DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
320 West Fourth Street, #350
Los Angeles, CA 90013

(213) 576-6982
(213) 576-6914

4

5

6

7

8

DEPARTMENT
9

STATE

OCT 2 2 1999
DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By KMeleihlt

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, dba
"Priority One Property Management,"

No. H-28173 LA

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER ("Respondent"), represented by Steven Pope, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Martha J. Rosett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows, for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on June 13, 1999, in this matter:

Respondent.

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on

OSP 98 10924

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this

proceeding.

_

_

3. On July 20, 1999, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of defense, he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, although not admitting or denying the truth of the allegations, will not contest the factual allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this proceeding and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights as set forth below in the "Order." In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and

3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 ¹

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

- The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding, but shall constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations actually contained in the accusation against Respondent herein.
- The Stipulation is entered into by each party with the express understanding and agreement that it is to be used for the purposes of settling these proceedings only and that this stipulation shall not be deemed, used, or accepted as an acknowledgment or stipulation in any other civil or administrative proceeding to which this Department is not a party. stipulation is expressly limited to these proceedings and to any further proceeding initiated by or brought before the Department of Real Estate, and shall have no collateral estoppel or res judicata effect in any proceeding other than a proceeding brought by the Department of Real Estate.
- This Stipulation contains the full and complete agreement of the parties hereto with regard to the disposition of these administrative proceedings, only, and this Stipulation supersedes

5

6 7

8 9 .

10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

in their entirety all negotiations, discussions, agreements, and/or proposals which may have been issued or made by the respective parties and/or by attorneys for the respective parties prior to the date of execution of this Stipulation.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and ' solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The conduct of Respondent, FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, as alleged in the Accusation, was in violation of the Commissioner's Regulations numbers 2831, 2832, 2831.1, 2831.2, and 2731, and Business and Professions Code Sections 10145 and 10177(g), and is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code.

Order

All licenses and license rights of Respondent FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the

8 9 ;

10.

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of section 10156.6 of that Code:

- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 3. issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

	-1
1	And the second s
	ı,i
	į
0	-1
2	ŀ
	í
	-
3	:
J	•
	1
	٠i
4	1
Ŧ	;
	1
5	
_	•
	ı
_	
6	
-	ŧ
	ì
77	

5. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for an audit to determine if Respondent has corrected the trust fund violations found in Paragraph One (I) of the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of work and per diem. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) Moss That

MARTHA J. ROSETT Counsel for Complainant

	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement
:	and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and
	acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights
	given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act
	(including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509
	and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly,
	intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including
	the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the
	allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would
	have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to
	present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.
	DATED: 9-17-99 Free Eugen Datteren
	FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, Respondent
	-V_£-

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement is hereby adopted as my Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on _____November 12, 1999

IT IS SO ORDERED October 4, 1999

JOHN R. LIBERATOR, Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Counsel for Respondent

Alle R Liberator

12 -



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 0 1999 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER,

By KNe'derbilg

Case No. H-28173 LA

OAH No. L-1999070454

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 W. Fourth Street, Ste. 630, Los Angeles, CA on September 29, 1999

or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: ___July 29, 1999

by Martha I hold

Counsel

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97) Fred Eugene Dotterrer Steve Pope, Esq. Sacto OAH JL

kw

John 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, #350 Los Angeles, California 90013

(213) 576-6982 (213) 576-6914



By Karedecholt

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * * * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, dba "Priority One Property Management,"

No. H- 28173 LA

ACCUSATION

Respondent.

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, dba "Priority One Property Management," is informed and alleges as follows:

Ι

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

II

Respondent FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, doing business as "Priority One Property Management (hereinafter "Respondent,") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

Law, Part l of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"), as a real estate broker.

III

At all times material herein, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(a) and/or 10131(b), for another or others, for or in expectation of compensation. Said activity included the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein he leased or rented, offered to lease or rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for rent, or otherwise negotiated the sale, purchase or exchange of leases on real property, or collected rents from real property. Activity also includes real estate development.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(AUDIT)

IV

From July 1, 1998 through July 17, 1998, the Department performed an audit Respondent's books and records, pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraph III above, covering a period from approximately June 1, 1997 through May 31, 1998, which examination revealed violations of the Code and of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), as set forth below.

V

In the course of activities described in Paragraph III above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph



IV, Respondent acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- (a) Respondent failed to maintain a trust account and placed rental trust funds, including rents collected on behalf of beneficiaries, into a General Account. Therefore, the bank account used to deposit and pay out trust funds was not designated as a trust account in the name of the broker as trustee, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831, and 2832;
- (b) Respondent did not maintain a control record for rents received showing total funds received, disbursed and daily balances, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831;
- (c) Respondent did not maintain separate records for each property managed nor for each owner showing total rents received, disbursed and daily individual balances, in violation of Regulation 2831.1;
- (d) Respondent did not reconcile the balances of the separate ledgers with the balances of the control records at least once a month, in violation of Regulation 2831.2;
- (e) As of the May 31, 1998 audit cut-off date, Respondent was using three fictitious business names without having obtained a license from the Department bearing the fictitious name, in violation of Regulation 2731.

Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights of under the provisions of Code Section 10177(d).

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(ARMSTRONG TRANSACTION)

VI

There is hereby incorporated in this second, separate and distinct cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through V with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.

VII

On or about October 30, 1997, Respondent entered into an Exclusive Right to Sell Listing Agreement with Caroline Armstrong, whereby Respondent broker agreed to use diligence in effecting the sale of property owned by Armstrong located in Peach Avenue in Hesperia. Said agreement had a termination date of January 28, 1998 and called for a sale price of \$85,000. Rent on the lease option for the first two years was to be \$800 per month.

VIII

On or about January 21, 1998, acting as agent for Ms. Armstrong, Respondent entered into a Lease-Option Agreement with Alfreda Maharaj-McMath for the Lease and purchase of the subject Peach Avenue property, the terms of which called for a lower purchase price than that contained in the Exclusive Listing Agreement, a smaller downpayment and a lower monthly rent during the period of the option. Respondent signed said agreement on behalf of Ms. Armstrong although he had no written authorization from her to do so nor any written authorization for the changes described above. Escrow was opened on January 22 or January 23, 1998. On or about February 3, 1998, Ms. Armstrong informed her

agent that she did not wish to accept the deal as proposed.

IX

The facts set forth in Paragraph VIII demonstrates negligence or incompetence in the performance of acts for which a broker's license is required and is grounds for discipline of Respondent's license and/or license rights pursuant to Code Section 10177(q).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 13th day of July, 1999.

THOMAS MC CRADY

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

FRED EUGENE DOTTERRER Sacto.

> JLAudits

26

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25