_ •			
Spell. Glag 1			
2			
3			
4	FEB 2 0 2001		
5	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
6	By Martin		
7	13		
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	· * * * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA		
12	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., ) L-2000070372		
13	dba City Coast Financial, ) a California corporate broker; )		
14	and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, ) individually, dba Imperial )		
15	Real Estate, and as designated ) officer of Ledoux Investments, )		
16	Inc.,		
17	Respondents.		
18	ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION		
19	On December 13, 2000, a Decision was rendered in the		
20			
21	above-entitled matter suspending the real estate broker license of		
22	respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO. The Decision is to become effective on		
23	February 26, 2001.		
24	On January 5, 2001, respondent ACEVEDO petitioned for		
25	reconsideration of the Decision of December 13, 2000, by allowing		
	respondent ACEVEDO to stay the first thirty days of said		
26	suspension by payment of a monetary penalty pursuant to Business		
27	and professions Code Section 10175.2.		
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924	-1-		

. .

I have given due consideration to the petition of respondent ACEVEDO. I find no good cause to reconsider the 3 Decision of December 13, 2000 and reconsideration is hereby denied. Elencey 2001. IT IS SO ORDERED PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner EV. 3-951 -2-SP 98 10924 

гD

4 Con	
Vary	
	2 FEB - 6 2001
	3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	4 By Marine
•.	5
	6
	7
	8 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	• * * * *
	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA
	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba ) <u>ORDER STAYING</u> City Coast Financial,
	a California corporate broker, ) <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>
14	designated officer of Lodown
	Investments Inc.,
10	) Domendente
18	)
19	On December 13, 2000, a Decision was rendered in the
20	above-entitled matter to become effective January 17, 2001. On
21	Sandary 12, 2001, the effective date of said Decision was stayed
22	ancii rebruary 16, 2001.
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
24	Decision of December 13, 2000, is stayed for an additional period of 10 days.
25	
26	The Decision of December 13, 2000, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on February 26, 2001.
27	
DURT PAPER STE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 3-95)	Real Estate Commissioner By: Sevel of Milea
P 98 10924	RANDOLPH BRENDIA Regional Manager

speto. plag			
	2 <sup>1</sup> 21		
• 1			
2			
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
4	him Anio		
5	By Minu Carto		
6			
7	$\cdot$		
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA ) L-2000070372		
12	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba ) City Coast Financial, )		
13	a California corporate broker, ) and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually, )		
14	dba Imperial Real Estate and as ) designated officer of Ledoux ) Investments Inc.,		
16	Respondents. )		
17	)		
18	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE		
19	On December 13, 2000, a Decision was rendered in the		
20	above-entitled matter to become effective January 17, 2001.		
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the		
22	Decision of December 13, 2000, is stayed for a period of 30 days		
23	as to Respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO only.		
24	The Decision of 13, 2000, shall become effective at		
25			
26	DATED: January 12, 2001. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN		
27	Real Estate Commissioner		
URT PAPER TE OF CALIFORNIA 0. 113 (REV. 3-95) 108 10924	By: Kullen RANDOLPH BRENDIA Regional Manager		

, , , ,	1 2 3 4 5 6		DEC 28 2000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By	
	7 8	DEPARTMENT OF R		
	9	STATE OF CAL		
	10	* * * *	*	
	11	In the matter of the Accusation of )	No. H-28576 LA	
	12	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba	L-2000070372	
	13	California corporate broker, ) and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually )	STIPULATIION AND AGREEMENT	
	14	dba Imperial Real Estate and as ) designated officer of Ledoux ) Investments Inc.,		
	15	)		
	16	Respondents, )		
	17	It is hereby stipulated by and between MIGUEL ACEVEDO		
`.	18	(referred to as Respondent), acting by and through Frank M. Buda,		
	19	Esq. and the Complainant, acting by	and through Sean Crahan,	
	20	Counsel for the Department of Real E	state, as follows for the	
	21			
	22	25, 2000, in this matter:		
	23		to be contested and all	
	24	evidence which was to be presented by		
	25	at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative		
*	11			
	27	Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be		
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORN STO, 113 (REV, 3-9 OSP 98 10924	. 18	-1-		

;

••••

1

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation And Agreement (hereafter Stipulation).

