FLAG.

FILED

SEP 2 3 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By\_X.Mar

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of DAVID HOWARD KOHLER.

Respondent.

No. H-25076 LA

## ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 17, 1993, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective April 13, 1993, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on April 13, 1993, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On December 22, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within twelve (12) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate salesperson license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:

JEFF DAVI

Real Estate Commissioner

MAR 24 1993

MEM ESTATE

# DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of DAVID HOWARD KOHLER,

Respondent.

No. H-25076 LA L-56501

STIPULATION AND WAIVER

I, DAVID HOWARD KOHLER, respondent herein, acknowledge that I have received and read the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate on May 15, 1992, and the Statement to Respondent sent to me in connection with the Accusation.

I hereby admit that the allegations of the Accusation filed against me are true and correct and constitute a basis for the discipline of my real estate salesperson license.

I further acknowledge that the Real Estate Commissioner held a hearing on this Accusation on August 25, 1992, before the Office of Administrative Hearings, for the purpose of proving the allegations therein. I was present at the hearing and participated therein. Further, I have had an opportunity to read and review the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law

-1-

Judge.

21,

I understand that pursuant to Government Code Section 11517(c), the Real Estate Commissioner has rejected the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge. I further understand that pursuant to the same Section 11517(c), the Real Estate Commissioner may decide this case upon the record, without taking additional evidence, after affording me the opportunity to present written argument to the Real Estate Commissioner.

I further understand that by signing this Stipulation and Waiver, I am waiving my right to obtain a dismissal of the Accusation through proceedings under Government Code Section 11517(c) if this Stipulation and Waiver is accepted by the Real Estate Commissioner. However, I also understand that I am not waiving my rights to further proceedings to obtain a dismissal of the Accusation if this Stipulation and Waiver is not accepted by the Real Estate Commissioner.

I hereby request that the Real Estate Commissioner in his discretion revoke my real estate salesperson license and issue to me a restricted real estate salesperson license under the authority of Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if I make application therefor and pay to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within one year from the effective date of the Decision herein.

I further understand that the restricted license shall be subject to the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and the following conditions, limitations and restrictions will attach to the restricted license issued by

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1. The license shall not confer any property right in the privileges to be exercised thereunder and the Real Estate Commissioner may by appropriate order suspend the right of respondent to exercise any privileges granted under this restricted license in the event of:

- а. The conviction of respondent (including a plea of nolo contendere) to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee: or
- The receipt of evidence that respondent has Ъ. violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to this restricted license.
- 2. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions attaching to the restricted license until three years have elapsed from the date of issuance of the restricted license to respondent.
- With the application for license, or with the application for transfer to a new employing broker, respondent shall submit a statement signed by the prospective employing broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate wherein the employing broker shall certify as follows:
  - That the broker has read the Accusation and a.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

b. That the broker will carefully review all transaction documents prepared by the restricted licensee and otherwise exercise close supervision

this Stipulation and Waiver which are the basis

over the licensee's performance of acts for which

license is required.

4. Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of the restricted license, take and successfully complete the courses specified in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 10170.5 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If respondent fails to satisfy this condition, Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until respondent successfully completes the required courses.

DATED: 2/19/93

DAVID HOWARD KOHLER, Respondent

\* \* \* \* \*

I have read the Accusation filed herein, the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge dated September 24, 1992, and the foregoing Stipulation and Waiver signed by respondent. I am satisfied that it will not be inimical to the public interest to issue a restricted real estate salesperson license to respondent.

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the real estate salesperson license of respondent be revoked and a restricted

real estate salesperson license be issued to respondent DAVID HOWARD KOHLER if respondent has otherwise fulfilled all of the statutory requirements for licensure. The restricted license shall be limited, conditioned and restricted as specified in the foregoing Stipulation and Waiver. This Order shall become effective 1993. CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner 

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

OCT 19 1992

NO. H-25076 LA

L-56501

DEPARTMENT OF REALEST

5

1

2

3

8

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 In the Matter of the Accusation of )

12 DAVID HOWARD KOHLER,

13

Respondent. 14

15

16

NOTICE

DAVID HOWARD KOHLER, Respondent

17 TODD F. HAINES, his Counsel

18 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Proposed Decision

herein dated September 24, 1992, of the Administrative Law Judge

20 is not adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner.

21 A copy of the Proposed Decision dated September 24, 1992, is

22 attached for your information.

23 In accordance with Section 11517(c) of the Government

24 Code of the State of California, the disposition of this case

25 will be determined by me after consideration of the record herein

26 including the transcript of the proceedings held on August 25,

1992, and any written argument hereafter submitted on behalf of

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

respondent and complainant. 2 Written argument of respondent to be considered by me 3 must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the transcript of the proceedings of August 25, 1992, at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real Estate unless an extension of the time 6, is granted for good cause shown. 7 Written argument of complainant to be considered by me must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the argument of respondent at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real 10 Estate unless an extension of the time is granted for good cause 11 shown. 12 DATED: 13 CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 0-72

#### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation )
Against:

DAVID HOWARD KOHLER,

Case No. H-25076 LA OAH No. L-56501

Respondent.

### PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came on regularly for hearing before W. F. Byrnes, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, at Los Angeles, California, on August 25, 1992. Marjorie P. Mersel, Counsel, represented the complainant. Respondent appeared personally and was represented by Todd F. Haines, Attorney at Law. Evidence having been received and the matter submitted, the Administrative Law Judge finds the following facts:

I

Steven J. Ellis made the Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner.

II

David Howard Kohler (hereinafter referred to as respondent) is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code).

