6, 2001, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on March 12, 2001, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

On April 15, 2003, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of his real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions prior to and as a condition of issuance of the license within nine months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

24 | ///

. 4

25 | / / /

26 ///

3. Submittal of proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the trust fund accounting and handling course specified in paragraph (3), subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED: December 19, 2003

JOHN R. LIBERATOR Chief Deputy Commissioner

John K Liberata

cc: Ara Tatavous Haritunians 1484 Third Street, # A La Verne, CA 91750

FEB 20 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel (SBN 66674) Department of Real Estate 320 W. 4^{TH} Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

By fame b. Orm

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Office) -or- (213) 576-6911 (Direct)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of 'No. H-28818 LA ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, STIPULATION

Respondent.

AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent") represented by Frank M. Buda, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on October 11, 2000, in this matter.

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") in this proceeding.
- 3. On October 25, 2000, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation and Agreement is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these factual allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to

contest the Accusation are hereby expressly limited to this proceeding and made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding. Respondent's decision not to contest the factual allegations is made solely for the purpose of effectuating this Stipulation and is intended by Respondent to be non-binding upon Respondent in any actions against Respondent by third parties. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation and any Order made pursuant to this Stipulation shall have no collateral estoppel or res judicata effect in any proceedings in which the Respondent and the Department (or the Department's representative) are not parties. This Stipulation is made by Respondent and received by the Commissioner and the Department, with the express understanding and agreement that it is for the purpose of settling these proceedings only, and that this Stipulation is not intended as, and shall not be deemed, used, or accepted as an acknowledgment or admission of fact in any other judicial, administrative, or other proceeding to which the Department is not a party.
- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order." In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall

be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, as set forth in the Paragraph 4, constitutes cause to suspend or revoke the real estate broker license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Code Section 10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code for violation of Section 2725 of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The real estate broker license and licensing rights of Respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code, if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

- 5 -

Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 2 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the 3 removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the 5 effective date of this Decision. 6 Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the 7 effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, 10 taken and successfully completed the continuing education 11 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law 12 for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to 13 satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension 14 of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such 15 evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the 16 opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative 17 Procedure Act to present such evidence. 18 5. Respondent shall within six (6) months from the 19 effective date of the restricted license, take and pass the 20 Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the 21 Department including the payment of the appropriate examination 22 If respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the 23 Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license 24 until respondent passes the examination. 25 Respondent shall submit with any application for 26 license under an employing broker, or any application for 27

1 transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the 2 prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify: That the employing broker has read the (a) Decision of the Commissioner which granted 6 the right to a restricted license; and 7 That the employing broker will exercise (b) 8 close supervision over the performance by 9 the restricted licensee relating to activities 10 for which a real estate license is required. 12 DATED: 13 LIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate 14 15 EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION 16 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and have 17 discussed it with my counsel. Its terms are understood by me and 18 are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 19 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 20 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 21 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 22 intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 23 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 24 the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to 25 cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 26 defense and mitigation of the charges. 27

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of Respondent's actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

DATED: JAN 5, 2000

Respondent

DATED: 11-29-00

FRANK M. BUDA, Attorney for ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, Respondent

ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS,

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on March 12, 2001

IT IS SO ORDERED FEBRUARY 6, 2001.

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
Real Estate Commissioner

_ 9 _

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL STATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

T OF REAL		NOV 16		
	H-28818 LA L-200011013		OF REAL E	EST≠
		: Jama	B. W	M

In the Matter of the Accusation

ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS,

Respondent(s).

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, 6th Floor, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on <u>DECEMBER 21, 2000</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 a.m.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served upon you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: November 16, 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By: <u>"</u>

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel

Ara Tatavous Haritunians Frank M. Buda, Esq. Sacto., OAH

RE Form 501 (Rev. 8-97) EM:lbo

cc:

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel State Bar No. 66674 Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

OCT 11 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

(213) 576-6911

By Lama B. Dran

5

6

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-28818 LA

ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS. ACCUSATION

Respondent.

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS dba Araco-

Financial Services, alleges as follows:

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, acting in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California makes this Accusation against ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS (HARITUNIANS).

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to

"Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

HARITUNIANS is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code").

HARITUNIANS was originally licensed as a real estate broker on March 3, 1992.

At all times herein mentioned, HARITUNIANS on behalf of others in expectation of compensation, acted as a real estate broker within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code wherein HARITUNIANS operated a residential real estate resale business with the public; and, operated a mortgage and loan business within the meaning of 10131(d) of the Code, including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating and servicing loans on real property.

On November 17, 1999, the Department completed a field audit examination of the books and records of HARITUNIANS dba Araco-Financial Services pertaining to its mortgage and loan activities requiring a real estate license as described in Paragraph 4. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 1998 to September 30, 1999. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs.



•

15 . 16 [‡]

At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 5, HARITUNIANS accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of buyers and sellers, and borrowers and lenders, and thereafter made disposition of such funds. Respondent did not maintain a trust account during the audit period.

With respect to the trust funds referred to in Paragraph 6, it is alleged that HARITUNIANS:

- (a) Failed to maintain a control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, as required by Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831 of the Regulations. Earnest money deposits directly forwarded to escrow were not recorded; and,
- (b) Failed to place funds, including earnest money deposits received in certain sales transactions, accepted on behalf of another into the hands of the owner of the funds, a neutral escrow depository or into a trust fund account in the name of the trustee at a bank or other financial institution not later than three business days following receipt of the funds by the broker or by the broker's salesperson, as required by Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832(a) of the Regulations.

The conduct of Respondent HARITUNIANS, described in Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:



1		
2	<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
3	7(a)	Section 10145 of the Code, and
4		Section 2831 of the Regulations, and
5		
6	7 (b)	Section 10145 of the Code, and
7		Section 2832 of the Regulations
8	Each of the foregoing	violations separately constitutes cause

Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of HARITUNIANS under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

The Department attempted to complete a field audit examination of the books and records of HARITUNIANS pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 4. The field audit examination revealed that HARITUNIANS failed to retain the records of his activity requiring a real estate license during the audit period, including failing to retain copies of all residential resale files negotiated by his real estate salesperson Nancy Varela during the audit period of January 1, 1998 to September 30, 1999. This conduct is in violation of Section 10148 of the Code and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent HARITUNIANS under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.



The field audit examination also revealed that HARITUNIANS had no system in place for regularly monitoring Nancy Varela's compliance with the Real Estate Law with respect to her handling of HUD residential resale transactions, including the Jorge & Aida HUD sales contract and \$2,000 earnest money deposit. This conduct is in violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent HARITUNIANS under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

11

The overall conduct of HARITUNIANS constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent HARITUNIANS under the provisions of Section 10177(g) of the Code.

12

The overall conduct of Respondent HARITUNIANS constitutes a failure to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of his salesperson Nancy Varela. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent HARITUNIANS pursuant to the provisions of Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and, that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and licensing rights of respondent ARA TATAVOUS HARITUNIANS, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California this

11th day of October, 2000.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Ara Tatavous Haritunians
 Thomas McCrady
 Sacto.
CW

