JUN 1 0 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-28790 LA

STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO,

Respondent.

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 12, 2001, a Decision was rendered herein revoking Respondent's real estate broker license, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent or about July 18, 2001, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

23

24 | ///

25 | | ///

26 | ///

27 1///

On or about June 25, 2003, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

26 | ///

27 | ///

///

Submittal of proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the trust fund accounting and handling course specified in paragraph (3), subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

This Order shall become effective immediately.

June

> JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner

John Rhiberton

cc: Steven M. Canto 158 ½ Corona

Long Beach, CA 90803

MAR 1 2 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Digal Himsel

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

2

3

5

11 In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-28790 LA

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE
CORP. and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO,
individually and as designated
officer of Financial Services
Mortgage Corp.,

Respondents.

16

17:

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DECISION AFTER RECONSIDERATION

On January 4, 2001, a Decision was rendered in the above-entitled matter. The Decision is to become effective on March 12, 2001.

On February 15, 2001, Respondent CANTO petitioned for reconsideration of the Decision of January 4, 2001.

I have given due consideration to the petition of Respondent CANTO. I find good cause to reconsider the Decision of January 4, 2001 for the limited purpose of determining whether the disciplinary action therein imposed should be reduced.



I have reconsidered said Decision and it is hereby ordered that the disciplinary action therein imposed against the real estate broker license of STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO be reduced by modifying the Order of said Decision to read as follows:

ORDER

7	All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent STEVER
8	
9	however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be
	issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business
	and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor
	and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee
	for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective
	date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to
	Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section.
	10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the
,	following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under
	authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. Respondent shall, prior to the issuance of the restricted license and as a condition of the issuance of said restricted license, submit proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of payment of restitution in the amount of \$2,596.22 to Dennis L. Skinner, doing business as DLS Appraisals ("Skinner").
- 2. Respondent shall, prior to the issuance of the restricted license and as a condition of the issuance of said restricted license, submit proof satisfactory to the



19

22

23

25

Commissioner that the shortage, found in paragraph XI of the Decision, in the amount of \$2,650.23 has been cured.

- 3. Respondent shall, prior to the issuance of the restricted license and as a condition of the issuance of said restricted license, pay to the Department \$2,640.00 for the cost of audit L-990309.
- 4. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 9 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 5. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
 - 6. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
 - 7. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:



3

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

(a) That the employing broker has read the ٠1 Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required. 8. Respondent shall, within six months from the 9 effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional 10 Responsibility Examination administered by the Department 11 including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If 12 Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may 13 order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination. 14 15 11 16 11 17 18 11 19 11 20 21 · // 22 1/ 23 . 11 24 11 25 11 26 //



1	9. Respondent shall, within nine months from the		
2	effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory		
3	to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the		
4	most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate		
5	license, taken and successfully completed the continuing		
6	education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real		
7	Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent		
8	fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the		
9	suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent		
10	presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford		
11	Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the		
12	Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.		
13	As hereby modified and amended, the Decision of		
14	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon		
14 15			
	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon		
15	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001		
15 16	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SC ORDERED		
15 16 17	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SC ORDERED		
15 16 17 18	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SC ORDERED		
15 16 17 18	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SC ORDERED		
15 16 17 18 19	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SC ORDERED		
15 16 17 18 19 20	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SO ORDERED March 12, 2001 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner ### April 12 o'clock noon BY: John R. Liberator		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SO ORDERED March 12, 2001 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner ### April 12 o'clock noon BY: John R. Liberator		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 5, 2001 IT IS SO ORDERED March 12, 2001 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner ### April 12 o'clock noon BY: John R. Liberator		



5 RV

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)



Ву С.3

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP.)
and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO,
individually and as designated officer of Financial Services)
Mortgage Corp.,

Respondent.

NO. H-28790 LA

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On January 4, 2001, a Decision was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective at 12 o'clock noon on January 30, 2001.

On January 25, 2001, an Order Staying Effective Date was entered ordering that the effective date of the Decision of January 4, 2001, be stayed for a period of thirty (30) days, and further ordering that the Decision of January 4, 2001, become effective at 12 o'clock noon on March 1, 2001.

Additional time is needed to evaluate the petition for reconsideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ordered pursuant to the provisions of Section 11521(a) of the Government Code that the expiration of the time to grant or deny the petition for reconsideration be, and hereby is, stayed for a period of ten (10) days. The time to grant or deny the petition for reconsideration shall expire at 12 o'clock noon on March 12, 2001.

DATED: March 1, 2001.

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

Tredea

Bv:

RANDOLPH BRENDIA Regional Manager



6

7

8

9

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-28790 LA FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP. and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp., Respondent(s).

