<i>.</i>			
21 21 2	1 2	Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012	
	3		
	4	Telephone: (213) 897-3937	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	6 6		By <u>CB</u>
	7		
	8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF R	ENT. ESTATE
	9	STATE OF CALIFORN	
	-	STATE OF CALIFORN.	
	10	* * * * *	
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)	NO. H-26600 LA
	12	NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION,) a corporation, dba Top Funding, Inc.)	L-9609208
	13	and Union Mortgage Services; and) JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually	
	14	and as designated officer)	
	15	of National Home Funding Corporation,)	
	16	Respondents.)	
	17	In the Matter of the Accusation of)	NO. H-26680 LA
	18	SOUTHLAND CAPITAL MORTGAGE CORP.,) a corporation; and)	L-9609093
	19	JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually) and as designated officer)	
.:	20	of Southland Capital Mortgage Corp.,)	
	21	Respondents.)	
	22	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN SET	TLEMENT AND ORDER
	23	It is hereby stipulated by an	d between Respondents,
	24	NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a co	rporation, dba Top
	25	Funding, Inc. and Union Mortgage Servic	es (hereinafter "NHFC");
	26	JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually and as d	esignated officer of
•	27	National Home Funding Corporation and o	f Southland Capital
COURT PA			
STATE OF CA1 STD. 113 (R) 95 28391		-1-	
		·	

2

.

,

. . . .

وريسية المحيد الريسية المحيد

.

Mortgage (hereinafter "YOUNG"); and SOUTHLAND CAPITAL MORTGAGE 1 CORP., a corporation (hereinafter "SCM") (hereinafter sometimes 2 collectively referred to as "Respondents"), represented by W. 3 Anthony Willoughby, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and 4: through Chris Leong, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, 5 as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the 6 Accusations filed on May 8, 1996, and on July 19, 1996, in this 7 matter (hereinafter "the Accusations"): 8

A. All issues which were to be contested and all
evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and
Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusations, which
hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the
Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place
thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of
this Stipulation.

B. Respondents have received, read and understand the
Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
proceeding.

On May 20, 1996, and on August 26, 1996, 20 C. Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 21 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing 22 on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely 23 and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents 24 acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said 25 Notices of Defense they will thereby waive their right to 26 require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 27

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

-2-

Accusations at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusations and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

In the interest of expedience and economy, 6 D. Respondents choose not to contest the factual allegations in 7 Paragraphs 1 through 21 of Accusation, H-26600 LA, and 8 9 Paragraphs I through XI of Accusation, H-26680 LA, but to remain 10 silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a 11 prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to 12 13 This Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order herein. and the findings based on Respondents' decision not to contest 14 15 the Accusations are hereby expressly limited to this proceeding 16 and made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition 17 of this proceeding. Respondents' decision not to contest the 18 factual allegations is made solely for the purpose of 19 effectuating this Stipulation and is intended by Respondents to 20 be non-binding upon them in any actions against Respondents by 21 third parties. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be 22 required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

E. It is understood by the parties that the Real
Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in
Settlement and Order as his Order in this matter thereby
imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate
licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 3-95)

95 28391

-3-

In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order, the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusations under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions 9 and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the 10 pending Accusations without a hearing, it is stipulated and 11 agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: 12 The acts and omissions of Respondents YOUNG and MHFC, 13 described in Paragraph D, above, for H-26600 LA, are in 14 violation of Sections 10145, 10159.2, 10163 and 10240 of the 15 <u>2831, 2831.2, 2832</u>, Code and Sections 2725, 2726, 2731, 2752, 16 2833 and 2834 of the Regulations and are cause for the 17 suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of 18 Respondents pursuant to Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the 19 20 Code.

The acts and omissions of Respondents YOUNG and <u>SMC</u>, described in Paragraph D, above, for H-26680 LA, are in violation of Sections <u>10145</u>, <u>10159.2</u> and <u>10240</u> of the Code and Sections <u>2830</u>, <u>2831</u>, <u>2831.2</u>, <u>2833</u> and <u>2840</u> of the Regulations and are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents pursuant to Sections <u>10177 (d)</u> and 10177 (h) of the Code.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