3 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the
4 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
5 the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
6 proceeding.

On July 6, 2000, Respondent filed a Notice of 3. 7 Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the 8 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 9 Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws 10 said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he 11 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will 12 thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the 13 allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 14 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive 15 other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such 16 as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in 17 the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. 18

This Stipulation and Agreement relates to the 4. 19 factual allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through nine 20 (9) in the Accusation filed in this proceeding. Respondent 21 chooses not to contest these factual allegations and to remain 22 silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual 23 allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a 24 basis for the discipline stipulated to herein. This Stipulation 25 and Agreement and the findings based on Respondent's decision not 26 to contest the Accusation is hereby expressly limited to this 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924 1

2

-2-

proceeding and made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed 1 disposition of this proceeding, only. Respondent's decision not 2 to contest the factual allegations is made solely for the purpose 3 of effectuating this Stipulation and is intended by Complainant 4 and Respondent to be non-binding upon him in any actions against 5 Respondent by third parties and shall not be deemed, used, or 6 accepted as an acknowledgment or admission. 7 The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to 8 prove such allegations. 9

It is understood by the parties that the Real 5. 10 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation And Agreement as 11 her Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and 12 sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and/or license 13 rights as set forth in the below Order. In the event that the 14 Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation And 15 Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent 16 shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the 17 Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be 18 bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein. 19

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation And
Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any
further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of
Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not
specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
proceeding.

--3--

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924

27

	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES			
	By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers,			
	3 made solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending			
	Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that			
	5 the following Determination of Issues shall be made:			
÷	The conduct or omissions of Respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO,			
	as set forth in paragraphs one (1) through nine (9) in the			
:	Accusation constitute cause to suspend or revoke his real estate			
:	broker license and/or license rights under the provisions of Code			
10				
1				
12				
13	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:			
14				
15				
16 Professions Code are suspended for a period of ninety (				
17 from the effective date of this Order; provided; 18 1. Prior to the effective date of this Order,				
		19	Respondent ACEVEDO shall pay \$2,939.52 to the Department for the	
20				
21	Accusation herein. If Respondent ACEVEDO fails to pay for the			
22	audit prior to the effective date of this Order, the stay of said			
23	suspension herein shall be lifted and said suspension shall take			
24	effect.			
25	2. Respondent ACEVEDO's real estate license shall be			
26	suspended for ninety (90) days.			
27	3. Respondent ACEVEDO shall serve the first thirty			
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924	-4-			
OSP 98 10924				

h

(30) days of said suspension.

1

The last sixty (60) days of the ninety (90) day 2 4. suspension provided shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the 3 following terms and conditions: 4

Respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO shall obey all 5 (a) laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and 6 responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of 7 California; 8

9 That no final subsequent determination be (b)made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for 10 disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years from the 11 effective date of this Order; 12

5. If respondent: (1), pays the cost of audit L-990167 13 and (2), if nothurther cause for disciplinary action against the 14 real estate license of Respondent, as finally determined after 15 hearing or stipulation, occurs within two (2) years from the 16 effective date of this Order, the stay of the final sixty (60) 17 days of the ninety (90) day suspension shall become permanent. 18 19

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its 20 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to 21 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the me. 22 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 23 limited to Sections 11505, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 24 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 25 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 26 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 27

