1	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel	
2	State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate FILED	
3	P.O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 MAY 0 4 2022	
4	Telephone: (916) 576-8700	
5	(916) 576-7847 (Direct) By B.///0/00	
6	Fax: (916) 263-3767	
7	DEPODE THE DEDARTMENT OF DEAL FOTATE	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-12590 SF	
12	MARK GEOFFREY KAPLAN,	
13	Respondent.	
14	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, a Supervising Special Investigator of the	
15	State of California, for cause of Accusation against MARK GEOFFREY KAPLAN	
16	("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:	
17	1	
18	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, a Supervising Special Investigator of the	
19	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.	
20	2	
21	Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate	
22	Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").	
23	LICENSE HISTORY	
24	3	
25	At all times mentioned, Respondent was and is licensed by the Department as a	
26	real estate broker, License ID 00872023. Respondent was licensed by the Department as a real	
27	///	

- 1 -

1	estate broker on or about March 15, 1985. Unless renewed, Respondent's broker license will
2	expire July 24, 2025.
3	Respondent was and is licensed to do business under the fictitious business name
4	"Rockwell Properties."
5	4
6	At no time mentioned was Rockwell Property Management LLC licensed by the
7	Department in any capacity.
8	5
9	At no time mentioned prior to August 24, 2021, was Rockwell Properties
10	Management Inc. licensed by the Department in any capacity. Beginning on or about August
11	25, 2021, Rockwell Properties Management Inc. was licensed by the Department as a corporate
12	real estate broker. Respondent is licensed by the Department as the designated broker officer of
13	Rockwell Properties Management Inc.
14	<u>AUDIT OK20-0044</u>
15	6
16	On or about May 27, 2021, the Department completed its audit (OK20-0044) of
17	the books and records of Respondent's property management activities described in Paragraph 7.
18	The auditor herein examined the records for the period of November 1, 2019, through October
19	31, 2020.
20	7
21	At all times mentioned, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the
22	capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California
23	within the meaning of Sections 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a
24	property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or
25	in expectation of compensation, Respondent leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and
26	solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents
27	from real property or improvements thereon.
	1

8 x

\* .

.

- 2 -

1	As of the audit examination, Respondent managed twelve (12) residential	
2	properties, nine (9) apartment complexes, and two (2) commercial complexes for thirteen (13)	
3	owners. Respondent collected a minimum of \$450,000 in trust funds annually.	
4	Respondent's property management services include, but not limited to, collecting	
5	rents, paying expenses, and screening tenants. For such property management services,	
6	Respondent charged a management fee of between 3 to 6 percent of collected rents. Respondent	
7	also charged a lease execution fee of 6 percent of 1 year lease.	
8	8	
9	While acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 7, Respondent	
10	accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of owners and tenants in	
11	connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements	
12	thereon, as alleged herein, and thereafter from time-to-time made disbursements of said trust	
13	funds.	
14	The trust funds accepted or received by Respondent were deposited or caused to	
15	be deposited by Respondent into accounts which were maintained by Respondent for the	
16	handling of trust funds, and thereafter from time-to-time Respondent made disbursements of said	
17	trust funds.	
18	During the audit period, as described in Paragraph 6, Respondent maintained	
19	fourteen (14) bank accounts to hold trust funds. Four accounts were selected for examination,	
20	identified as follows:	
21		
22	ACCOUNT # 1	
23	Bank Name and Location: First Republic Bank	
24	111 Pine Street	
25	San Francisco, CA 94111	
26	Account No.: XXXXXX1725	
27	Account Name: Rockwell Property Management LLC	
	- 3 -	

Description:	Account #1 was a single beneficiary (Hsieh) account used to
Description.	hold rents and for disbursement of owners' proceeds and
	expenses related to property management by Respondent.
	(Management fees were paid directly by owner to
	Respondent.)
	ACCOUNT # 2
Bank Name and Location:	First Republic Bank
	111 Pine Street
	San Francisco, CA 94111
Account No.:	XXXXXX4738
Account Name:	Rockwell Property Management LLC
Description:	Account #2 was a single beneficiary (Philip Macdonald Fami
	Trust) account used to hold rents and for disbursement of
	owners' proceeds, property management fees, and expenses
T.	related to property management by Respondent.
	ACCOUNT # 3
Bank Name and Location:	First Republic Bank
	111 Pine Street
8	San Francisco, CA 94111
Account No.:	XXXXXXX3767
Account Name:	Rockwell Property Management LLC
Description:	Account #3 was a single beneficiary (Kang) account used to
	hold rents and for disbursement of owners' proceeds, propert
	management fees, and expenses related to property
	management by Respondent.