8

-4-

ORDER

1

TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

2 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: 3 The licenses and license rights of Respondents Α. 4. NHFC, SMC and YOUNG, under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 5 4 of the Business and Professions Code, are hereby revoked • 6 commencing on the effective date of this Order. 7 1. However, Respondents NHFC and SMC shall be 8 entitled to apply for and be issued restricted corporate real 9 estate broker licenses, and YOUNG shall be entitled to apply for 10 and be issued a restricted real estate broker license pursuant 11 to Section 10156.5 of the Code, if Respondents make application 12 therefore and pay to the Department the appropriate fee for said 13 licenses within six months from the effective date of this 14 Order. 15 The restricted licenses issued to Respondents 2. 16 shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of 17 the Business and Professions Code and to the following 18 limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under 19 authority of Section 10156.6 of the Code. 20 The restricted licenses may be suspended prior to 3. 21 hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of 22 Respondents' conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime 23 which bears a significant relationship to Respondents' fitness 24 or capacity as real estate licensees. 25 The restricted licenses may be suspended, after 4. 26 final determination after formal hearing by Order of the Real 27 Estate Commissioner based upon evidence satisfactory to the

-5-

1 Commissioner that Respondents have, subsequent to the date 2 hereof, violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, 3 the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate 4 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted licenses. 5 5. Respondents shall not be eligible to apply for the 6 issuance of unrestricted real estate licenses nor the removal of 7 any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the 8 restricted licenses until at least one year has elapsed from the 9 date of this Order. 10 6. Respondents shall obey all laws of the United 11 States, the State of California and its political subdivisions, 12 and shall further obey and comply with all rules and regulations 13 of the Real Estate Commissioner. 14 7. Respondent YOUNG shall, within 12 months from the 15 effective date of this Order, present evidence satisfactory to 16 the Real Estate Commissioner that she has, since the most recent 17 issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken 18 and successfully completed the continuing education requirements 19 of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal 20 of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this 21 condition, the Real Estate Commissioner shall afford Respondent 22 the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative 23 Procedure Act to present such evidence. 24 Respondent YOUNG shall, within one year from the 8. 25 effective date of the restricted license, take and pass the 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 3-95)

27

Department, including the payment of the appropriate examination

Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the

fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the
 Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license
 until Respondent passes the examination.

9. Respondent YOUNG shall not be a designated officer
of a corporate real estate broker while she has a restricted
license.

Respondents NHFC and <u>SMC</u> shall pay, pursuant to 7 10. 8. Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, the 9 Commissioner's reasonable costs for an audit to determine if 10 Respondents have corrected the trust fund violations found in 11 the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the 12 Commissioner's reasonable costs, the Commissioner may use the 13 estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing 14 audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation 15 for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the 16 auditor's place of work, and per diem. The Commissioner's 17 reasonable costs shall in no event exceed a total of \$2,500.00 18 for H-26600 LA and a total of \$1,000.00 for H-26680 LA.

19 (a) Respondents shall pay such costs within 45 days 20 of receipt of an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the 21 activities performed during the audit and the amount of time 22 spent performing those activities;

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other
paragraph herein, if Respondents fail to pay, within 45 days
from receipt of the invoice specified above, the Commissioner's
reasonable costs for an audit to determine if Respondents have
corrected the violations found in the Determination of Issues,

E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

-7-

the Commissioner may order the indefinite suspension of 1: Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights. The 2 suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, 3 or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the 4 Commissioner to provide for such payment. The Commissioner may 5 impose further reasonable disciplinary terms and conditions upon 6 Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as part of 7 any such agreement. 8

EXECUTION OF STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation and Agreement in 10 Settlement and Order and its terms are understood by us and are 11 agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are 12 waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative 13 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 14 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, 15 intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 16 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 17 the Accusations at a hearing at which we would have the right to 18 cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in 19 defense and mitigation of the charges. 20

 21
 ///

 22
 ///

 23
 ///

 24
 ///

 25
 ///

 26
 ///

 27
 ///

9

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

-8-

1 5713/97 2 DATED: NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Top Funding, 3 Inc. and Union Mortgage Services by Jeannie F. Young, 4 designated officer, Respondent 5 6 \$13/97 7 DATED: SOUTHLAND CAPITAL MORTGAGE CORP., 8 / a California corporation, by Jeannie F. Young, designated 9 officer, Respondent 10 5/13/97 11 DATED: JEANNIE F. YOUNG individually and as designated 12 officer of National Home Funding Corporation and Southland 13 Capital Mortgage Corp., 14 Respondent 15 5/19/9-16 DATED: W. ANTHONY WILLOUGHBY, ESQ. 17 Counsel for Respondents 18 21/9-CHUS WONLY 19 DATED: CHRIS LEONG, ESQ. Counsel for Complainant 20 21 111 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 3-95)

1 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement 2 is hereby adopted as the Order of the Real Estate Commissioner 3 in the above-entitled matter with respect to Respondents 4 NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Top 5 Funding, Inc. and Union Mortgage Services; JEANNIE F. YOUNG, 6 individually and as designated officer of National Home Funding 7 Corporation and of Southland Capital Mortgage; and SOUTHLAND 8 CAPITAL MORTGAGE CORP., a corporation. 9 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock 10 July 3, 1997 11 noon on IT IS SO ORDERED 12 JIM ANTT, JR. 13 Real Estate Commissioner 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) -10-

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

OAH No.

