· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	3 CONTROL ESTATE
4	1 By Sama B. Orma
ŧ	5
f	3
5	
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10) * * * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12	WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.
13	a California corporate broker;) and, AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM
	individually and as)
14	Westlend Financing, Inc.,)
15	
16	
17	
18	Respondents.)
19	
20	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
	On November 22, 1998, a Decision was rendered in
21	the above-entitled matter to become effective December 31, 1998.
22	On December 29, 1998, an ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE stayed the
23	
24	
25	
26	January 19, 1999, stayed the effective date of January 20, 1999,
27	an additional 10 days until February 1, 1999.
G	

. . . .

.

.

.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

i

-

,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision of November 22, 1998, is stayed for an additional period of 10 days. The Decision of November 22, 1998, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on February 11, 1999. DATED: February 1, 1999 Sa. 44. Randolph Brendia Regional Manager 'ER CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

	JAN 191999 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By Sama B. Oran
5 6 7 8	
8 9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	* * * *
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-27556 LA) L-9702029 WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.,)
13 14	a California corporate broker;) and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM,) individually and as designated)
15 16	officer of Westlend Financing,) Inc.,)
17	Respondents.)
18	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
19	On November 22, 1998, a Decision was rendered in the
20	above entitled matter to become effective December 31, 1998.
21	Thereafter in an Order signed December 29, 1998, the Decision was
22	stayed until January 20, 1999.
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
24	Decision of November 22, 1998, is stayed for an additional 10
25	days.
26	
27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391	

The Decision of November 22, 1998, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on February 1, 1999. anvar DATED: JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner Ked ne RANDOLPH BRENDTA Regional Manager lbo 😧 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORN (A STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

AND .	
Flag	
/ 1	
2	
3	
4	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	By Millet Presing
6	
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-27556 LA
12	a California comparate bushese
13	and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM,)
14	officer of Westlend Financing,)
15) Desmondants
16 17	()
17	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
10	On November 22, 1998, a Decision was rendered in the
20	above-entitled matter to become effective December 31, 1998.
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
22	Decision of November 22, 1998, is stayed for a period of 20 days.
23	The Decision of November 22, 1998, shall become effective
24	at 12 o'clock noon on January 20, 1999.
25	DATED: December 29, 1998.
26	JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner
27	By: Rondolph Brandie By don Weles
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391	By: <u>Kondolph Stendin</u> Gutan (US) RANDOLPH BRENDIA Regional Manager
1	

. . :

<u> </u> 	
1	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel
2	107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012
3	(213) 897-3937 By Jama B. anne
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	
6	
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12	WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.) a California corporate broker;) No. H-27556 LA
13	and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM,) individually and as)
14	designated officer of () <u>STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT</u> Westlend Financing, Inc., ()
15	
16	Respondents.
17	It is hereby stipulated by and between WESTLEND
18	FINANCING, INC., and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM, individually and as
19	designated officer of Westlend Financing, Inc., and WESTLEND
20	FINANCING (sometimes collectively referred to as Respondents), and
21	the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel
22	for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of
23	settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on February 5,
24	1998, in this matter:
25	1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence
26	which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i	

•

i

.

· ,

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

j,

Ň

-1-

formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement (Stipulation).

6 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the
7 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
8 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
9 proceeding.

3. Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section
 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a
 hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby
 freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense.

Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing 14 said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require 15 the Real Estate Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 16 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the 17 provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights 18 afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right 19 to present evidence in their defense and the right to cross-20 examine witnesses. 21

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations
contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and
economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but
to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these
factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve
as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to

-2-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to
 provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

This Stipulation is based on Respondents' decision not to 5. 3 contest the allegations set forth in the Accusation as a result of 4 the agreement negotiated between the parties. This Stipulation is 5 expressly limited to this proceeding and any further proceeding 6 initiated by or brought before the Department of Real Estate based 7 upon the facts and circumstances alleged in the Accusation for the 8 sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding 9 without a hearing. The decision of Respondents not to contest the 10 factual statements alleged is made solely for the purpose of 11 effectuating this Stipulation. It is the intent and understanding 12 of the parties that this Stipulation shall not be binding or 13 admissible against Respondents in any actions against Respondents 14 15 by third parties.

