1	107 South Broadway, Room 8107
3	Telephone: (213) 897-3937 Image:
4	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	
6	By [2]
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12	FCB ENTERPRISES, INC.,) NO. H-27053 LA
13	
14	THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually) <u>STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT</u> and as designated officer of FCB)
15	Enterprises, Inc.,)
16	Respondents.)
17	It is hereby stipulated by and between THOMAS KURT
18	LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB
19	Enterprises, Inc. (hereinafter "Respondent"), and his attorney
20	of record, Lawrence E. Greenbaum, Esq., and the Complainant,
21 -	acting by and through Chris Leong, Counsel for the Department of
22	Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and
23	disposing of the Accusation filed on February 27, 1997, in this
24	matter. The Accusation as to FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a
25	corporation and formerly dba First California Bancorp, will be
26	handled separately.
27	

,

-1-

۰.

.

.

OURT PAPER PATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

 (\mathfrak{B})

.

28391

All issues which were to be contested and all
 evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent
 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
 held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
 Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be
 submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
 Stipulation and Agreement.

8 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the 9 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and 10 the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this 11 proceeding.

12 3. On March 10, 1997, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense, pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for 13 14 the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 15 Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws Accusation. said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he 16 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will 17 thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the 18 allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 19 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive 20 21 other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations 22 23 in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

4. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest the factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 13 of the Accusation, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual

D. 113 (REV. 3-95) 28391

24

25

26

27

-2-

statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real 5 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as 6 his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and 7 sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights 8 as set forth in the "Order" below. 9 In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation 10 and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent 11 shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the 12 Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be 13 bound by any admission or waiver made herein. 14

15 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
16 Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and
17 Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any
18 further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of
19 Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not
20 specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
21 proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

(REV. 3-95)

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

-3-

The conduct of Respondent, as described in Paragraphs

1 through 13 of the Accusation is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Business and Professions Code.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2639

ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: The license and license rights of Respondent, under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, are hereby revoked commencing on the effective date of this Decision. 1. However, Respondent shall be entitled to apply for and shall be issued a restricted real estate broker license pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Code, if Respondent makes

13 application therefor and pays to the Department the appropriate 14 fee for said license within one year from the effective date of 15 this Decision. 16

2. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall 17 be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of the Cođe.

3. The restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a significant relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

The restricted license may be suspended, prior to and pending final determination after formal hearing by Order of

-4-

the Real Estate Commissioner based upon evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has, subsequent to the date hereof, violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to this restricted license.

5. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate broker license nor the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the restricted license until at least <u>one year</u> has elapsed from the date of this Decision.

6. Respondent shall obey all laws of the United States, the State of California and its political subdivisions, and shall further obey and comply with all rules and regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner.

Respondent shall, within one year from the 7. 16 effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory 17 to the Real Estate Commissioner that he has, since the most 18 recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, 19 taken and successfully completed the continuing education 20 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law 21 for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to 22 satisfy this condition, the Real Estate Commissioner shall. 23 afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the 24 Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 25 11111 26 11111 27 11111

D. 113 (REV. 3 28391 l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

-5-

Respondent shall, within one year from the 1 8. effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional 2 Responsibility Examination administered by the Department, 3 4 including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may 5 order suspension of the license until Respondent passes the 6 7 examination.

9 10

11

DATED:

8

CHURS VONG

CHRIS LEONG, ESQ. Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have 12 13 discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 14 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 15 16 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 17 18 intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 19 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 20 the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 21 defense and mitigation of the charges.

22 23 24 25 26 27

TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

28391

1narch 3, 1998 DATED:

THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc., Respondent

-6-



FEB 1 7 1998 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATI

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

NO. H-27053 LA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation, and formerly dba First California Bancorp; and THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc.,

Respondents.

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on January 20, 1998, and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondent's express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

This Default is for FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba First California Bancorp, (hereinafter "FCB") only. The Accusation as to THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc., will be handled separately.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

On February 27, 1997, Thomas McCrady made the Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed by certified mail on February 27, 1997, to FCB's last known mailing address on file with the Department.