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Top Funding, Inc. and Union Mortgage Services; and JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually and as designated officer of National Home Funding Corporation,

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Case No. H-26600 LA

L-9609208

By ____

Respondent(s)

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 314 W. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 on <u>MAY 14 and 15, 1997</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

By

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: <u>October 9, 1996</u>

CEB

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

cc: National Home Funding Corporation
Jeannie F. Young
W. Anthony Willoughby, Esq.
Sacto.
OAH

RE 501 (La Mac 11/92)

QU	
51/	
· · · · 1	CHRIS LEONG, Counsel
. 2	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90012
4	(213) 897-3937 LI MAY 0 8 1996 L. DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	By = By = By
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
. î c	* * * * *
. 11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-26600 LA
12	NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORFORATION), <u>A C C U S A T I U N</u>
13	and Union Mortgage Services;
14	and JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually) and as designated officer of)
15	National Home Funding Corporation,)
16	Respondents.)
17	, The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
. 18	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
/ 19	against NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba
20	Top Funding, Inc. and Union Mortgage Services (hereinafter
. 21	"NHFC"); and JEANNIE F. YOUNG, individually and as designated
. 22	officer of National Home Funding Corporation (hereinafter
23	"YOUNG") (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Respondents"),
. 24	is informed and alleges as follows:
25	1.
26	The Complainant; Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
27	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)	-1-

against Respondents in his official capacity.

2.

All Sections of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations, are hereinafter referred to as "Regulations". 3.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent NHFC was and still is licensed by the Department as a corporate real 8 estate broker.

4.

At all times herein mentioned, YOUNG was and still is 11 licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of 12 California (hereinafter "Department") as a real estate broker in 13 his individual capacity and/or as the designated officer of 14 NHFC.

5.

At all times material herein, Respondent YOUNG was and still is licensed by the Department as the designated officer of NHFC and was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation . by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law, as set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code.

6.

All further references to NHFC shall be deemed to refer to, in addition to NHFC, the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by orassociated with NHFC, who at all times herein mentioned were

-2-

COURT PAPER E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

7.

At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, wherein they arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated, on behalf of others, loans secured by an interest in real property, for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

8.

At all times, Armando Perez (hereinafter "Perez") was not licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson or real estate broker.

9.

In or about September, 1995, NHFC and YOUNG employed and compensated Perez, as their agent, to solicit for and negotiate loans secured by an interest in real property for others including, but not limited to, Susan and Martin Ortiz.

10.

The activities of Perez, described above in Paragraph 9, are acts requiring a real estate license as described in Section 10131(d) of the Code. In employing and compensating PEREZ for said acts when he was not licensed by

-3--

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD: 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

• •	1	the Department, NHFC and YOUNG violated Section 10137 of the
	2	Code.
	3	11
	4	Perez violated Section 10130 of the Code by engaging
	5	in the activities set forth in Paragraph 9, without being
	6	licensed as a real estate salesperson or broker.
	7	AUDIT
	8	- 12.
	9	On or about November 22, 1995, the Department
	10	completed an audit of the activities of Respondents NHFC and
	11	YOUNG, for the period from September, 1994 through September 5,
	12	1995. The results of that audit are set forth in Paragraphs 13
	13	through 15.
	14	13.
	15	During 1994 and 1995, in connection with their real
	16	estate business activities, Respondents accepted or received
	17	funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of
	18	purchasers and sellers of real property and thereafter made
1.	19	disbursements of such funds. These trust funds were maintained
	20	by Respondents at Wells Fargo Bank, 22815 Victory Blvd., West
•	21	Hills, California, in a trust account: Account No. 0920-037652,
	22	known as National Home Funding Corporation Trust Account
	23	(hereinafter "TA#1").
	24	14.
	25	In connection with those trust funds described in
•	26	Paragraph 13, Respondents NHFC and YOUNG:
۲	27	
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFOR STD. 113 (REV. 8	N1A 1-72)	-4-

÷

...