It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate 6. 16 Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in this 17 matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' 18 . real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the 19 "Order" herein below. In the event that the Real Estate 20 Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it 21 shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the 22 right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation as amended 23 under the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any 24 stipulation or waiver made herein. 25

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate
Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

-3-

constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further 1 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real 2 Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically 3 alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding. 4 Respondent WESTLEND FINANCING, INC., agrees that the 8. 5 Accusation may be amended to add a prayer for relief pursuant to 6 Business and Professions Code Section 10139.5 to for the purpose 7 of imposing a fine on said Respondent and Respondent hereby waives 8 any protest to the inclusion of said Code Section. 9 10 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 11 By reason of the foregoing stipulations, it is stipulated and 12 agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made: 13 Т 14 The conduct of WESTLEND FINANCING, INC., as described in 15 Paragraph 4, is in violation of Sections 10137 and 10145 of the 16 Business and Professions Code (Code) and Sections 2831, 2831.1, 17 2831.2, 2832.1, 2832 and 2834 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the 18 California Code of Regulations (Regulations) and is a basis for 19 the suspension or revocation of WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.s, license 20 and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant 21 to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code. 22 23 24 25 26 27 13 (REV. 3-95)

5 28391

-4-

1	II	
2	The conduct of AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM, as described in	
3	Paragraph 4, constitutes a failure to keep WESTLEND FINANCING,	
4	INC., in compliance with the Real Estate Law during the time that	
5	he was the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee and	
6	further constitutes a failure to exercise reasonable supervision	
7	and control over the licensed activities of WESTLEND FINANCING,	
8	INC., and its salespersons which require a real estate license and	1
9	is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's	
10	license pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code.	
11	ORDER	
12	WHEREFORE THE FOLLOWING ORDER IS MADE PURSUANT	
13	TO THE WRITTEN STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES:	-
14	, I	•
15	All license and licensed rights of Respondent WESTLEND	1
16	FINANCING, INC., under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided,	:
17	however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued	!
18	to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Code if	
19	Respondent makes application therefor and pay to the Department of	
20	Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within	
21	90 days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted	
22	license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the	
23	provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and to the following	
24	limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority	
25	of Section 10156.6 of that Code:	1
		1

.

1 .

i

1 -

26

•

.

1

· .

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

The restricted license issued to a Respondent may be
 suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate
 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea
 of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially
 related to a Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real
 estate licensee.

2. The restricted license issued to a Respondent may be
suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate
Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate
Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the
California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law,
Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions
attaching to the restricted license.

Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the
issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for
the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or
restrictions of a restricted license until two years has
elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

4. Prior to the Issuance of any restricted real estate broker license, WESTLEND FINANCING,, INC. shall provide evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that the \$219,771.45 deficit, as of October 31, 1997, set forth in Audit Report No. LA 970203 dated January 8, 1998, has been cured including revealing the identity of the source of funds used to cure it.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-93) 95 28391

7

14

20 -

21

22

23

24

25

26

• .	
1	5. For Respondent's violation of Section 10137, Respondent
2	is ordered to pay a fine of \$7,000 pursuant to the authority
3	set forth in Section 10139.5 of the Code, prior to the
4	effective date of any decision in this matter. If the fine
5	is not paid within thirty (30) days of the effective date, or
6	if not paid within any other time period agreed to by the
7	Commissioner and the Respondent, the license of Respondent
8	shall be suspended until the fine is paid in full, including
9	any interest accruing thereon.
10	II
11	All license and licensed rights of Respondent AMBROSE NAM
12	
13	HOON KIM under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however,
14	a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to
15	Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Code if Respondent
16	makes application therefor and pay to the Department of Real
17	Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90
18	days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted
19	license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the
20	provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and to the following
21	limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority
22	of Section 10156.6 of that Code:
23	1. The restricted license issued to a Respondent may be
24	suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate
25	Commissioner in the event of a Respondent's conviction or
26	plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially
27	

ł

.