III

At all times relevant hereto, respondent has been licensed by the Department of Real Estate as a real estate salesperson.

On or about December 18, 1991, in the Municipal Court of Los Angeles, San Fernando Judicial District, respondent was convicted on a jury verdict of violating Penal Code Section 484(a) (petty theft), a misdemeanor. Imposition of sentence was suspended, and respondent was placed on 24 months probation and fined a total (with penalty assessment) of \$405.00. Respondent was also ordered to work 20 days for Caltrans/Graffiti Removal with a provision that upon completion of Caltrans and fine payment, the court will favorably consider reducing the probationary period to 12 months. Respondent has completed his Caltrans work and paid his fine.

V

Respondent's conviction was a result of his purchasing baseball equipment on which the price tags had been changed, at a Sportsmart store in Northridge. The case is puzzling, as respondent had nothing to gain by changing these price tags. He had been provided with a company credit card and asked to purchase equipment for the company's men's and women's softball teams, and he went to Sportsmart with a friend to do so. of them were horsing around, playing ball in the store with bats, gloves, and balls, and were irresponsibly careless about what happened to the price tags on the merchandise, apparently partly because of respondent's annoyance with the unavailability of sales assistance. They eventually took their purchases to the counter, respondent off-handedly told the clerk to check the prices (but paid no attention as to whether that was done), and the merchandise was paid for with the company credit card. Respondent was arrested outside the store. The incorrect price tags resulted in an underpayment to the store of about \$100.00.

VI

Respondent does not agree with the jury's verdict against him, but he accepts responsibility for his own actions and he welcomes the added maturity that these events have imposed upon him. He was deeply humiliated by his arrest and the subsequent court proceedings, and he has resolved never to permit such a thing to occur again. He is 29 years old, and has been employed as a loan officer at Fallbrook Mortgage Corporation for five years. His employer trusts him, and reports that there have never been any complaints about respondent's performance, but that the company has received numerous letters praising his excellent work.

/

\* \* \* \* \*

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following determination of issues:

I

Cause exists for the suspension or revocation of respondent's license pursuant to Section 490 of the Business and Professions Code in that he has been convicted of a crime as described in Section 10177(b) of said Code, and the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a real estate licensee.

II

Upon consideration of all the facts and circumstances in this matter, and from observation of respondent's demeanor and comportment at the hearing, it is concluded that the public interest will not suffer if respondent is permitted to retain a properly restricted license.

\* \* \* \* \*

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The real estate salesperson's license of respondent David Howard Kohler is revoked; provided, however, that a restricted real estate salesperson's license shall be issued to respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within six months from the effective date of the decision herein.

The restricted license issued to respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:

- 1. Said restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a significant relationship to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. Said restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that the respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided

NOT ADAPTED

Lands Law, regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, or conditions attaching to this restricted license.

- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal of any of the conditions, limitations, or restrictions of a restricted license until one year has elapsed from the date of issuance of the restricted license to respondent.
- 4. Respondent shall submit with his application for license under an employing broker, or his application for a transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing broker which shall certify:
  - a. That the decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license has been read; and
  - b. That close supervision will be exercised over the performance by the restricted licensee of activities for which a real estate license is required.

Dated:

9-24-92

Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

WFB:1f

Spay

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE JUN 18 1992 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY SHOW WILLIAM

In the Matter of the Accusation of	BY THE MILLIAN
DAVID HOWARD KOHLER.	Case No. H-25076 LA
DIVID HOWIND KONDER,	OAH No. <u>L-56501</u>
	<b>.</b>

# NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

### To the above named respondent:

Respondent

	You are h	ereby n	otified that a hea	ring w	ill be held	i befor	e the De	partment (	of Real Estate at		
OFF	ICE OF	ADMI	NISTRATIVE	HEAL	RINGS,	314	West	First	Street		
Los	Angele	es, C	A 90012								
									, at the hour of	2:30	; p.m
or as	soon there	after as	the matter can b	e heard	l, upon the	e Accu	sation s	erved upor	n you.	-	-

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

DATED: June 18, 1992

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

cc: David Howard Kohler Todd F. Haines, Esq. Fallbrook Mortgage Corp. OAH

ROBERT E. BAKER Attorney-in-CHARGE

Sacto

FOR: MARJORIE P. MERSEL, Counsel

RE 501 (1/92)

Socto

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

MARJORIE P. MERSEL, Counsel Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 897-3937

KAY 15 1992

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY Sylver William

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 \* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of the Accusation of DAVID HOWARD KOHLER.

No. H-25076 LA

ACCUSATION

Respondent.

14

15

16

17

The complainant, Steven J. Ellis, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation against DAVID HOWARD KOHLER, alleges as follows:

18

19

20

21

The complainant, Steven J. Ellis, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

ΙI

22

23

24

25

26

DAVID HOWARD KOHLER (hereinafter referred to as respondent) is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code).

27

•

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA III

At all times herein mentioned, respondent was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California as a real estate salesperson, or possessed renewal rights for such a license.

ΙV

On or about December 18, 1991, in the Municipal Court of Los Angeles, San Fernando Judicial District, respondent was convicted of the crime of violating Penal Code Section 484(a) (Petty Theft), a crime involving moral turpitude.

V

The crime of which respondent was convicted bears a substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions or duties of a real estate licensee.

Respondent's criminal conviction is cause under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Business and Professions Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of respondent under the Real Estate Law.

VΙ

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of respondent, DAVID HOWARD KOHLER, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such

27

-2-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72

other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 15th day of May, 1992. Estate cc: David Howard Kohler Fallbrook Mortgage Corp. 

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

Sacto

CL

Commissioner