| 1 2 | Department of Real Estate | FILED |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|
| 3 | P. U. BOX 13/00/ | MAR 1 0 2021 |
| 4 | | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By |
| 5 | 5 | 00 |
| 6 | 6 | |
| 7 | 7 | |
| 8 . | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE | |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | 0 *** | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of: | |
| 12 | 2 | NO. H-12496 SF |
| 13 | TINA LOUISE THOMAS, | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | 4 Respondent. | |
| 15 | 5 | |
| 16 | The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, in her official capacity as a Supervising | |
| 17 | Special Investigator of the State of California, Department of Real Estate ("Department"), for | |
| 18 | cause of Accusation against TINA LOUISE THOMAS ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges | |
| 19 | 9 as follows: | |
| 20 | 0 | |
| 21 | Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate | |
| 22 | Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code"), as a restricted real | |
| 23 | estate broker, License No.01207953, whose license expires on December 31, 2020. | |
| 24 | 4 /// | |
| 25 | 5 /// | |
| 26 | 6 /// | |
| 27 | 7 /// | |

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

On or about April 30, 2008, the Department filed a First Amended Accusation, DRE No. H-2101 FR, against Respondent seeking revocation of Respondent's real estate broker license on the grounds that Respondent violated Sections 10130, 10137, and 10177(d) of the Code.

On or about May 12, 2008, Respondent signed a Stipulation and Agreement with the Department, wherein Respondent agreed that her acts and/or omissions, as described in Accusation H-2101 FR, violated Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code. The Stipulation and Agreement became effective July 24, 2008.

As a result of the Stipulation and Agreement, described above in Paragraph 3, Respondent's real estate broker license was revoked; provided, however, that a restricted real estate broker license be issued to Respondent for a period of two years.

Respondent has not petitioned the Department to remove any of the conditions, limitations, or restrictions of the restricted real estate broker license. As of the date of this filing, Respondent's real estate broker license remains restricted.

CRIMINAL CONVICTION

On or about February 26, 2020, in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, Case No. 19-CR-015113, Respondent was convicted of violating California Penal Code Section 32 (accessory after the fact), a misdemeanor and a crime that bears a substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee pursuant to Section 2910, Title10, California Code of Regulations.

11///

///

FAILURE TO DISCLOSE A diligent search was made of the records of the Department for Respondent's license and no record or written notice was received from Respondent notifying the Department within thirty days of the conviction of the crime described above in Paragraph 6. **GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINE** The facts alleged in Paragraph 6, above, constitute cause under Sections 490 (conviction of crime) and 10177(b) (conviction of crime) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law. The facts alleged in Paragraph 7, above, constitute cause under Sections 10186.2 (reporting requirements) and 10177(d) (willful disregard of Real Estate Law) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law. COST RECOVERY Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, and for such other and further relief, including reasonable investigation and enforcement costs, as may be proper under the provisions of law.

STEPHANIE YEE

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 4th day of hound, 2021.

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the

Department hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the

Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department may result in the

exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of

Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.