1

į

ļ

.

· ·,

CURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA 2. 113 (REV. 3-95) 28391

· .

-7-

related to a Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

The restricted license issued to a Respondent may be 2. 4 suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate 5 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate 6 Commissioner that a Respondent has violated provisions of the 7 California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, 8 Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions 9 attaching to the restricted license. 10

Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 3. 11 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for 12 the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or 13 restrictions of a restricted license until three years has 14 elapsed from the effective date of this Decision. 15

Respondent AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM shall, within six 4. 17 months from the effective date of this Decision, present 18 evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that 19 Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original 20 or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully 21 completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Real Estate Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Real Estate Commissioner shall afford a

D. 113 (REV. 3-95) 26391

1

2

3

16

22

23

24

25

26

27

-8-

Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

5. Respondent AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM shall within six months
 from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the
 Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the
 Department including the payment of the appropriate
 examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this
 condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of
 Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

11 6. Respondent shall submit with any application for license
12 under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to
13 a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective
14 broker which shall certify:

15 (1) That the employing broker has read the Order of the
16 Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted
17 license; and

18 (2) That the employing broker will exercise close
19 supervision over the performance by the restricted
20 licensee of the activities for which a real estate
21 license is required.

III

Any restricted real estate broker license Respondent WESTLEND FINANCING, INC., under the Real Estate Law shall be suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the date any such restricted license is issued. However, if Respondent petitions, said

-9-

OURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 3-95)

22

27 .

1

2

3

suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be permanently stayed upon 1 2 condition that: Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to 3 1. Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each 4 day of said suspension stayed, for a total monetary penalty 5 of \$3,000. 6 7 Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 2. 8 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account 9 of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the 10 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision. 11 IV 12 During the time Respondent WESTLEND FINANCING, INC., holds a 13 restricted license, it shall submit to the Department of Real 14 Estate a Quarterly Trust Fund Statement as of the last day of each 15 March, June, September and December. The Quarterly Trust Fund 16 Statement shall be submitted to the Supervising Auditor of the 17 Department at its Los Angeles Office not later than sixty (60) 18 days following the last day of each calendar quarter. The 19 Quarterly Trust Fund Statement shall include the information and 20 documents specified below. Quarterly Trust Fund Statements 21 submitted by Respondent shall be verified as true and accurate by 22 the designated officer of Respondent under penalty of perjury. If 23 Respondent has no trust fund liability as of the last day of the 24 calendar quarter, the Quarterly Trust Fund Statement shall so 25 state. The Quarterly Trust Fund Statement shall consist of the 26 following: 27

-10-

(REV. 3-95)

· <u>·</u> · ·	
. 1	(a) A schedule of trust fund accountability with the
2	following information concerning funds held by Respondent as
3	agent or trustee to the owner(s) of said funds:
4	(i) Account number and depositories.
5	(ii) Names of principals and beneficiaries.
6	(iii) Trust fund liability to (a)(ii).
7	(b) A report of trust funds in the custody and control
8	of Respondent as of the accounting date consisting of:
9	(i) Copies of Respondent's trust accounts'
10	bank statements [listed above as (a)(i)] showing the
11	balance of funds in the accounts as of the accounting
12	date.
13	(ii) A schedule of uncleared checks drawn
14	on the accounts adjusting the accounts to their true
15	balance as of accounting date.
16	(c) A copy of Respondent's:
17	(i) trust funds records maintained pursuant
18	to Regulation 2831,
19	(ii) separate records maintained pursuant
20	to Regulation 2831.1 and
21	(iii) reconciliation maintained pursuant
22	to Regulation 2831.2.
23	(d) A statement explaining any discrepancy between the total
24	liability shown under (a) above and the adjusted trust
25	accounts' balances shown under (b) above.
26	/
27	/
DURT PAPER	
D. 113 (REV. 3-95) 28391	-11-

.

. .