85 34769

(a) failed to maintain complete control records for
 TA#1 and failed to maintain control records of trust funds not
 placed in the trust account for the Oxnard Branch Office for
 checks received from borrowers for credit report and appraisal
 fees, in violation of Section 2831 of the Regulations;

(b) failed to maintain accurate reconciliation records for TA#1, in violation of Section 2831.2 of the Regulations;

9 (c) failed to deposit all trust funds received into 10 TA#1 by the next business day, in violation of Section 2832 of 11 the Regulations;

(d) allowed Harold Turner, who was unlicensed, unbonded and who had no written authorization, and Priscilla Truner, who was licensed as a real estate salesperson but was unbonded, and had no written authorization, to be signatories on TA#1, in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations;

(e) failed to obtain signed Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements signed by borrowers, in violation of Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2842.5 of the Regulations;

(f) YOUNG failed to adequately supervise the real estate activities of NHFC, in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code;

(g) YOUNG failed to review, initial and date all Mortgage Loan Disclosure statements, in violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations;

(h) used the unlicensed dba's Mutual Pacific Services, Inc. and Mutual Pacific Services on documents found on

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

·21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 5-

loan files examined, and on the front door of the Woodland Hills Branch office, in violation of Section 2731 of the Regulations;

(i) failed to advise the Department when agents left
 or joined their employment, including: Jeffrey White, Tommy
 Ogden, Alvin Brewer and Priscilla Turner, in violation of
 Section 2752 of the Regulations;

(j) YOUNG failed to sign all Broker-Salesman Relationship Agreements between NHFC and the salespersons, in violation of Section 2726 of the Regulations; and

(k) failed to obtain branch licenses for 2 Robin Hills Lane, Laguna and 22020 Clarendon #303, Woodland Hills and failed to notify the Department that there was no longer a branch office at 5757 West Century Blvd., #820, Los Angeles, in violation of Section 10163 of the Code.

15.

As of September 5, 1995, NHFC's total trust fund liability contained an unexplained overage of \$199.45. There were no separate records for this overage. This mishandling of trust funds constitutes a violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2833 of the Regulations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents of Sections 10145, 10240, 10163 and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2725, 2726, 2731, 2752, 2831,

2831.2, 2832, 2833 and 2834 of the Regulations)

16.

As a First Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of

-6-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15, herein above.

17.

3 The conduct of Respondents, in handling trust funds 4 and records as alleged in Paragraphs 9 through 15, constitutes 5 violation under Sections 10145, 10240 and 10163 of the Code and 6 Sections 2725, 2726, 2731, 2752, 2831, 2831.2, 2832, 2833 and 7 2834 of the Regulations. Said conduct is cause pursuant to 8 Section 10177(d) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of 9 all licenses and license rights of Respondents under Real Estate 10 Law. 11 SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 12 (Violation by Respondents NHFC and YOUNG of Section 10137 of the 13 Code) 14 18. 15 As a Second Cause of Accusation, Complainant 16 incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of 17 the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15, herein above. 18 19. 19 The conduct of Respondents NHFC and YOUNG, in allowing 20 Perez to violate Section 10130 of the Code, constitutes unlawful 21 employment and is in violation of Section 10137 of the Code. 22 Said conduct is cause for the suspension or revocation of all 23 licenses and license rights of Respondents YOUNG and NHFC under 24 Section 10137 of Real Estate Law. 25 26

-7-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 JERY 8-72

27

1

2

: a '	
1	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
2	(Violation by Respondent YOUNG of Section 10177(h) of the Code)
3	20.
4	As a Third Cause of Accusation, Complainant
5	incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of
6	the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15, herein above.
7	21.
8	The conduct of Respondent YOUNG, in allowing Perez and
9	NHFC to violate Sections 10130, 10137, 10145, 10240 and 10163 of
10	the Code and Sections 2725, 2726, 2731, 2752, 2831, 2831.2, 2832,
11	2833 and 2834 of the Regulations is cause for the suspension or
12	revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent YOUNG .
13	pursuant to Section 10177(h) of the Code.
14	/
15	/
16	/
17	1
18	
/ 19	. / .
20	/
21	/
22	/
23	/
24	/
25	
26	
27	
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (PEV. 8-72)	-8-
85 34709	

,		
	ı	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be
	s	conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon
	3	proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
	4	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents
:	5	NATIONAL HOME FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Top
	6	Funding, Inc. and Union Mortgage Services; and JEANNIE F. YOUNG,
	7	individually and as designated officer of National Home Funding
	8	Corporation, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of
	9	the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and
1	0	further relief as may be proper under other applicable
1	1	provisions of law.
1:	2	Dated at Los Angeles, California
1	3	this 8th day of May, 1996.
14	a	· ·
_	•	ана на селото на село Селото на селото на се
1		THOMAS MCCRADY
	5	THOMAS McCRADY Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
1	5	
1	5 6 7	
1	5 6 7 8	
	5 6 7 8 9	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner CC: National Home Funding Corporation Jeannie F. Young Sacto
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Cc: National Home Funding Corporation Jeannie F. Young
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Cc: National Home Funding Corporation Jeannie F. Young Sacto SB
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Cc: National Home Funding Corporation Jeannie F. Young Sacto SB

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72)

85 34789