1			
2	ACCOUNT # 4		
3	Bank Name and Location:	First Republic Bank	
4	7	111 Pine Street	
5		San Francisco, CA 94111	
6	Account No.:	XXXXXX6598	
7	Account Name:	Rockwell Property Management LLC	
8	Description:	Account #2 was a multi-beneficiary account used to hold	
9	а. С	security deposits and broker funds (in the form of security	
10		deposits from Respondent's subleased tenants). Account #4	
11		was an interest bearing account.	
12		9	
13	In the course of the property management activities described in Paragraph 7, and		
14	during the audit examination period described in Paragraph 6, Respondent violated the Code and		
15	Regulations described below:		
16		Trust Account Designation	
17		10	
18	During the audi	it period, Account #1, Account #2, Account #3, and/or Bank	
19	Account #4, accounts used to I	hold trust funds, were not designated as trust accounts in the name	
20	of the broker or the broker's fi	ctitious business name as trustee, in violation of Section 10145 of	
21	the Code and Section 2832 Tit	le 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations (Regulations).	
22	The accounts were titled "Roc	kwell Property Management LLC."	
23		Control Record	
24		11	
25	Respondent fai	led to maintain an accurate written control record, for Account #1,	
26	of all trust funds received and disbursed, containing all information required by Section 2831 of		
27	the Regulations.		

÷

жа Ар

||

- 5 -

1 (A.)	
• .	
1	According to Respondent's bookkeeper, the property owner (Hsieh) wanted to and
2	had booked expenses he personally paid (other than from funds in Account #1) into the control
3	record for Account #1. Limited examination showed two uncleared transactions made during the
4	audit period were recorded as paid from Account #1 but were actually paid from other sources.
5	The two transactions examined were: (1) 8/31/20 Rockwell Property Management \$573.31, and
6	(2) 9/23/20 MT General Contractor \$8,150.00.
7	Separate Records
8	12
9	Respondent failed to maintain accurate separate records for each beneficiary or
10	transaction, accounting therein for all funds which were deposited into Account #4 containing all
11	of the information required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations. Respondent failed to maintain
12	any beneficiary records for Account #4.
13	Trust Account Reconciliation
14	13
15	Respondent failed to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or transaction
16	records with the control record of trust funds received and disbursed at least once a month, and/or
17	failed to maintain a record of such reconciliations for Account #4 as required by Section 2831.2
18	of the Regulations.
. 19	Interest Bearing Account
20	14
21	Respondent caused, permitted, and/or allowed, the deposit of trust funds into an
22	interest bearing account for Account #4, in violation of Section 10145(d) of the Code.
23	Unlicensed Activity
24	15
25	Prior to August 25, 2021, Respondent, on behalf of Rockwell Property
26	Management LLC, in course of the property management brokerage activities described in
27	Paragraph 7, while Rockwell Property Management LLC was unlicensed, solicited prospective
	- 6 -

1 tenants for, negotiated rental agreements for and collected rents from real properties owned by 2 another or others. 3 A property management agreement, dated March 3, 3030, was negotiated between 4 Rockwell Property Management LLC and the property owner, The Philip Macdonald Family 5 Trust, for management of the property located at 451 Bartlett Street, San Francisco. 6 Account #1, Account #2, Account #3, and/or Account #4, used to hold trust funds, 7 were titled as "Rockwell Property Management LLC." 8 An employment agreement, dated September 24, 2020, was entered into between 9 Rockwell Property Management LLC and salesperson David Benjamin Kaplan. 10 In acting as described above, Respondent willfully caused, suffered, and/or 11 permitted, Rockwell Property Management LLC to willfully disregard Section 10130 of the 12 Code. 13 GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINE 14 15 15 The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above constitute grounds for 16 the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent, pursuant to the 17 following provisions of the Code and Regulations: 18 As to Paragraph 10, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in 19 conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations; 20 As to Paragraph 11, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in 21 conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831 of the Regulations; 22 As to Paragraph 12, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in 23 conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; 24 As to Paragraph 13, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in 25 conjunction with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; 26 As to Paragraph 14, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in 27 conjunction with Section 10145(d) of the Code; and

- 7 -

	-	
1	As to Paragraph 15, under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
2	conjunction with Section 10130 of the Code.	
3	COST RECOVERY	
4	16	
5	The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above, entitle the Department	
6	to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund	
7	handling violation) of the Code.	
8	17	
9	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
10	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the	
11	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to	
12	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.	
13	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations	
14	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary	
15	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, for the cost of	
16	investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit, and for such other	
17	and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.	
18	and Kinn The	
19	STEPHANIE YEE	
20	Supervising Special Investigator	
21	Dated at Oakland, California,	
22	this $2nd$ day of $May$ , 2022	
23		
24		
25	8	
26		
27		
	- 8 -	

## DISCOVERY DEMAND

	× _
* "as	
1	DISCOVERY DEMAND
. 2	
3	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, <i>et seq</i> . of the <i>Government Code</i> , the Department of
4	Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the
5	Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate
6	may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
7	Once of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
8	
o 9	
10	
10	
11	
. 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	