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Code, Respondent WESTLEND 2 FINANCING, INC. shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for 3 an audit to determine if Respondent is presently in compliance 4 with the Real Estate Law. 5 In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the 6 estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits 7 of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel 8 time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall 9 pay such cost, not to exceed \$5,400, within 45 days of receiving 10 an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities 11 performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing 12 those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted 13 license issued to Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance 14 with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is 15 not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a 16 subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. 17 The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in 18 full or until Respondent enter into an agreement satisfactory to 19 the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision 20 providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant 21 to this condition. 22

v

23

24

27

DATED:

1

25 26

JRT PAPER E OF CALIFORNIA LI3 (REV, 3-95) 8391

-12-

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN

Counsel for Complainant

10-5-98

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

We have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms are 4 understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We 5 understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the 6 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited 7 to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), 8 and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those g rights, including the right of requiring the Real Estate 10 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation as amended 11 at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine 12 witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and 13 mitigation of the charges. 14

15 DATED: 16

21

10

18 101 DATED 19

DATED:

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

WESTLEND FINANCING, INC Respondent, BY: AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM, D.O.

AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM, Individually and as designated officer of Westlend Financing, Inc. Respondent

ROSE POTHIER, ESQ. Respondent

1

-13-

113 (REV. 3-95) 28391

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o' clock noon on <u>December</u> 31 , 1998. IT IS SO ORDERED . 1998. JIM ANTT JR. Real Estate Real Estate Commissioner add. E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 3-95)

-14-

toft	BEFORE THE DEPARTME STATE OF CAT			
	In the Matter of the Accusation of)	Case	No.	H-27556 LA JUL 2 4 1998
) WESTLEND FINANCING INC., et al.,)	OAH	No.	L- 1998040044 PARTMENT OF REAL ESTAT
) Respondent)			By Jama B. arma
	• •			

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 107 South Broadway, 2nd Floor, Los Angeles, California, on <u>OCTOBER 6, 7 & 8, 1998</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 a.m.</u> or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served upon you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: JUL 2 4 1998

DEF	ARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	Ξ
By:	TRovert & Barly	for
,	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel	

cc: Westlend Financing Inc. Ambrose Nam Hoon Kim Rose Pothier, Esq. Sacto., OAH

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97) lbo

BEFORE THE DEPARTM STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA MAY 2 1 1998
$(\ \)$ In the Matter of the Accusation of (Case No. H-27556 DARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
) WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.,) et al.,) Respondents.)	OAH No. L- 1998040044

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 107 South Broadway, 2nd Floor, Los Angeles, California, on <u>IULY 22.23 & 24, 1998</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 a.m</u>. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served upon you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: MAY 2 1 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By: _____

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel

Westlend Financing Inc. Ambrose Nam Hoon Kim Sacto. OAH

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

CC:

July 2	BEFORE THE DEPART STATE OF		LIFORNIA	LESTATE		۲ 8 1998	
In the	Matter of the Accusation of)	Case No.	H-27556 L	A PEPARTMEN	T OF REAL	
)	OAH No.	L- 1998040	044		
WEST	LEND FINANCING INC., et al.,)			P.	A Q	4
)			By Sharry	-hr.C/	une
·	Respondents.	_)					

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 107 South Broadway, 2nd Floor, Los Angeles, California, on <u>MAY 27, 1998</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 a.m</u>. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served upon you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: APR - 8 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel

cc: Westlend Financing Inc. Ambrose Nam Hoon Kim Sacto. OAH

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

CS B	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel	
	State Bar No. 66674 Department of Real Estate	
3	107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012	FEB 5 1998
4	Telephone: (213) 897-3937	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	•	By Laura B. Cum
6		by
7		
8	DEPARTMENT OF R	
9 10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of	*
12	WESTLEND FINANCING, INC.)) }
13	a California corporate broker; and, AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM) }
14	individually and as designated officer of) No. H- 27556 LA
15	Westlend Financing, Inc.,))
16) ACCUSATION
17	Respondents.)
18)
19		Crady, a Deputy Real Estate
20	Commissioner of the State of Californ	
21	against WESTLEND FINANCING, INC. a Ca	
22	doing business as American Capital Fu	
23 24	HOON KIM, individually and as designation	
25	Financing, Inc., is informed and alle as follows:	ges in his official capacity
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · /	· · ·
27	, , ,	
æ		
COURT PAPER State of California Std. 113 (REV. 3-95)		
35 28391	- 1 -	

:

٠.