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On January 4, 2001, a Decision was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective January 30, 2001.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision of January 4, 2001, as to Respondent STEVEN MICHAEL CANOT, only, is stayed for a period of 30 days.

The Decision of January 4, 2001, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on March 1, 2001.

> DATED: January 25, 2001.

> > PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

Regional Manager

JAN 1 0 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву _______

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-28790 LA

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP. and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp.,

Respondents.

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on December 11, 2000, and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) respondent's express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

- (a) On September 27, 2000, Thomas Mc Crady made the Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Notice of Defense, Discovery Provisions, Statement to Respondent and Notice Concerning Costs of Audits with a copy of Business and Professions Code Section 10148 were mailed, by certified mail, to both respondents' last known mailing addresses on file with the Department on September 27, 2000. Receipts were returned by the post office.
- (b) On October 26, 2000, the Accusation, Notice of Defense, Discovery Provisions, Statement to Respondent and Notice Concerning Costs of Audits with a copy of Business and Professions Code Section 10148 were mailed, by regular mail, to both respondents' last known mailing addresses on

file with the Department. The correspondence was not returned by the post office.

(c) On December 11, 2000, no Notice of Defense having been filed herein within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, both respondents defaults were entered herein.

ΙI

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE ("FINANCIAL SERVICES") and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO ("CANTO"), individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp. (sometimes collectively referred to herein as "Respondents"), are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code").

III

At all times material herein, FINANCIAL SERVICES was and currently is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California ("Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and through CANTO as the responsible designated officer and broker.

IV

At all times material herein, CANTO was licensed by the Department, individually as a real estate broker and as the designated broker-officer of FINANCIAL SERVICES. As the designated broker-officer, CANTO is and was responsible pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10159.2, for the supervision and control of the activities requiring a real estate license conducted on behalf of FINANCIAL SERVICES by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law.

V

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, for another or others, and for or in expectation of compensation within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d). Said activities included the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents solicited borrowers or lenders for, or negotiated loans, or collected payments or performed services, for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity.

In or about the last quarter of 1998, Respondent CANTO, individually and on behalf of Respondent FINANCIAL SERVICES, negotiated real property loans with parties including, but not necessarily limited to, Ralph E. Van DeMoere, Steven and Elize Zamiara, James and Laine Alves, and Nicholas and Patricia Aguilar (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Borrowers"). Respondent CANTO promised the Borrowers "zero-point/zero-fee" loans.

VII

Thereafter, Respondents failed to pay for appraisals done on the Borrowers' properties by one, Dennis L. Skinner, doing business as DLS Appraisals ("Skinner"). In addition, Respondents charged Borrowers including, but not limited to, Van De Moere, Zamiara and Aguilar, escrow fees, or took monies from the Borrowers' escrows.

VIII

Skinner sued the Borrowers and Respondent CANTO in Small Claims Court. Skinner won judgments against Respondent CANTO and Borrowers including, but not limited to, Van De Moere, Aguilar and Alves.

IX

On or about April 28, 2000, the Department completed an examination of Respondents' books and records pertaining to Respondents real estate and trust fund handling activities. Said examination covered a period from approximately September 1, 1998 through January 31, 2000, and revealed violations of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), as set forth below.

X

At all times material herein, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph V, above, Respondents accepted or received funds, including funds in trust ("trust funds"), from or on behalf of parties to transactions handled by Respondents. Thereafter, Respondents made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. From time to time herein mentioned, said trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by Respondents in bank accounts including, but not necessarily limited to, the following: Account No. 06300-62349, "Financial Services Mortgage Corp. Trust Account" ("Trust Account 1"), Union Bank of California, P.O. Box 85413, San Diego, California 92186; and Account No. 11018-7180, "Financial Services Mortgage Corp. ("Trust Account 2"), Bank of America, Irvine Industrial Branch, P.O.

XI

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs V and X, above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph IX, Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations as follows:

- (a) As of July 30, 1999, there was a shortage in Trust Account 2 in the amount of approximately Two Thousand Five Hundred and Fifty Dollars and Twenty-Three Cents (\$2,650.23). Respondents caused, permitted and/or allowed the withdrawal or disbursement of trust funds from the Trust Account, which reduced the balance of funds in said account to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability of the broker to all owners of the trust funds, without the prior written consent of every principal who then was an owner of funds in the account, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1.
- (b) Respondents failed to designate Trust Account 2 as a trust account, and credit report and appraisal fees were not deposited into the broker's trust account within three (3) business days, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.
- (c) Credit report and appraisal fees were deposited into Respondents' payroll account and mixed with their funds. Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) in trust funds were transferred from Trust Account 2 to the Respondents' general account on or about January 5, 1999, in violation of Code Section 10145.
- (d) Respondents failed to notify the Department within one (1) business day, of the change in address of their main office location, in violation of Regulation 2715.
- (e) Respondents failed to maintain on file a copy of the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement provided to some borrowers, and failed to ensure that all Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements were signed by the borrower and the broker or agent, in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulations 2840 and 2840.1.

