1 2 3	RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel III (SBN 98275) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 FILED
4	
5	(916) 576-7848 (Direct)
	Email: Richard.Uno@dre.ca.gov
6 7	
8	
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H- 12719 SF
12	DirectQM, INC. and
13	MICHAEL VAN THAN, ) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
14	Respondents. )
15	)
16	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
17	State of California, for Accusation against Respondents DIRECTQM, INC. (DQM) and
18	MICHAEL VAN THAN (THAN), hereinafter collectively RESPONDENTS is informed and
19	alleges as follows:
20	1
21	The Complainant makes this Accusation against RESPONDENTS in her official
22	capacity.
23	- 2
24	At all times herein mentioned, DQM was and is presently licensed and/or has
25	license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and
26	Professions Code (the Code) by the Department of Real Estate (the Department) as a corporate
27	///
	-1-

48

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

1	real estate broker. At all times herein mentioned DQM held a Mortgage Loan Originator	
2	Endorsement (MLO Endorsement).	
3	3	
4	THAN is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law,	
5	Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate broker and as the designated officer of DQM.	
6	4	
7	As the designated officer, THAN was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2	
8	of the Code, for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and	
9	employees of DQM for which a real estate license is required.	
10	5	
11	Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or	
12	omission of DQM, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,	
13	employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with DQM committed	
14	such act or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of DQM and	
15	while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.	
16	6	
17	At all times mentioned herein, RESPONDENTS engaged in the business of, acted	
18	in the capacity of, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within	
19	the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including solicitation of borrowers for or	
20	negotiation of loans or performance of services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in	
21	connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, including but not	
22	limited to direct solicitation as described above of individual mortgage borrowers whose names	
23	are set forth below.	
24	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
25	7	
26	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 6, above, and incorporates the same	
27	herein by reference.	
	- 2 -	

. <sup>1</sup>

		*
	1	8
	2	On or about March 16, 2021, Bryan B. and Ye M. (Borrowers), agreed to have
	3	RESPONDENTS act as their mortgage lender for that certain real property commonly known as
	4	1753 Loyola Drive, San Jose, California 95122 (Loyola Property).
	5	9
	6	On or about March 16, 2021, RESPONDENTS prepared and advised Borrowers
	7	to sign a "No Point And/No Cost Early Payoff Policy" (Agreement). The Agreement provided
	8	that Borrowers will pay a fee to RESPONDENTS, if the borrowers pay off the loan within 180
3	9	days from the funding date.
	10	10
	11	On or March 29, 2021, Rocket Mortgage, LLC formally known as Quickenloans,
	12	LLC, issued the Loan Estimate which indicated that there was no prepayment penalty.
	13	11
	14	On or about April 2, 2021, Borrowers signed the Closing Disclosure with United
	15	Wholesale Mortgage (UWM) which stated that there was no Prepayment Penalty.
	16	12
	17	Borrowers refinance the loan on the Loyola Property within 6 months of funding
	18	by Rocket Mortgage, LLC. RESPONDENTS demanded that Borrowers pay them \$5,000.00
	19	pursuant to the Agreement.
	20	13
	21	RESPONDENTS sued Borrowers in small claims court and won a judgement for
	22	\$5,000.
	23	
	24	14
	25	At no time did RESPONDENTS explain to Borrowers that the lender did not
	26	require a prepayment penalty.
	27	///
		- 3 -

1999. 1999		S₂
	1	15
	2	The acts of RESPONDENTS, described above, constitute violations of Sections
	3	10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation) and 10176(j) (other conduct constituting fraud/dishonest
	4	dealing) and are grounds for discipline under Sections 10176(a), 10176(j), 10177(d) (violate real
	5	estate law) and 10177(g) (negligence/incompetence of licensee) of the Code.
	6	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
	7	16
	8	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 15, above, and incorporates the same
	9	herein by reference.
	10	17
	11	On or about May 17, 2022, DQM's corporate real estate broker license expired
	12	and was not renewed until April 6, 2023.
	13	18
	14	DQM continued to conduct loan activities, including, but not limited to a loan on
	15	behalf of borrower Huy D., which closed on or about August 2, 2022.
	16	19
	17	The acts of RESPONDENTS, described in Paragraphs 16 through 18, above,
	18	constitute violations of Section 10130 (license required) of the Code and are grounds for
	19	discipline under Section 10130 of the Code.
	20	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
	21	20
	22	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 19, above, and incorporates the same,
	23	herein by reference.
	24	
	25	21
	26	DQM moved its main office in or around 2021, but THAN did not report the
	27	change of office until on or about April 2023.
		- 4 -

lays
77(d)
ne same
orrect
ss cards
r, when
sor, and
Patel
never
violate
inds for
e S

е <sup>95</sup> 	
1	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	26
3	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 25, above, and incorporates the same,
4	by reference.
5	27
6	Real Estate Salesperson, Jessie Tran worked for RESPONDENTS from August
7	30, 2021 until December 21, 2021, and never signed a broker-salesperson agreement as required
8	by Section 2726 of the Regulations.
9	28
10	The acts described in Paragraph 27, above, violate Section 2726 of the
11	Regulations, and are grounds for discipline under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
12	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
13	29
14	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 28, above, and incorporate the same
15	by reference.
16	30
17	At all times herein above mentioned, THAN, was responsible as the supervising
18	broker for DQM, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of DQM's
19	business by its employees. THAN failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the
20	mortgage loan activities of DQM. In particular, THAN permitted, ratified and/or caused the
21	conduct described above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including but not limited
22	to handling of trust funds, supervision of employees, and the implementation of policies, rules,
23	and systems to ensure the compliance of the business with the Real Estate Law and the
24	Regulations.
25	
26	///
27	///
	- 6 -

1	31
2	The above acts and/or omissions of THAN violate Section 10159.2
3	(responsibility/directing officer) of the Code and Section 2725 (broker supervision) of the
4	Regulations and constituted grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections
5	10177(g) and 10177(h) (broker supervision) of the Code.
6	COSTS
7	32
8	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
9	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the commissioner may request the
10	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
11	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
13	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing
14	disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate
15	Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further
16	relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.
17	1 1
18	Johim he
19	
20	STEPHANIE YEE
21	Supervising Special Investigator
22	Dated at Oakland, California,
23	this day of, 2024.
24	
25	
26	
27	

- 7 -

1	DISCOVERY DEMAND
2	The Department of Real Estate hereby requests discovery pursuant to Section
3	11507.6 of the California Government Code. Failure to provide discovery to the Department
4	may result in the exclusion of witnesses and/or documents at the hearing, and other sanctions as
5	the Administrative Law Judge deems appropriate.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 8 -