ł

1 Ι 2 WESTLEND FINANCING, INC. (WFI) and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM (KIM), individually and as designated officer of Westlend 3 Financing, Inc., sometimes collectively referred to as 4 Respondents, are presently licensed and/or have license rights 5 under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California 6 Business and Professions Code). 7 8 II All references to the "Code" are to the California 9 Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" 10 are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 11 12 III At all times mentioned, WFI was licensed by the 13 Department of Real Estate of the State of California (Department) 14 as a corporate real estate broker. WFI was originally licensed on 15 16 July 18, 1986. 17 IV 18 At all times mentioned, KIM was licensed by the Department as designated officer of WFI to qualify WFI and to act 19 for WFI as a real estate broker and, as provided by Section 20 10159.2 of the Code, was responsible for the supervision and 21 control of the activities conducted on behalf of WFI by its 22 officers, managers and employees as necessary to secure full 23 compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law including 24 the supervision of the salespersons licensed to the corporation in 25 26 the performance of acts for which a real estate license is required. KIM was originally licensed on March 17, 1995. 27

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNI TD, 113 (REV. 3-95

-2-

1 v Whenever reference is made in an allegation in the 2 Accusation to an act or omission of WFI such allegation shall be 3 deemed to mean that the officers, directors, managers, employees, 4 agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with 5 WFI and including KIM, committed such act or omission while 6 engaged in the furtherance of the business or operation of WFI and 7 while acting within the course and scope of its corporate 8 authority, agency and employment. 9 10 VI At all times mentioned, WFI and KIM were acting as the 11 agent or employee of the other and within the course and scope of 12 13 such agency or employment. 14 VII At all times mentioned, in the City of Los Angeles, Los 15 Angeles County, Respondents WFI and KIM acted as real estate 16 brokers in the State of California within this meaning of Section 17 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation of a mortgage loan 18 brokerage with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were 19 solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on 20 real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, 21 processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or 22 in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected in 23 advance. 24 25 26 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

-3-

1	VIII
2	(Audit No. LA 970203)
3	On January 8, 1998, the Department completed a field
4	audit examination of the books and records of WFI pertaining to
5	its mortgage and loan brokerage activities further described in
6	Paragraph IX, below. The audit examination covered a period of
7	time beginning on November 1, 1996 and ending on October 31, 1997.
8	The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the
9	Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs.
10	IX
11	At all times mentioned, in connection with the
12	activities described in Paragraph VII, above, Respondents WFI and
13	KIM accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on
14	behalf of actual or prospective borrowers and lenders. Thereafter
15	they made disposition of such funds. Respondents WFI and KIM
16	maintained the following trust accounts into which they deposited
17.	certain of these funds:
18	"Westlend Financing, Inc. dba American Capital Funding (B/A #1) No. 5417562"
19	Hanmi Bank 3660 Wilshire Blvd.
20	Los Angeles, California
21	"Westlend Financing, Inc. dba American Capital Funding (B/A #2) No. 0372-06181"
22	Bank of America 3810 S. Santa Fe Avenue.
23	Vernon, California
24	/
25	
26	/
27	
COURT PAPER	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA : STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391	-4-
;	

.

