1	KYLE T. JONES, Counsel (SBN 300751)
2	Department of Real Estate
3	P.O. BOX 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 MAY 1 9 2021
4	Telephone: (916) 576-8700  DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By
5	(916) 263-3767 (Fax)
6	(916) 576-7840 (Direct)
7	
8	REFORE THE DEDARTMENT OF DEAL POTATE
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of
12	) No. H-12543 SF ERIK MARTIN WINKLER,
13	) <u>ACCUSATION</u> Respondent.
14	Kespondent. )
15	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, acting in her official capacity as a
16	Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for this Accusation against ERIK
17	MARTIN WINKLER ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:
18	1
19	Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate
20	Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"), as a real
21	estate salesperson.
22	2
23	On or about January 16, 2020, in the Superior Court of the State of California,
24	County of San Mateo, Case No. 19-NM-015101-A, Respondent was convicted of violating
25	Section 273.5(a) (injuring a spouse, cohabitant, fiancé, boyfriend, girlfriend, or child's parent) of
26	the California Penal Code, a misdemeanor and a crime that bears a substantial relationship to the
27	

1 qualifications, functions or duties of a real estate licensee pursuant to Section 2910, Title 10, of 2 the California Code of Regulations. 3 3 4 On April 7, 2021, a diligent search was made of the records of the Department of 5 Real Estate ("Department") relating to Respondent's real estate salesperson license No. 00964405. No record or written notice was received within 30 days from Respondent notifying 6 7 the Department, in writing, of any felony charge, conviction, or license disciplinary action. 8 **GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINE** 9 4 10 The facts alleged in Paragraph 2, above, constitute cause under Sections 490 11 (conviction of substantially related crime) and 10177(b) (conviction of substantially related 12 crime) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of 13 Respondent under the Real Estate Law. 14 5 15 Respondent's failure to report the conviction to the Department, as described in Paragraph 3, above, violates Section 10186.2 (a) (conviction reporting requirements) of the 16 Code, and constitutes cause under Section 10177(d) (willful disregard or violation of Real Estate 17 18 Law) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of 19 Respondent under the Real Estate Law. 20 **MATTER IN AGGRAVATION** 21 6 22 On or about December 24, 1992, in the Superior Court of the State of California, 23 County of San Mateo, Case No. NM231443A, Respondent was convicted of violating Section 24 23152 (b) of the California Vehicle Code (driving under the influence-over .08%), a 25 misdemeanor. 26 /// 27

## 

## **COST RECOVERY**

The Department will seek to recover the costs of the investigation and prosecution of this case pursuant to Section 10106 of the Code which provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

STEPHANIE YEE

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 17th day of May, 2021.

## **DISCOVERY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.