113 (REV. 3-95) 10924 UNION LAR

hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 1 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 2 mitigation of the charges. 3 4 DATED: 11-10-00 5 Respondent. 6 DATED: 10-31-00 7 FRANK M. BUDA, ESQ. Counsel for 8 Miguel Acevedo, Respondent, approved as to form 9 DATED: 11-30-00 10 CF AHAN, Counsel for Complainant. 11 12 The foregoing Stipulation And Agreement In Settlement 13 is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO 14 and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on 15 January 17, 2001 16 R/3, 2000. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED 18 19 REDDU ZINNEMANN missioner 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 APER ATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924 -- 5 --

i

a			
· .			
	2 3 DEC 2 8 2000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
	4 By Mille Barris		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	) * * *		
13	In the matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA		
12	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba ) L-2000070372 City Coast Financial,		
13	a California corporate broker, ) and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually)		
14	dba Imperial Real Estate and as ) designated officer of Ledoux		
15	Investments Inc.,		
16	Respondents,		
17			
18	ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE		
19	On May 25, 2000, an Accusation was filed in this matter		
20	against Respondent LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., et. al.		
21	On November 17, 2000, Sedrick Celestin, President of		
22	Respondent LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC. petitioned the Commissioner		
23	to voluntarily surrender its corporate real estate broker license		
24	and license rights pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business		
25	and Professions Code.		
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent LEDOUX		
27	INVESTMENTS, INC.'s petition for voluntary surrender of its real		
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924	-1-		

,

. ` 1.1.1 •..•

· 2 · 3

•

estate broker license and all license rights appurtaining thereto is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in Respondent's Declaration dated November 17, 2000 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on January 17, 2001 December 13, 1000 DATED: PAULA REDDISH, ZINNEMANN Real/Estate Commissioner Will PAPER TE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924 -2-

1 2	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105			
. 3	3 (213) 576-6982 General			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * *			
11	In the matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA ) L-2000070372			
12	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba ) City Coast Financial,			
13	and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually			
14	dba Imperial Real Estate and as ) designated officer of Ledoux )			
15	Investments Inc.,			
16	Respondents, )			
17	7			
18	DECLARATION			
19	My name is SEDRICK CELESTIN.			
20	I am President of LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC. and have			
21	authority to surrender the real estate license of LEDOUX			
22				
23	Frank M. Buda, Esq. of the Law Offices of Frank M. Buda. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section			
24 25	10100.2, I wish to voluntarily surrender the corporate real			
20	estate broker licenses and license rights, issued by the			
20	Department.			
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924	- 1 -			

Exhibit "A"

. -

•

۰.

1 I, on behalf of LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., understand 2 that by so voluntarily surrendering its real estate licenses and 3 license rights, we agree to the following:

The filing of a petition by LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC. 4 shall be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by us that 5 upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an 6 appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence 7 obtained in the investigation prior to the acceptance and all 8 allegations contained in the Accusation filed in Department of 9 Real Estate Case No. H-28576 LA may be considered by the 10 Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding 11 whether or not to grant reinstatement of LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, 12 INC.'s license. 13

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924

ì

DATED: 11-17, 2000, at \_\_\_\_\_\_, California.

LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC. by Sedrisk Celestin, President of Ledoux Investments, Inc.

FRANK M. BUDA, ESQ. Counsel for Ledoux Investments, Inc., approved as to form.

10. 1(an)	BEFUTE THE DEPARTMENT STATE OF CALIE	
	In the Matter of the Accusation of	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	) LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., ) et al., )	E Mit Aring
	) Respondents. ) )	Case No. H-28576 LA OAH No. L-2000070372

# NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on October 31, November 1 and November 2, 2000, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: July 27, 2000.

cc: Ledoux Investments Inc. Miguel Acevedo Frank M. Buda, Esq. Danio Fajardo Celine Sarapuddin Sacto./OAH

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Bv: AHAN, Counsel

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97vj)

	1	· · · ·		
SPOTO. Flag	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SEAN CRAHAN, Counsel State Bar No. 49351 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 (213) 597-6982 General (213) 597-6907 Direct DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By MAY 2 S 2000		
	8			
•	9	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
	10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	11	* * * *		
	12	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28576 LA		
	13	LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., ) <u>ACCUSATION</u>		
	14	dba City Coast Financial, ) a California corporate broker; )		
	15	and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually, ) dba Imperial Real Estate and as ) designated officer of Ledoux )		
	16	Investments, Inc., )		
	17	Respondents. )		
	18			
	19 The Complainant, Daniel M. Hatt, a Deputy Real Estate			
	20	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation		
	21	against LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba City Coast Financial, a		
	22	California corporate broker; and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually,		
	23	dba Imperial Real Estate and as designated officer of Ledoux		
	24	Investments, Inc., alleges as follows:		
	25	1.		
	26	The Complainant, Daniel M. Hatt, a Deputy Real Estate		
_	27	Commissioner, brings this Accusation in his official capacity.		
COURT PAPEI STATE OF CALIFO STD. 113 (REV. 3 OSP 98 10924		-1-		
	1			

2.

LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC. (hereafter respondent LII), a California corporation, is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter cited as the Code).

(a) At all times herein mentioned, respondent LII was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereafter the Department) as a corporate real estate broker, doing business as City Coast Financial.

11 (b) At all times herein mentioned, respondent LII 12 engaged in California in the business of soliciting and 13 negotiating, for or in expectation of compensation, with borrowers 14 for loans from third-party lenders secured by real property. 15

3.

MIGUEL ACEVEDO (hereafter respondent ACEVEDO) is 17 presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 18 At all times herein mentioned, respondent ACEVEDO was Law. 19 licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually, 20 doing business as Imperial Real Estate and as designated officer of respondent LII. Pursuant to Code Section 10159.2, respondent 22 ACEVEDO was, at all times herein mentioned, responsible for the 23 supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of 24 respondent LII by its officers, managers and employees as necessary 25 to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate 26 Law in the performance of acts for which a real estate license is 27 required.

(REV. 3-95)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16.

21

### Unlicensed Loan Agents

1

2

3

4.

At no time herein mentioned were the following persons 4 licensed by the Department as real estate brokers or salespersons: 5 Joab Jerome; Jean C. Ledoux; Fernando Valencia; Lupe Luevanos, aka 6 Maria G. Luevanos; Rudy Laurent; Marcel Laurent; Alix Jourdain and Ladrado Guilford. 8 5. 9. At all times herein mentioned, respondent LII employed **10** ; and/or compensated those persons identified in paragraph 4 above to 11 solicit and negotiate, for or in expectation of compensation, with 12. the following borrowers for loans by third-party lenders secured by 13 real property: 14 15 16 17 : 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

-3-

1	Borrower	Date	Loan Officer
2 3	Annette Lozolla	9-3-99	Joab Jerome
4	Jose Guadalupe Hernandez	8-25-99	Joab Jerome
5	Eric Heini Hafliger	9-2-99	Joab Jerome
6	Anthony Ortiz	9-21-99	Joab Jerome
7			
8	Juvenal Cardenas	4-8-99	Joab Jerome
9	Estella Berrones	8-15-99	Jean C. Ledoux
10	Guillermo Mondragon	8-27-99	Jean C. Ledoux
11	Luz Maria Palomo	8-2-99	Lupe Luevanos
1	Christina Aguayo	4-2-99	Lupe Luevanos
12	Enrique Vasquez III	9-21-99	Rudy Laurent
13	Juan Antonio Vasquez	5-5-99	Rudy Laurent
14	Antonio Green	6-2-99	Ladrado Guilford
15			
16	Shirley Rogers	8-29-99	Alix Jourdain
17	б.		
18	Respondents LII and ACEVEDO knew or should have known		
19	that the loan officers identified in paragraph 5 above were not		
	licensed as real estate brokers or salespersons during the times		
20	set forth in paragraph 5 above.		
21		7.	
22	The solicitation and	•	for or in expectation
·!		incgocracion, i	or or an expectation

of compensation, with borrowers for loans by third-party lenders secured by real property, as set forth in paragraph 5 above, is conduct for which a real estate license is required under Code Section 10131(d). Said conduct by those unlicensed loan officers

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

-4-

identified in paragraph 5 above was in violation of Code Section 10130.

## Audit

8.