IIX

Respondent CANTO in causing, allowing, or permitting Respondent FINANCIAL SERVICES to violate the Real Estate Law, as described above, constitutes failure by Respondent CANTO, as the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and

control over the licensed activities of Respondent FINANCIAL SERVICES, as required by Code Section 10159.2.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

I

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as described in paragraphs VI, VII, VIII above, constitutes cause for suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO, pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(b) and/or 10176(i).

ΙI

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO, as described in Paragraph XI, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

PARAGRAPH		PROVISIONS VIOLATED
XI(a)	्री वर्षेत्र स	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1
XI(b)		Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832
XI(c)		Code Section 10145
XI(d)		Regulation 2715
XI(e)		Code Section 10240 and Regulations 2840/2840.1

Each of the foregoing violations constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO, under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(e), 10177(d) and 10177(g).

III

The conduct of Respondent CANTO, as set forth in paragraph XII, above, is cause to suspend or revoke his real estate licenses and license rights pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

Ι

All real estate licenses and license rights of FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP. are hereby revoked.

ΙI

All real estate licenses and license rights of STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO are hereby revoked.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on January 30, 2001

DATED:

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

1 .Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 2 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 (213) 576-6982 3 6 9 10 11 12 and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, 13

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP. individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp.,

Respondent(s).

NO. H-28790 LA

DEFAULT ORDER

Respondents, FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE CORP. and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp., having failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code, are now in default. It is, therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED December 11, 2000

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

By: RANDOLPH BRÉNDIA Regional Managér

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DARLENE AVERETIA, 1 (SBN 159969) Department of Real Estate 2 : 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 3 . SEP 2 7 2000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6904 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-28790 LA 12 FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE ACCUSATION 13 CORP. and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, individually and as designated officer of Financial Services 14 Mortgage Corp., 15 Respondents. 16 17 18 19

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO, individually and as designated officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp., is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

26 111

21

22

23

24

25

27 111

-1-

FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE ("FINANCIAL SERVICES") and 2 STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO ("CANTO"), individually and as designated 3 officer of Financial Services Mortgage Corp. (sometimes 4 collectively referred to herein as "Respondents"), are presently 5 licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part l of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions 7 Code ("Code"). 9 3. 10 At all times material herein, FINANCIAL SERVICES was and currently is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of 11 the State of California ("Department") as a corporate real 12 estate broker by and through CANTO as the responsible designated 13 14 officer and broker.

15

At all times material herein, CANTO was licensed by the Department, individually as a real estate broker and as the designated broker-officer of FINANCIAL SERVICES. As the designated broker-officer, CANTO is and was responsible pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10159.2, for the supervision and control of the activities requiring a real estate license conducted on behalf of FINANCIAL SERVICES by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law.

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 ///

111

27 ///

2 5. All further references to "Respondents", unless 3 otherwise specified, include the parties identified in 4 Paragraphs 2 through 4, above, and also include the officers, 5 6 directors, managers, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with said parties, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business 8 or operations of said parties and who were acting within the 9 10 course and scope of their authority, agency or employment. 11 б. 12 At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in 13 the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed 14 to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, for 15 another or others, and for or in expectation of compensation 16 within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d). Said activities 17 included the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage 18 business with the public wherein Respondents solicited borrowers 19 or lenders for, or negotiated loans, or collected payments or 20 performed services, for borrowers or lenders or note owners in 21 connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens 22 on real property or on a business opportunity. 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111

DURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 3-95)

111

Small Claims Court. Skinner won judgments against Respondent CANTO and Borrowers including, but not limited to, Van De Moere, Aguilar and Alves.

24 111

22

23

25

111

26 ///

27 ///

-4-

```
The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as
  2
     described herein above, constitutes cause for suspension or
     revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of
     Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO, pursuant to the
  5
     provisions of Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(b) and/or 10176(i).
  6
 7
                         SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
 8
                                   (Audit)
 9
                                    11.
               Complainant incorporates herein by reference the
10
     Preamble and the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 10,
11
12
     inclusive, herein above.
13
                                    12.
               On or about April 28, 2000, the Department completed
14
    an examination of Respondents' books and records pertaining
15
    to Respondents real estate and trust fund handling activities.
16
    Said examination covered a period from approximately
17
    September 1, 1998 through January 31, 2000, and revealed
18
    violations of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of
19
    Regulations ("Regulations"), as set forth below.
20
21
    111
22
    111
23
    111
24
    111
25
    111
26
    111
27
    111
```