· · · · · ·

With respect to the trust funds referred to in Paragraph
IX, it is alleged that WFI and KIM:

Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of (a) 4 trust funds from B/A #1 where the disbursement of said funds 5 reduced the total aggregate funds in B/A #1, to an amount which, 6 on October 31, 1997, was \$219,771.45 less than the existing 7 aggregate trust fund liability of Respondents to every principal 8 who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining the prior 9 written consent of the owners of said funds, as required by 10 Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; 11

(b) Failed to maintain a control record for the daily
balance of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds received
by B/A # 1 and B/A #2, as required by Section 2831 of the
Regulations;

16 (c) Failed to maintain a separate record for each 17 beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all 18 trust funds received, deposited, and disbursed from B/A # 1 and 19 B/A #2, as required by Regulation 2831.1;

(d) Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the
balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records
maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all
trust funds received and disbursed out of B/A # 1 and B/A #2, as
required by Regulation 2831.2;

(e) Failed to place funds, accepted on behalf of another into the hands of the owner of the funds, into a neutral escrow depository or into a trust fund account in the name of the

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TO, 113 (REV. 3-95)

25

26

27

1

Х

-5-

broker as trustee at a bank or other financial institution not 1 later than three business days following receipt of the funds by 2 the broker or by the broker's salesperson, as required by Section 3 2832 of the Regulations; and 4 (f) Permitted unlicensed and unauthorized persons, to 5 wit, Danny Ahn and Kristie Ahn, who were not bonded, to be 6 signatories on the trust accounts B/A # 1 and B/A #2. 7 Furthermore, KIM as designated officer was not authorized to be a 8 signatory on the trust accounts B/A # 1 and B/A #2, in violation 9 10 of Section 2834 of the Regulations. 11 XI The conduct of Respondents WFI and KIM, described in 12 Paragraph X, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth: 13 14 Paragraph Provisions Violated 15 X(a) Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and 16 Section 2832.1 of the Regulations 17 18 X(b) Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and 19 Section 2831 of the Regulations 20 21 X(c) Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and 22 Section 2831.1 of the Regulations 23 24 Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and X(d) 25 Section 2831.2 of the Regulations 26 27

95 28391

(REV. 3-95)

-6-

X(e) Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and 1 2 Section 2832 of the Regulations 3 X(f) Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and 4 5 Section 2834 of the Regulations 6 Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes cause for 7 the suspension or revocation of the real estate licenses and 8 license rights of Respondents WFI and KIM under the provisions of 9 Section 10177(d) of the Code. 10 XII 11 In course of the mortgage and loan brokerage activities 12 described in Paragraph VII, WFI and KIM, with full knowledge that 13 Eun Soo Kim, Young Hwan Yi and Ki Kwan Lim were not licensed by 14 the Department in any capacity, employed and compensated them to 15 perform acts for which a real estate license is required, 16 including originating loans, soliciting borrowers and lenders, and 17 negotiating loans secured by liens on real property, for or in 18 expectation of compensation for the following borrowers: Sung Kim, 19 Bowman, and Soon K. Jun. This conduct and violation are cause to 20 suspend or revoke the licenses and license rights of Respondents 21 WFI and KIM under the provisions of Section 10137 of the Code. 22 23 24 25 26 27

OURT PAPER

-7-

1 XIII 2 In course of the mortgage and loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph VII, WFI and KIM, with full knowledge that 3 Sung Kim and Susan You, were salespersons licensed by the 4 Department but not affiliated or employed by WFI or individually 5 by KIM, compensated them for performing acts for which a real 6 estate license is required including negotiating loans secured by 7 liens on real property and specifically including the Ocegueda and 8 Dae Yoo loans respectively. This conduct and violation are cause 9 to suspend or revoke the licenses and license rights of 10 Respondents WFI and KIM under the provisions of Section 10137 of 11 12 the Code. 13 XIV The conduct of Respondents WFI and KIM, as described in 14 the audit report, to wit, naming WFI's fictitious business name of 15 American Capital Funding, Inc. as beneficiary of the loans for the 16 Ocegueda, Reyes, Bowman, Kim, and Chey trust deeds, instead of 17 naming the lender or his/her nominee as beneficiary without first 18 19 having obtained said lenders written authorization. This conduct and violation are cause to suspend or revoke the real estate 20 21 licenses and license rights of Respondents WFI and KIM pursuant to Sections 10234 and 10176(i) of the Code. 22 23 24 25 26 27