Between December 9, 1999, through December 21, 1999. auditors from the Department examined the books and records of respondent LII covering a period of time from May 1, 1999, through November 12, 1999 (hereafter the "audit period"). The examination revealed that respondents LII and ACEVEDO, during this audit period, violated the following Code Sections and Regulations from Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations (hereafter Regulations):

(a) In connection with the solicitation of lenders for loans secured by real property, respondent LII received funds in 15 trust from or on behalf of borrowers and deposited them in a trust 16 account at Wells Fargo Bank, account number 0806782876.

Respondents LII and ACEVEDO failed to maintain (b) 18 adequate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction. 19 The separate records failed to show the date of deposit, date of 20 each related disbursement, check number and amount of the related 21 disbursement and the balance after posting in disregard of Regulation 2831.1.

(c) Respondents LII and ACEVEDO failed to reconcile, monthly, the separate records with the control records in disregard of Regulation 2831.2.

-5-

ALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 3-95) 10924 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

22

23

24

25

26

27

(d) As of November 30, 1989, respondents LII and ACEVEDO caused, allowed or permitted a shortage of \$1,730.40 to exist in LII's trust account. The cause of said shortage was the payment for appraisals and credit reports from the trust account without the prior receipt of funds from borrowers for whom the appraisal and credit reports were ordered. Said shortage was without the written consent of each and every principal whose funds were in the trust account and was in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

F CALIFORNIA

### Failure to Supervise

9.

Respondent ACEVEDO failed to exercise reasonable supervision so as to ensure that the loan officers identified in paragraph 5 above were licensed during the times they performed those acts set forth in paragraph 5 and/or to ensure the trust account was in balance and that the trust account records were in compliance with the Code and Regulations, as set forth in paragraph 8 above.

#### <u>Causes for Discipline</u>

#### 10.

The conduct or omissions of respondent LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., as set forth above, subjects its real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation under the following Code sections:

(a) Section 10137 for employing or compensating
 unlicensed persons to perform acts requiring a real estate license,
 as set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 above.

-6-

1 (b) Section 10177(d) for violations of the following 2 Code sections and Regulations: 3 (i) Regulation 2831.1 for inadequate separate 4 records, as set forth in paragraphs 8(b) above. 5 (ii) Regulation 2831.2 for failure to reconcile. 6 monthly, the separate records with the control records, as set 7 forth in paragraph 8(c) above. 8 (iii) Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1, for the 9 shortage, as set forth in paragraph 8(d) above. 10 Section 10177(g) for negligence in allowing (C) 11 unlicensed persons to perform acts requiring a real estate license, 12 as set forth in paragraphs 4, 5 and 6 above. 13 11. 14 The acts or omissions of respondent MIGUEL ACEVEDO, as 15 set forth above subjects his real estate licenses and license 16 rights to suspension or revocation under the following Code 17 sections: 18 (a) Section 10137 for employing or compensating 19 unlicensed persons to perform acts requiring a real estate license, 20 as set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 above. 21 Section 10177(d) for violations of the following (b) 22 Code sections and Regulations: 23 (i) Regulation 2831.1 for inadequate separate 24 records, as set forth in paragraph 8(b) above: 25 (ii) Regulation 2831.2 for failure to reconcile, 26 monthly, the separate records with the control records, as set 27 forth in paragraph 8(c) above. PAPER (REV. 3-95) -7--

(iii) Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1, for the shortage, as set forth in paragraph 8(d) above.

(iv) Section 10159.2 for failure to supervise the activities of officers, employees and licensees associated with respondent LII, as set forth in paragraphs 4, 5, 6 above.

(c) Section 10177(g) for negligence in allowingunlicensed persons to perform acts requiring a real estate license,as set forth in paragraphs 4, 5 and 6 above.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and, that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of respondents LEDOUX INVESTMENTS, INC., dba City Coast Financial, a California corporate broker; and MIGUEL ACEVEDO, individually, dba Imperial Real Estate and as designated officer of Ledoux Investments, Inc., under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California,

this 25th day of May, 2000.

Daniel M. Hatt Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

cc: Ledoux Investments, Inc. Miguel Acevedo Daniel M. Hatt L. A. Audits Sacto. AK

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

-8-