On January 20, 1998, no Notice of Defense having been filed herein within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, FCB's default was entered herein.

FCB is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a corporate real estate broker. FCB's corporate real estate broker license expired on February 9, 1997, however, it retains a two year right of renewal.

III

All further references to FCB shall be deemed to refer to, in addition to FCB, the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with FCB, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

IV

At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, FCB, engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, wherein it arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others sales of real property to the public for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

V

On or about August 8, 1995, the Department completed an audit of the activities of FCB, audit number LA 940296. The records of FCB were reviewed for the period from January 1994 through September 30, 1994. The results of that audit are set forth in Findings VI and VII.

VI

During 1994, in connection with its real estate business activities, FCB accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of borrowers and thereafter made disbursements of such funds. These trust funds were maintained by FCB in a general business account at Wells Fargo Bank, 10225 Balboa Blvd., Northridge, CA 91324, Account No. 0691-042469, known as FCB Enterprises, Inc., dba First California Bancorp (hereinafter "A#1").

VII

In connection with those trust funds described in Finding VI, FCB:

(a) failed to disclose to borrowers Don R.
Meredith (hereinafter "Meredith") and Ursula Clemons
(hereinafter "Clemons"), Donald John Slaughter's (hereinafter "Slaughter") (the owner of FCB) interest in Express Appraisal Service and the secret profit obtained by reason of said ownership, in violation of Section 10176(g) of the Code;

(b) failed to provide to the Department books and records of FCB and the loan file of T. & C. Fraze, in violation of Section 10148 of the Code;

(c) trust funds from borrower Meredith were commingled with FCB funds in A#1, in violation of Section 10176(e) of the Code;

(d) failed to disclose to borrowers all of the mortgage loan fees received by FCB from Countrywide Funding Corp. for the C. & K. Dominguez transaction, and California Mortgage Services for the Yeshurun transaction, in violation of Section 10176(g) of the Code;

(e) failed to maintain a log of trust funds not placed into a trust account, in violation of Section 2831 of the Regulations;

(f) failed to provide borrowers with Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements, in violation of Section 10240 of the Code;

(g) failed to advise the Department that FCB's main office address was no longer 9846 White Oak Ave. #204, Nortridge, CA, in violation of Section 2715 of the Regulations;

(h) failed to make the original license of Mark Carl Stein available for review, in violation of Section 10160 of the Code; and

(i) failed to notify the Department of salespersons no longer employed by FCB, in violation of Section 2752 of the Regulations.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Ι

The conduct of Respondent FCB, as alleged in Findings I through VI, constitutes violation under Sections <u>10148</u>, <u>10176(e)</u>, 10176(g), <u>10240</u> and <u>10160</u> of the Code and Sections 2715, 2752 and <u>2831</u> of the Regulations. Said conduct is cause pursuant to Section <u>10177(d)</u> of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent FCB under Real Estate Law. The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

<u>ORDER</u>

The license and license rights of Respondent, FCB
ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba First
<u>California Bancorp, under the provisions of Part 1 of</u>
Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, are revoked.
This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock
noon on <u>March 10, 1998</u>
DATED: $3/5/98$
JIM ANTT, JR.
Real Estate Commissioner
67,76
Nh (Mid) (A)

-4-

•	• •	
1 2	Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012	
3	(213) 897-3937	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4 5		By <u>C.3</u>
6		•
7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF	REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFO	RNIA
10	* * *	
. 11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	NO. H-27053 LA
12	FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation) and formerly dba First California	DEFAULT ORDER
13	Bancorp; and THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER,) individually and as designated	
14	officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc.,	1
15	Respondents.	
16	Respondent, FCB ENTERPRISES	INC., a corporation and
17 18	formerly dba First California Bancorp,	having failed to file a
10	Notice of Defense within the time requ	ired by Section 11506 of
20	the Government Code, is now in default	. It is, therefore,
21	ordered that a default be entered on t	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED January	20, 1998
23	JIM AND Real Es	ST, JR.
24	\mathcal{Q}	1 and a c'
25	By: R	ANDOLPH BRENDIA
26		egional Manager
27		
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391		

•

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No

OAH No.