⇒(0.113 (R) 95 28391

-8-

The audit examination moreover revealed that WFI and KIM 2 failed to provide a statement in writing containing all the 3 information required by Section 10241 of the Code to various 4 borrowers including but not limited to Ocegueda, Reyes, Bowman, 5 Kim, and Chey before these borrowers became obligated to perform 6 under the terms of their loans. This omission is a violation of 7 Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations. 8 This omission constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation 9 of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents WFI 10 and KIM under Section 10177(d) of the Code. 11 12 XVI The audit examination revealed that Respondent WFI and 13 KIM failed to notify the Department of the change of address of 14 15

XV

WFI's principal place of business. This conduct constitutes a violation of Section 10162 of the Code and Section 2715 of the Regulations and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondents WFI and KIM under Sections 10165 and 10177(d) of the Code.

20

1

XVII

The audit examination further revealed that Respondent WFI used the fictitious name of American Capital Funding, Inc. to conduct licensed activities on WFI's behalf without holding a license bearing said fictitious business name. The conduct of Respondent WFI, in failing to obtain a license for use of the aforesaid name, is in violation of Regulation 2731 and is cause to

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

27

-9-

suspend or revoke Respondent WFI's real estate license and license
 rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

XVIII

The audit investigation further revealed that KIM had no 4 system in place for regularly monitoring WFI's compliance with the 5 Real Estate law specifically with regard to the handling the trust 6 funds of others, and also including failing to supervise the 7 handling of trust funds, failing to implement a policy to maintain. 8 separate beneficiary records of loan transactions, failing to 9 maintain a separate broker record of fees owed, for allowing 10 11 payment to borrowers before funds had been received, for disbursing funds on deposit from lender Gibraltar Financial 12 Corporation whose checks were regularly returned non-sufficient 13 funds, for making unlawful payments to unlicensed agents, and for 14 making unlawful payments to licensed agents not affiliated with or 15 employed by WFI, in violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations. 16 17 XIX

18 The audit examination, described in Paragraph VIII, also 19 revealed that KIM failed to initiate and maintain a written 20 Broker-Salesperson agreements with one of KIM's salespersons, to 21 wit, Kelly Woon Lee, in violation of Regulation 2726. This 22 conduct and violation are also cause to suspend or revoke KIM's 23 license and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of 24 the Code.

XX

The audit examination also revealed that KIM failed to notify the Department of the employment of licensee Kelly Woon

COURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNI TD. 113 (REV. 3-95

25

26

27

3

-10-

Lee, as required by Section 10161.8 of the Code and Section 2752
 of the Regulations. This conduct is cause to suspend or revoke
 the license and license rights of the Respondent KIM under the
 provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

5

XXI

6 The overall conduct of Respondents WFI and KIM, as 7 described in Paragraphs VII through XX herein above, constitutes 8 negligence and/or incompetence. This conduct and violation are 9 cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license 10 rights of Respondents WFI and KIM and pursuant to Section 10177(g) 11 of the Code.

12

21

22

23

24

25

XXII

The conduct of Respondent KIM, constitutes a failure on 13 the part of KIM, as officer designated by a corporate broker 14 licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over 15 the licensed activities of WFI as required by Section 10159.2 of 16 the Code, and to keep it in compliance with the Real Estate Law, 17 is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate 18 licenses and license rights of KIM pursuant to the provisions of 19 Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code. 20

26 27 COURT PAPER

-11-

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted
2	on the allegations made by the Accusation and, that upon proof
3	thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action
4	against the license and license rights of WESTLEND FINANCING,
5	INC., a California corporate broker and AMBROSE NAM HOON KIM,
6	individually and as designated officer of Westlend Financing, Inc.
7	under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business
8	and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may
9	be proper under other applicable provisions of law.
10	Dated at Los Angeles, California
11	this 5th day of February, 1998.
12	THOMAS MCCRADY
13	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
14	
15	
_ 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
. 21	
22	
23	
24	cc: Westlend Financing, Inc.
25	c/o Ambrose Nam Hoon Kim, D.O. Sacto.
26	AS
27	
COURT PAPER	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-93) 95 28391	-12-
• ;4 ;1	

Ľ.

* . *