1 :

```
13.
 1
               At all times material herein, in connection with the
 2
    activities described in Paragraph 6, above, Respondents accepted
    or received funds, including funds in trust ("trust funds"),
     from or on behalf of parties to transactions handled
 5 :
    by Respondents. Thereafter, Respondents made deposits and/or
    disbursements of such funds. From time to time herein
 7
    mentioned, said trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by
 8
    Respondents in bank accounts including, but not necessarily
 9
    limited to, the following: Account No. 06300-62349, "Financial
10
    Services Mortgage Corp. Trust Account" ("Trust Account 1"),
11
    Union Bank of California, P.O. Box 85413, San Diego, California
12
    92186; and Account No. 11018~7180, "Financial Services Mortgage
13
    Corp. ("Trust Account 2"), Bank of America, Irvine Industrial
14
    Branch, P.O. Box 37176, San Francisco, California 94137.
15
16
                                   14.
17
              In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 6
    and 13, above, and during the examination period described in
18
    Paragraph 12, Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO acted in
19
    violation of the Code and the Regulations as follows:
20
21
    111
22
    111
23
    111
24
    111
25
    111
```

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) SSP 96 10924

26

27

111

```
1. (a) s of July 30, 1999, there as a shortage in
```

2 Trust Account 2 in the amount of approximately Two Thousand Five

- 3 Hundred and Fifty Dollars and Twenty-Three Cents (\$2,550.23).
- 4 Respondents caused, permitted and/or allowed the withdrawal or
- 5 disbursement of trust funds from the Trust Account, which
- 6 reduced the balance of funds in said account to an amount less
- 7 than the existing aggregate trust fund liability of the broker
- 8 to all owners of the trust funds, without the prior written
- 9 consent of every principal who then was an owner of funds in
- 10 the account, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation
- 11 2832.1.
- (b) Respondents failed to designate Trust Account 2
- 13 as a trust account, and credit report and appraisal fees were
- 14 not deposited into the broker's trust account within three (3)
- 15 business days, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation
- 16 2832.
- (c) Credit report and appraisal fees were deposited
- 18 into the broker's payroll account and mixed with broker funds,
- 19 and Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) in trust funds were
- 20 transferred from Trust Account 2 to the broker's general account
- 21 on or about January 5, 1999, in violation of Code Section 10145.
- (d) Respondents failed to notify the Department
- 23 within one (1) business day, of the change in address of their
- 24 main office location, in violation of Regulation 2715.
- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///



```
espondents failed to mair in on file a copy
  1
     of the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement provided to some
     borrowers, and failed to ensure that all Mortgage Loan
     Disclosure Statements were signed by the borrower and the broker
     or agent, in violation of Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840
  5 .
     and 2840.1.
  7.
                                     15.
                The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents
  8
     FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO, as described in Paragraph 14,
     above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:
 10
          PARAGRAPH
 11
                                               PROVISIONS VIOLATED
           14(a)
12
                                               Code Section 10145
                                               and Regulation 2832
 13
           14(b)
                                              Code Section 10145
14
                                              and Regulation 2832
15
           14(c)
                                              Code Section 10145
16
           14(d)
                                              Regulation 2715
17
           14(e)
                                              Code Section 10240 and
                                              Regulation 2840/2840.1
18
               Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for
19
    the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and
20
    license rights of Respondents FINANCIAL SERVICES and CANTO,
21
    under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(e), 10177(d) and/or
22
    10177(g).
23
    ///
24
    ///
25
    III
26
    ///
27
```

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 IREV. 3-95) ///

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUS 1 (Violation of Code Section 10159.2) . 2 16. 3 Complainant incorporates herein by reference the 4 Preamble and the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 14, 5 inclusive, herein above. 7 17. The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent 8 CANTO in causing, allowing, or permitting Respondent FINANCIAL 9 SERVICES to violate the Real Estate Law, as described 10 herein above, constitutes failure on the part of Respondent 11 CANTO, as the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, 12 to exercise the reasonable supervision and control 13 over the licensed activities of Respondent FINANCIAL SERVICES, 14 as required by Code Section 10159.2. Said conduct is cause 15 to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license 16 rights of Respondent CANTO, pursuant to the provisions of 17 Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h). 18 19 111 20 /// 21: /// 22 : /// 23 111 24 111 25 /// 26 111 27 111

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA FD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be		
2	conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon		
;	3 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary		
4	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents		
5	FINANCIAL SERVICES MORTGAGE and STEVEN MICHAEL CANTO,		
ϵ			
7	•		
8			
9			
10	·		
11	Dated at Los Angeles, California		
12	this 27th day of September, 2000.		
13	THOMAS McCRADY		
14	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	•		
21			
22			
23			
24	cc: Financial Services Mortgage Corp. Steven Michael Canto		
25	LA Audits (Cheng) Thomas McCrady		
26	SACTO EC		
27			