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba First California Bancorp; and THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc.,

•	<u>H-27053 LA</u>
	L-9703165 MAR - 2 1998
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Respondent(s)

NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 107 South Broadway, Second Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012 on **FRIDAY.** MARCH 13, 1998, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

By

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: <u>March 2, 1998</u>

cc: Thomas Kurt Loeffler Lawrence Greenbaum, Esg. Sacto. OAH

CEB

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

RE 501 (La Mac 11/92)



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba First California Bancorp; and THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB Enterprises, Inc., Gase No. <u>H-27053 LA</u>

OAH No. L-9703165



Respondent(s)

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

By CBy

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 314 W. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 on **FRIDAX. JANUARY 16. 1998.** at the hour of **9:00 A.M.**, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Bv

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

Dated: <u>March 25, 1997</u>

cc: FCB Enterprises, Inc. Thomas Kurt Loeffler Lawrence Greenbaum, Esq. Sacto. OAH

CEB

RE 501 (La Mac 11/92)

N.	
1	
2	CHRIS LEONG, Counsel Department of Real Estate
3	107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012 FEB 2 7 1997
4	(213) 897-3937 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	Bu C.B.
6	by
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-27053 LA
12	FCB ENTERPRISES, INC.,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
13	a corporation and formerly dba) First California Bancorp; and)
14	THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually) and as designated officer of FCB)
15	Enterprises, Inc.,)
16	Respondents.)
17	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
18	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
19	against FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba
20 21	First California Bancorp (hereinafter "FCB"); and THOMAS KURT
22	LOEFFLER, individually and as designated officer of FCB
23	Enterprises, Inc. (hereinafter "LOEFFLER") (hereinafter
24	sometimes collectively referred to as "Respondents"), is
25	informed and alleges as follows:
26	1.
27	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
æ	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)	
95 28391	-1-
	1

5.0

•

· · · ·

SRU!

. .: .

•

• • `

2.

All Sections of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations, are hereinafter referred to as "Regulations".

3.

As of about February 10, 1993, FCB was and still is licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker.

4.

9 At all times herein mentioned, LOEFFLER was and still 10 is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker. At all 11 times mentioned herein, LOEFFLER was responsible for the 12 supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of 13 FCB by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full 14 compliance with the Real Estate Law as set forth in Section 15 10159.2 of the Code.

5.

All further references to FCB shall be deemed to refer to, in addition to FCB, the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with 20 FCB, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

6.

At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, FCB and LOEFFLER, engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate

-2-

95 28391

5.1 21

.

11. S. .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-98) brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, wherein they arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others sales of real property to the public for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

÷ '

1

Reference and the second second

 $(\cdot, \gamma$

AUDIT

7.

On or about August 8, 1995, the Department completed an audit of the activities of Respondents, audit number LA 940296. The records of Respondents were reviewed for the period from January 1994 through September 30, 1994. The results of that audit are set forth in Paragraphs 8 and 9.

8.

During 1994, in connection with their real estate business activities, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of borrowers and thereafter made disbursements of such funds. These trust funds were maintained by Respondents in a bank account at Wells Fargo Bank, 10225 Balboa Blvd., Northridge, CA 91324, Account No. 0691-042469, known as FCB Enterprises, Inc., dba First California Bancorp (hereinafter "A#1").

9.

In connection with those trust funds described in Paragraph 8, Respondents:

(a) failed to disclose to borrowers Don R. Meredith(hereinafter "Meredith") and Ursula Clemons (hereinafter"Clemons"), Donald John Slaughter's (hereinafter "Slaughter")

