3

**4** 5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

OSP 98 10924

Department of Real Estate . 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982



sy Laure B. Orne

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of LINDA SLEDGE JONES,

Respondent.

NO. H-28598 LA L-2000070021

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES (sometimes referred to herein as "Respondent") and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on June 2, 2000, in this matter.

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

\_\_\_

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") in this proceeding.

- 3. On June 19, 2000, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, she will thereby waive her right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. The Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these factual allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. This Stipulation and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation are hereby expressly limited to this proceeding and made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding. Respondent's decision not to contest the

factual allegations is made solely for the purpose of effectuating this Stipulation and is intended by Respondent to be non-binding upon her in any actions against Respondent by third parties. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation and any Order made pursuant to this Stipulation shall have no collateral estoppel or res judicata effect in any proceedings in which the Respondent and the Department (or the Department's representative) are not parties. This Stipulation is made by Respondent and received by the Commissioner and the Department, with the express understanding and agreement that it is for the purpose of settling these proceedings only, and that this Stipulation is not intended as, and shall not be deemed, used, or accepted as an acknowledgment or admission of fact in any other judicial, administrative, or other proceeding to which the Department is not a party.
- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.



The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an escoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES, as set forth in the Accusation, constitutes cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10145 of the California Business and Professions Code (Code) and Sections 2831, 2831.1 and 2832 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations, and Section 17006 of the California Financial Code, and is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's' license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code.

26



### ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
LINDA SLEDGE JONES, under the Real Estate Law are revoked;
provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall
be issued to Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES, pursuant
to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code, if
Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department
of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license
within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision.
The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to
all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and the
following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under
authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

  Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the



removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

- 4. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- 5. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for the prior audit giving rise to the discipline herein and a subsequent audit to determine if Respondent is now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the subsequent audit shall not exceed \$1,773.



5. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

DATED: 10-5-00

١ `

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and have discussed it with my counsel. Its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in



defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of Respondent's actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

14 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

on

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES, and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon October 30, 2000

IT IS SO ORDERE

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

· ·	STATE OF CALIFORNIA  * * * *			写一点	
In the Matter of the Accusation	)		H-28598 LA L-2000070021	JUL 1 8 2000 LL DEPARTMENT OF REAL EST.	
LINDA S. JONES,	)		,	By Laura B. Orme	
Responden	) it(s). )				

## NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, 6th Floor, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on SEPTEMBER 6, 2000, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served upon you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

	Dated:JUL 1 8 2000			
			DEPARTMENT OF REAL E	STATE
		By:	درم. سن	
		,	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Couns	el
Ċ:	Linda Sledge Jones			
	Sacto.	•		
	ОАН	•	RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)	EM:lbo

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel, SBN 66674 Department of Real Estate 320 W. 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

Telephone (213) 576-6911



By Same B. Dan

# DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of LINDA SLEDGE JONES,

No. H-28598 LA

Respondent.

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, acting in his official capacity, for cause of accusation against LINDA SLEDGE JONES, is informed and alleges as follows:

1

LINDA SLEDGE JONES (JONES) sometimes referred to as

Respondent, is presently licensed and/or has license rights under
the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California

Business and Professions Code).

2

All references to the "Code" are to the California
Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations"
are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.



3 4 5

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924 At all times mentioned, JONES was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate (Department) as a real estate broker. On May 23, 1983, Jones was initially licensed as a real estate salesperson and on April 29, 1987, she became licensed as a real estate broker.

At all times mentioned, in the Cities of Los Angeles and Inglewood, County of Los Angeles, JONES acted as real estate broker within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code in that she operated a residential real estate resale business with the public wherein, on behalf of others and for compensation or in expectation of compensation, she sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicited or obtained listings of, or negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property. In addition, JONES conducted broker controlled escrows under the exemption set forth in Section 17006(a)(4) of the California Financial Code.

At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 4, JONES accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of actual or prospective buyers and sellers for deposit into her broker-controlled escrow operation. Thereafter JONES made disposition of such funds. JONES maintained the following trust account into which she deposited certain of these funds:

/

"Linda Jones Trust Account Account No. 07-763-816" Imperial Bank 201 N. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

On December 17, 1999, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of JONES pertaining to the activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 5 that require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on January 1, 1997 and terminating on November 30, 1999. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs.

With respect to the trust funds referred to in Paragraph 5, JONES:

- (a) Failed to maintain an adequate control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds received, as required by Sections 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 of the Regulations. Control records for the trust account were neither complete, accurate, or maintained on a daily basis;
- (b) Failed to maintain complete and accurate separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all trust funds received, deposited into, and disbursed from the trust account in a chronological order, as required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;
- (c) While acting as an escrow holder, JONES failed to place all funds, including earnest money deposits received in certain sales transactions, accepted on behalf of another into the



COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924 hands of the owner of the funds, into a neutral escrow depository or into a trust fund account in the name of the broker as trustee at a bank or other financial institution not later than the next business day following receipt of the funds by the broker or by the broker's salesperson, as required by Section 2832(e) of the Regulations.

The conduct of JONES, described in Paragraph 7 above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
7(a)	Section 10145 of the Code and
	Section 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 of the
	Regulations
	•
7 (b)	Section 10145 of the Code and
•	Sections 2831.1 of the Regulations
	·
7(c)	Section 10145 of the Code and
	Section 2832 of the Regulations

The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of JONES under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

The investigative audit also revealed that JONES' escrow instructions for her escrow company failed to contain a statement which included the authority under which JONES' operated said escrow company. This conduct constitutes a violation of Section

17403.4 of the California Financial Code and is cause to suspend or revoke her real estate license and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g).

The audit investigation revealed that JONES conducted two broker mortgage loan escrows, the Nelson and the Daoud escrows, to which she was not a party, because she neither represented the lender or the borrower, and therefore did not qualify for the exemption set forth in Section 17006(a)(4) of the California Financial Code. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent JONES under the provisions of Section 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.

The overall conduct of Respondent JONES constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of her real estate license and license rights under Section 10177(g) of the Code.



WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondent LINDA SLEDGE JONES under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 2nd day of June, 2000.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Linda Sledge Jones Sacto SR