-3-

1	(the owner of FCB) interest in Express Appraisal Service, in
. 2	violation of Section 10176(g) of the Code;
3	(b) failed to provide to the Department books and
4	records of FCB and the loan file of T. & C. Fraze, in violation
5	of Section 10148 of the Code;
6	(c) trust funds from borrower Meredith were
7	~commingled with FCB funds in A#1; in violation of Section
8	10176(e) of the Code;
9	(d) failed to disclose to borrowers all of the
10	mortgage loan fees received by FCB, in violation of Section
11	10176(g) of the Code;
12	(e) failed to maintain a log of trust funds not
13	placed into a trust account, in violation of Section 2831 of the
14	Regulations;
15	(f) LOEFFLER failed to adequately supervise the
16	licensed activities of FCB, in violation of Section 10159.2 of
17	the Code;
18	(g) Respondent LOEFFLER was not an officer of FCB or
19	a member of the Board of Directors for FCB. Slaughter was the
20	owner of FCB and was employed as a salesperson by FCB, in
21	violation of Section 2741 of the Regulations;
22	(h) failed to provide borrowers with Mortgage Loan
23 24	Disclosure Statements, in violation of Section 10240 of the
25	Code;
26	(i) LOEFFLER failed to review, initial and date
20 27	Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements or Good Faith Estimates, in
	violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations;
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)	
95 28391	-4-

•...

(i)failed to advise the Department that FCB's main office address was no longer 9846 White Oak Ave. #204, Nortridge, CA, in violation of Section 2715 of the Regulations;

Ś \$

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

.

(k) failed to make the original license of Mark Carl 5 Stein available for review, in violation of Section 10160 of the 6 Code;

(1)LOEFFLER failed to sign the Broker-Salesperson Relationship Agreement between Mark Carl Stein and FCB, in violation of Section 2726 of the Regulations;

10 failed to notify the Department of salespersons (m) 11 no longer employed by FCB, in violation of Section 2752 of the 12 Regulations;

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents FCB and LOEFFLER of Sections 10148, 10176(e), 10176(g), 10240 and 10160 of the Code and Sections 2715, 2725, 2726, 2741, 2752 and 2831 of the Regulations)

10.

As a First Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 9, herein above.

11.

The conduct of Respondents FCB and LOEFFLER, in handling trust funds, as alleged in Paragraphs 8 and 9, constitutes violation under Sections 10148, 10176(e), 10176(g), 10240 and 10160 of the Code and Sections 2715, 2725, 2726, 2741, 2752 and 2831 of the Regulations. Respondents FCB and LOEFFLER, in handling trust funds, as alleged in Paragraphs 8 through 10,

-5-

1 Said conduct is cause pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code 2 for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license 3 rights of Respondents FCB and LOEFFLER under Real Estate Law. 4 SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 5 (Violation by Respondent LOEFFLER of Sections 10159.2 and 6 10177(h) of the Code) 7 12. 8 As a Second Cause of Accusation, Complainant 9 incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of 10 the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 9, herein above. 11 13. 12 The conduct of Respondent LOEFFLER, in allowing FCB to 13 violate Sections 10148, 10176(e), 10176(g), 10240 and 10160 of 14 the Code and Sections 2715, 2725, 2726, 2741, 2752 and 2831 of 15 the Regulations, as described herein above, constitutes a 16 failure to supervise. Said conduct is cause for the suspension 17 or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent 18 LOEFFLER, under Real Estate Law, pursuant to Sections 10159.2 19 and 10177(h) of the Code. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) -6-

أمار

85 28391

.

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be		
2	conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon		
3	proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary		
4	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents		
5	FCB ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation and formerly dba First		
6	California Bancorp; and THOMAS KURT LOEFFLER, individually		
7			
8	Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and		
9	Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as		
10	may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.		
11	Dated at Los Angeles, California		
12	this 27th day of February, 1997.		
13			
14	BICHT SECTOR		
15	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner		
16	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	· · ·		
22 23	cc: FCB Enterprises, Inc.		
22 23 24	cc: FCB Enterprises, Inc. Thomas Kurt Loeffler Sacto.		
22 23 24 25	Thomas Kurt Loeffler		
22 23 24 25 26	Thomas Kurt Loeffler Sacto. LA Audit Section		
22 23 24 25	Thomas Kurt Loeffler Sacto. LA Audit Section		
22 23 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER BTATE OF CALIFORNIA	Thomas Kurt Loeffler Sacto. LA Audit Section		
22 23 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER	Thomas Kurt Loeffler Sacto. LA Audit Section		

•